



ABUNDOMY

**STRATEGY TO A FAIR
FINANCIAL SYSTEM**

GOAL

*2 Billion people to
read this before 2030*

PLEASE SHARE



JOIN OUR WHATSAPP GROUP



JOIN OUR TELEGRAM GROUP

**OCTOBER 6th, 2025
FREE VERSION 1.3**

Table of Contents

A Summary.....	4
1 Introduction.....	5
1.1 Ibrahim Traoré.....	5
1.2 Indoctrination.....	8
1.3 How Do We Create A Better System?.....	11
1.3.1 Not Communism.....	11
1.4 The Beauty Of Abundance.....	12
2 Size Of The Earth.....	13
2.1 Why We Don't Grasp The Size Of The Earth.....	13
2.2 Numbers About The Size Of The Earth.....	15
2.2.1 Land Surface Area Per Person – Agriculture.....	16
2.2.2 Land Surface Area Per Person – Housing.....	18
2.2.3 Land Volume Per Person – Natural Resources.....	19
2.2.4 Water Surface Area And Volume Per Person.....	19
2.2.5 Air Volume Per Person.....	19
2.2.6 Energy Per Person.....	20
2.3 What To Do With These Numbers.....	22
2.3.1 What Is Proof?.....	22
2.3.2 Turning Abundomy Into Real Science.....	23
3 Spiritual War.....	26
3.1 Love For Having Versus Love For Creating.....	26
3.1.1 Exclusivity.....	26
3.1.2 Break The Exclusivity Protection.....	27
3.1.3 Owners and Creators.....	28
3.2 Durability.....	29
3.2.1 Creating Demand.....	29
3.2.2 Avoid Products With Short Life.....	30
3.2.3 Market Transparency And Consumer Protection.....	31
3.3 Technocracy and Transhumanism.....	32
3.4 Morality.....	34
4 Monopolies And Scarcity.....	35
4.1 Money Creation And Insight In Transactions.....	37
4.2 Land Ownership.....	38
4.3 IP-Rights.....	39
4.4 How To Break These 3 Monopolies.....	42
4.4.1 Break The Monopoly Of Printing Money Out Of Thin Air.....	42
4.4.1a <i>The Adoption Of Ethical Money</i>	42
4.4.1b <i>The Return Of The Stolen Goods</i>	52
4.4.2 Break The Monopoly Of Land Ownership.....	54
4.4.3 Break The Monopoly Of IP-Rights.....	59
5 The End Of Democracy.....	60
5.1 How To Control Nations.....	60
5.2 The Road To Communism And Tyranny.....	63
5.2.1 What Is Communism.....	64
5.3 In Communism There Is No Democracy.....	70
6 Abundomy Versus Economy.....	71
6.1 Focus.....	71
6.2 Reverse The Propaganda.....	72
6.2.1 Reverse The No Poverty Propaganda.....	72
6.2.2 Reverse The No Hunger Propaganda.....	73

6.2.3 Reverse The No Quacks Propaganda.....	75
6.2.4 Reverse The Quality Education Propaganda.....	77
6.2.5 Reverse The Gender Equality Propaganda.....	79
6.2.6 Reverse The Clean Water Propaganda.....	81
6.2.7 Reverse The Clean Energy Propaganda.....	82
6.2.8 Reverse The Decent Work & Economic Growth Propaganda.....	83
6.2.9 Reverse The Industry, Innovation & Infrastructure Propaganda.....	85
6.2.10 Reverse The Reduce Inequality Propaganda.....	86
6.2.11 Reverse The Sustainable Cities Propaganda.....	86
6.2.12 Reverse The Responsible Consumption and Production Propaganda.....	89
6.2.13 Reverse The Climate Action Propaganda.....	90
6.2.14 Reverse The Life Below Water Propaganda.....	93
6.2.15 Reverse The Life On Land Propaganda.....	94
6.2.16 Reverse The Peace and Strong Institutions Propaganda.....	94
6.2.17 Reverse The Partnerships For The Goals Propaganda.....	95
6.3 What More Can Be Done In The Abundomy?.....	96
6.3.1 Finding And Resolving Local Needs.....	96
6.3.2 Reorganization Of The Local Leadership.....	97
6.3.3 Revitalization Of The Media.....	97
6.3.4 Revitalization Of The Scientific Institutes.....	98
6.3.5 The Consequences Debt Jubilee.....	98
6.3.6 The Consequences Of The Destruction Of IP-Rights.....	99
6.4 End The "Utopias Are Impossible" Propaganda.....	102
7 Strategy.....	104
7.1 What Many People Feel.....	104
7.2 <i>What Doesn't Work</i>	105
7.3 The Mistakes They Made.....	107
7.4 The Strategy That Has Not Been Tried Before.....	108
8 Wealth Extraction Shielding.....	109
8.1 Projects.....	109
8.1.1 Buy Local, Sell Global.....	109
8.1.2 Borrow From Friends, Not From Banks.....	109
8.1.3 Barter Before Money.....	110
8.1.4 Eradicate Mortgages.....	110
8.1.5 Learn How To Make Money Neutral Again.....	110
8.2 Conclusion About Wealth Extraction Shielding.....	110
9 Restoring Democracy.....	111
9.1 Redefining Universal Declaration Of Human Rights.....	111
9.1.1 Conclusion About The UDHR.....	126
9.2 Self-Sovereign Identity.....	127
9.3 Natural Law.....	129
9.3.1 Audi Alteram Partem.....	130
9.3.2 Withholding Information.....	132
9.3.3 Secrecy Is A Corruption Virus.....	132
9.3.4 Taxation Can Only Be Voluntary.....	132
9.3.5 Game Over.....	134
9.4 Conclusion About Rules.....	134
10 Become A Part Of Us.....	135
10.1 Partnerships.....	135
10.2 Highlight.....	135
10.3 Contact.....	135
10.4 Donate.....	135
11 Conclusion.....	136

A Summary

In the book Abundomy you read how humanity has been deceived for hundreds of years, believing that the financial system is neutral towards every human. We were made to believe that our systems follow the Universal Declaration Of Human Rights and that every human is born with equal rights. It has been proven now, that this has been a lie: Some people are not equal and are allowed to create money out of thin air, own it, and use organizations like the UN, IMF and Worldbank to lend it out to countries, forcing these countries to pledge and lose their tax-income and natural resources.

Because this fraudulent financial system is collapsing, the owners now try to replace it by a new system that can be best described as "Communism 2.0". A totalitarian system enhanced with full digital surveillance, using Digital ID's and digital conditional food-stamps using CBDC's and AI. A dystopian system where the hidden owners keep their absolute wealth that was accumulated using centuries of fear-mongering, division, indoctrination, war, corruption and medical tyranny.

As deception is at the core of our current financial system, humanity is entitled to not only replace the unfair system with a proper fair financial system, but also to include a full debt forgiveness program. This means that any mortgage, car-loan, credit card debt and any other existing debt to financial institutions or governments will be forgiven, allowing people to take full possession of their houses, vehicles, companies, land and anything else people deem to be theirs.

Instead of fighting governments and financial institutions, the book suggests a complete non-violent transition. The proposed strategy is to use several years to make a large part of the world population (>20%) understand that we have been deceived and have our God given rights to abandon our enslavement by adopting a fair new system. Anything that was fraudulently extracted from the people, will be used to compensate for the damages. The details of this transition and of this new alternative society, will be further prepared in the years leading up to the actual transition. These years will also be used to delay wealth extraction (using barter, local markets and cooperative financing) and to refuse any cooperation that has to do with the installation of communism 2.0.

Instead of the current artificial scarcity, the new alternative society is rooted in the abundance of natural resources and human creativity that our world has to offer.
The alternative system – that is named "Abundomy" – is based on 5 principles:

- **Transparency:** Free access to all knowledge, science, and information;
- **Ethical Money:** A neutral monetary system that supports cooperation instead of exploitation;
- **Debt Cancellation:** A fresh start for citizens and communities;
- **Local Ownership:** Communities manage their own land, production, and services;
- **Human Rights:** An updated legal system that secures human right and prevents monopolies.

Essential for the new money is that every human participates equally in the creation of money, that a demurrage system is included to make the money supply constant per human to prevent any inflation or deflation and that all transactions are transparent to every participant, to prevent financial system corruption.

Conclusion

Abundomy aims to create a fair, transparent and abundant society, by offering citizens practical tools to become informed, independent, resilient and prepared to actually transition to a fair new society. A society where no humans need to work to survive, but only work to make themselves, their families and communities enjoy the full beauty and abundance the world has to offer.

1 Introduction

1.1 Ibrahim Traoré

President Ibrahim Traoré of Burkina Faso delivered a speech on May 19th, 2025. The Sri Lanka Guardian summarized his 30 minute speech adequately:

President Ibrahim Traoré of Burkina Faso recently delivered a speech that has resonated deeply not only across Africa but around the world. Addressing the International Monetary Fund (IMF) with a directness seldom heard from African Leaders. Traoré shattered diplomatic conventions to speak from the perspective of a soldier and a citizen deeply rooted in his nation's struggles. He reminded the global audience that "there is a moment in the life of a nation when silence is betrayal, when continued obedience is suicide, and when shaking the hands that strike you is no longer diplomacy but surrender."

His speech was not the polished, rehearsed statement of a career diplomat, but a passionate call for dignity and justice, a call for Africa to no longer be a subject of economic experimentation. "I do not speak with polished career diplomats. I bring no credentials from multinational boardrooms. I was neither trained in Washington, nor schooled in Geneva," he declared, positioning himself firmly alongside his people rather than foreign technocrats.

At the heart of his message was a fierce condemnation of the IMF, an institution that for decades has prescribed economic "treatment" to indebted African nations. Yet Traoré described this so-called "medicine" as deadly. "What we have learned, what we have suffered, is that your medicine often kills the patient." His words expose the painful reality that many African countries face: they receive loans dressed up as benevolent aid, only to be shackled by terms and contracts that strangle their economies. "Your debts arrive with smiles, but they are thrown in contracts."

The IMF's promises of stabilization and reform, Traoré argued, are in fact instruments of strangulation and obedience. He said, "You speak of stabilization, but bring strangulation. You speak of reform, but what you really mean is obedience to models built in foreign laboratories, never tried on African soil." The bluntness of this indictment cuts to the core of the post-colonial economic relationship: one where African nations are expected to follow preordained economic blueprints that ignore their unique contexts and needs.

Burkina Faso, Traoré insisted, is no laboratory for such experiments. "We are not your laboratory. We are not your experiments. We are not the pawns in your economic game. Burkina Faso and all Africa are awakening. And when we awaken, we do not ask permission to breathe." These words signal a powerful break with the past: no longer will African nations meekly accept externally imposed policies that degrade their sovereignty and well-being.

In a plea that was both forceful and dignified, Traoré made clear that Burkina Faso was not seeking charity or debt relief from the IMF. Instead, he issued a direct challenge. "I do not come to ask for cancellation, I do not come to ask for relief. I come to tell you what no African leader has dared say loudly enough." He went on to decry economic policies that reduce African people to "sinners," while "our gold laughs in the mountains of the West." This vivid metaphor encapsulates the bitter paradox of resource-rich countries crippled by poverty and austerity.

The president criticized the conditions that the IMF imposes, which he said "drain our public services, trap our farmers, and force our youth into exile." He declared, "We no longer accept being told that good governance means sending our national wealth to foreign firms with smiles." This rejection of conditionalities that harm the public good is a call for a new kind of partnership—one founded on equality rather than submission.

One of the most powerful critiques in Traoré's address was his characterization of debt as "modern slavery." He said plainly, "Let me say it without euphemism, without the lies of diplomacy, the kind you offer Africa is not a tool of development. It is a bridge. It is modern slavery dressed in suits and tickets." Using terms such as "structural adjustment," "structural punishment," and "financial discipline," he recast IMF policies as "generational despair."

Traoré also posed a question that strikes at the heart of global economic injustice: “How can a nation rich in gold, uranium, cobalt, and fertile land be poor? How can a continent that feeds the world’s industries not feed its own children?” The answers offered by external powers—mismanagement, corruption, incompetence—were dismissed as incomplete and self-serving. He asserted, “Yes, Africa has its wounds. But many of those wounds were inflicted by the same hands that now offer us flags blown with interest.”

His speech brought these issues down to the streets, into everyday life. He painted a vivid picture: “It looks like children studying by the light of a lamp because the national power grid was privatized and sold to foreign investors whose prices our people cannot afford. It looks like hospitals with broken equipment, no antibiotics, and mothers dying in childbirth because your conditions demanded that we qualify public spending for your health.” Millions of students graduate with diplomas but face “no future. Jobs are lost, sectors collapsed or sold off under your liberalization policies. And worst of all, dignity is broken.”

Traoré’s indictment was not limited to policy; it was a moral and ethical challenge to the IMF. He spoke of receiving “500 million dollars and paying back 2 billion dollars over decades through restructuring fees and interest.” He criticized “currency controls and types of privatization that steal our national affairs.” Asked for justification, he argued that “contracts were signed voluntarily,” but questioned, “Is it consensus when the patient is boiling and you are the only one with medicine and a price tag?”

This, he concluded, was not choice but “economic coercion. This is not development. This is domination. This is not globalization. This is neocolonialism in financial clothes.”

Despite the severity of these accusations, Traoré’s speech was also a declaration of awakening. “We, the new generation of African leadership, are no longer blind. We are no longer grateful for scraps from a table built by our work.” He rejected policies “that steal our economies in the name of efficiency,” and refused to “trade our sovereignty for funds that disappear in the hands of consultants, only to return to our children and youth as something else.”

His message to the IMF was clear and calm but resolute: “We will be sovereign, even if it means rejecting your taxes. Even if it means fragile growth, but growth that is ours. Even if it means pain for now, but freedom in the long term.” This is a vision of self-determination that refuses to be compromised by external pressure.

Traoré further called out the wider global economic system, noting that the IMF is only one part of a broader architecture designed to maintain Africa’s subordination. “The problem is not only the IMF. It is the whole architecture, the rules of trade, finance, credit and global power designed to keep Africa second best.”

He spoke about the absurdity of a continent with “60% of the world’s arable land” still importing food. He pointed to the contradiction of “sitting on diamonds, gold, oil and lithium” yet needing foreign aid. “Because the game was never fair,” he said.

Africa’s role, he explained, has been limited to being “exporters of raw materials, not creators of industry.” The system assigns “roles in the global economy as actors in a tragic play.” Attempts to break free invite penalties: “Credit agencies break our rates. Multinational lobbies threaten sanctions. Tech giants push investments and their media calls us unstable.”


Yet, Traoré highlighted the hypocrisy of a world that blames Africa for instability, while offering no real support. “What is more unstable than a world where a continent of 1.4 billion people contributes least to climate change but suffers most?” he asked.

He reaffirmed with a plea for justice, not charity: “Africa is not asking for pity. We demand justice, not charity, not equal advantages.” He invoked the legacy of great African leaders, saying, “We did not come this far just to survive. We came to triumph, and we will wait no longer for permission to do so.”

What has happened to Burkina Faso, has not only happened to every African country. It also happened to every western country including the United States. And it doesn't only happens to countries. It happens to every company and to every individual person. Debt is a tool that is used by people we are not allowed to know. The only thing that you know is that politicians and financial institutes are not working for the people.



You know that because you are working like a slave in societies where everything is becoming unaffordable. You feel like you are trapped and you know that there is something wrong. You only don't know what it is exactly.



Like Ibrahim Traoré sees that his country has an abundance of natural resources, he can see that the resources are still being stolen. He thinks it is stolen by foreign companies that benefit the people from those countries. But that is just a trick. The companies are not owned by the regular people anywhere. No regular American, European or Chinese person is benefiting from the theft of African natural resources. The real wealth is even not going to the richest 1%.

In reality, all the wealth goes to the real victors of every major war in the last thousands of years. Victors that remain hidden and laugh at us for centuries, as they have deceived us all, again and again...

1.2 Indoctrination

In the country I was born (the Netherlands) we celebrate the birthday of Sinterklaas. It is a tradition that goes back hundreds of years where we pay respect to Saint Nicholas, patron saint of children who actually lived in Greece from 270–343. Dutch settlers took the tradition to 17th-century New Amsterdam (present-day New York City). The 1823 American poem "A Visit from St. Nicholas", written by an anonymous author, recounts Saint Nicholas arriving at the author's home on Christmas Eve in a sleigh pulled by flying reindeer. The poem laid the foundation for modern depictions of Santa Claus, strengthening the association between Santa Claus and Christmas. Over time, this connection has been maintained and reinforced through song, radio, television, children's books, family Christmas traditions, films and advertising.

In 1931 Coca-Cola started advertising Santa Claus. With it, Santa Claus evolved to a secularized, cultural icon of generosity and gift-giving. This transformation involved stripping his saintly status to create a unifying symbol for a diverse society, merging with Germanic and folk traditions like Father Christmas to become the modern, secular figure associated with children and presents, rather than religious dogma. It made Christmas turn into a consumerism fest, which is exemplary for the wrong turn our society took and what this book is all about. It is however not the point I want to make first.



An important feature of Sinterklaas is that - as a kid - you are indoctrinated from birth that Sinterklaas is real. As a kid you love him - as he brings you presents each year, just before he leaves back for Spain on his birthday on December 6th. As a kid you are however also taught to fear him. Parents tell their children that Sinterklaas and his many helpers – called “Zwarte Pieten” (Black Pete’s) will put naughty kids in a big ragged bag and take them to Spain where they will be punished with a raw coconut broom stick. It gives parents a handy boogeyman to put some fear in the kids, to keep them in line during the rest of the year.

Once a kid is around 7 years, he starts to figure out that the immortality of Sinterklaas is not natural and that his task and wealth to buy and distribute all these presents, crosses the borders of realistically achievable tasks. Once a kid starts to wake up, parents need to find the right time to tell the truth, before the kid hears it from one of its friends.

Parents usually fear the moment they are forced to pop the Sinterklaas bubble of their youngsters as it might come with some trauma. When the child understands that his parents have been pathetic liars that even not shy away to use some fear tactics with a boogeyman to their benefit, that might cause some real parenting issues.

As a kid you usually get over the trauma quite fast, especially when you realize that the boogeyman is gone while the presents return the next year. Nevertheless, a little emotional scar remains. How could you be so naive to believe such a far-fetched story. It is also your first contact with a form of Mass Formation Psychosis where you see everyone else telling that the same false stories are true.

On a much larger scale, the same thing happens with the concept of our economy and government. As you grow older, you are told many stories about it. Again stories from your parents, your family, your parents friends and your teachers, and additional also from newspapers, government officials, experts, bankers, literature, documentaries, films and many more other sources. Stories about how society and the economy are supposed to work. Stories why you need to do your work, how you are supposed to behave and how you are supposed to become successful.


History

There is one important truth: "History is written by the victors.". Now imagine that you won many important wars. Wars that allowed you to conquer the world. To create an empire that spanned across the seven seas. That provided you wealth beyond any imagination. What would be your biggest concern?

Your concern would be to keep your position. And to keep your position, you would be able to spend trillions of dollars on whatever you want.

Now I don't know about you, but if I was sociopathic enough to spend vast resources to build weapons like battleships and use violence to steal the livelihood of millions or even billions of people, I would hire the best strategists that are alive and buy everyone that can and needs to be bought. I would also know real history and real social science. History that spans many centuries and many generations.

If I was such a person and I would achieve that position, I would do anything to learn how to keep my position and how to create



systems to weaken the potential enemy. An enemy which is basically almost everyone else on the planet. Currently it is obvious to me that we are living in exactly such a system.

You – and basically the entirety of humanity - are the enemy of the victors. There is no doubt in my mind that the victors are in power for many centuries now, and that they are stronger than ever before. They actually seem to be so strong now, that they are not afraid to let their plans come out of the shadows. We see indications everywhere that the victors are putting everything in position to put us into a totalitarian, communistic, surveillance state.

"Do you have hard evidence to prove all this?" you might ask. My answer is simply "no". If you suppose a world that is controlled by the wealthiest and smartest sociopaths imaginable, it seems simple logic that – in that case – there is no possibility to prove who they are. It is just logic that the real victors have everything in place to remain perfectly hidden.

The victors are not the royalty, billionaires, politicians or dictators we know – in my view. Those high profile people are just brought to the foreground to make us believe that their wealth, incompetence and greed causes our suffering. None of these people however looks happy, and they for sure don't look very smart. So, do they know more than we? Probably a little bit. But do they know exactly who the victors are? Probably not!

To me, it seems very unlikely that the identity of the real victors will ever be revealed to us. Once you start to assume and understand that we live our lives based on many lies, you will start to feel the same betrayal that you felt when your parents had to admit that Sinterklaas is not real. Once you are seeing this many lies, it will trigger you also to question many other things you were told, such as economics, democracy and history.

Once you start to see all these lies, it also becomes clear that it is very unlikely that a savior will arise. Nobody that will fundamentally change the system, will arise through the system itself. It also becomes clear that you will not be offered a way to fight this invisible opponent. There is no weapon thinkable that can be used to destroy a target of which we have no clues about. When we would start to fight any of our current leaders, we would actually always be fighting one of ourselves, as our current leaders are clearly not the real victors. Fighting won't be the solution.



"The greatest form of resistance to power is not confrontation, but refusal. Power thrives on submission, on the expectation that we will comply. The most effective way to deny it is not by fighting it head-on, but by withdrawing what it craves—our consent, our participation, our obedience. When we stop feeding the system, it loses its grip. Power is only as strong as the consent it receives; deny it, and it withers."

1.3 How Do We Create A Better System?

We can already see that we can't vote our way out of this system, and that we can't fight our way out of it. So now the remaining question is: "What is it that we need to do, if we want to make life better for everyone. How do we escape from the system that we are in now, a system that is based on lies, war, division and exploitation?"

The answer is that we first need to find a better way of doing things. We need to find a better method. A method that can make the existing system obsolete. Now if we could design a new system from scratch, what steps do we take exactly to create that better system?

We know there is enough food to feed everyone, enough materials to house everyone and enough technology to educate everyone. The question is: "How do we create a society that can apply this abundance of resources in a way that is sustainable and ensures peace". We need to re-imagine the economy and base it on the abundance of resources. We need to be aware that we are conditioned to think wrongly about the economy. How we think about economy is pushed for centuries by the invisible victors, to keep these victors in their positions. What we think that works in our advantage usually works against us. We need to explore the other side of the coin:

"Instead of basing our societies on being selfish and economic with our resources (which has paradoxically led to a massively wasteful and destructive way of living), we need to explore how to deal with the sharing of the abundance of our resources."

It is therefore that we need to look at the opposite of what economists tried to teach us for centuries. We can clearly see that "being economic" only benefits the victors and the people they use to maintain this system of war and destruction.

The inversion of "Economy" should become a proper science. Where our current "Economic know-how" seems to be based on a handful of lies, the inversion – that I coined "Abundomy" – should be a proper science where we study how to properly enable everyone to enjoy the abundance of resources the world has to offer. We need to start using the "design to last" principle instead of the "design to fail" system that we are using in today's economy.

1.3.1 Not Communism

Before you might see "properly sharing abundant resources" as being just another type of communism, you need to open your eyes. Understand that the "economy" we have now, where we allowed various monopolies to fester, has brought us at the brink of a full blown global communist surveillance and credit score system. "You will own nothing and be happy" is what the World Economic Forum (WEF) tells you. Having unelected, private bureaucrats in full control of the money supply and its distribution – as we now know is the actual situation we are currently in – is the very definition of communism. Entering a pure communistic society is exactly what is unfolding now.

Having proper freedom and access for everyone to the abundance of natural resources, is the exact opposite of communism. If you want to attach an "ism" to our philosophy that is based on abundance, it is not "capitalism". Capitalism implies the love of money. Capitalism allowed the various monopolies that extracted all the



wealth to benefit only a tiny group of people. And it is especially this tiny group of people that understands that capitalism dies once all the wealth is extracted from society. They know that after capitalism dies, communism is the only system that allows them to keep everything they extracted from us. It is now proven that capitalism that allows monopolies to exist, will always end in communism when people don't intervene.

1.4 The Beauty Of Abundance

“Abundanism”

If you want to create a label for the “science of abundance”, the term would be “Abundanism”. “Abundanism” implies an abundance of freedom and a proper access to the abundance of natural resources.

“Abundomy”

As said earlier: In a world of abundance we need an inversion of the “Economy”. The word “Economy” implies that we need to be frugal with our limited resources. In this book we will however prove that scarcity is fictional and that resources are abundantly available. It is because of that, that we will replace the word “Economy” with the word “Abundomy”.

When we base our science on the notion of an abundance of natural resources, it should be clear that there is no room for artificial scarcity. In our system we prevent scarcity to make sure that nobody is forced to:

“Eat ze bugz, own nothing and be happy.”

Klaus Schwab

Eat bugs is not a vision. It is not something anybody wants to hear from anyone that only claims that he is a leader. “Eat bugs” is what a bully tells you in school. When a person tells you “You will own nothing. Me and my friends will take everything you have and we order you to be happy”, you can be sure you are dealing with a sociopathic bully. A true leader will never do that.



Leadership is showing the path to a better future. Traoré is such a leader. His instincts are spot on. But he needs to be careful. Also other allies are controlled by the same victors. The victors namely own all central banks, including the central banks of Russia, China, Venezuela and even Iran. These enemies of the people will stop at nothing and will use all the wealth in the world to deprive us of a better future. To me, no compromise with these real criminals is acceptable. Only a future with real sovereignty and ethical money – in a world of abundance – is acceptable. Anything less is accepting defeat.



A Vision of a World of Abundance

2 Size Of The Earth

2.1 Why We Don't Grasp The Size Of The Earth

When I got my first economy lesson in high-school, I expected that we would start with context. Context like: How many people are there in the world? How much available farmland do we have? How much money is there in the world? Who decides when to create more money or reduce the money supply? What is the total value of the available real estate. But instead of getting context, we started with price elasticity curves and GDP numbers. Without context, it made little sense.

The biggest misconceptions that we were told, were that the natural resources are finite, that many resources are almost depleted and that our consumption is destroying the planet. Before I go into these claims in detail, we first need to understand why it is so important for the victors, to make people believe their claim that people are responsible for the supposed destruction of the ecosystems of the planet.

A. To Create Arguments For A Global Government

The victors - who are a tiny group that won every war in the last centuries - have controlled politics by funding carefully selected politicians for centuries. When you are able to print money out of thin air, and you have a sophisticated system in place to control all governments, you (the victors) are able to maintain your money printing privilege. Once you (the victor) own about everything there is to own, what will happen over time is that people will start to see that their politicians don't achieve anything that benefits the people. To be able to make people believe that the politicians have good reasons to keep the people poor, it is very important to keep the people in fear for situations that are life threatening and are more important than the individual suffering of people. So the victors create "reasons" that not only explain why politicians have no choice but to spend tax-money on things that do not help individual civilians, but also put the blame on the civilians themselves, as they are painted as selfish, uneducated, inconsiderate individuals, that would destroy society if they wouldn't be harshly corrected by their governments. We all know which global threats are repeated over and over again by the media that the victors control: Climate change, (nuclear) war, pandemics, terrorism by extreme-right religious fanatics, migration, cyber-attacks, artificial intelligence (AI), power-grid issues, racism and non-inclusivity, misinformation and organized crime like human trafficking, child exploitation and drugs and weapon trade. The solution to these problems is always higher taxes, more government, more surveillance, more police, bigger armies with more weapons and less money and less freedom for the civilians. Now if you - as a civilian - protest against your government agenda, you will be called a misinformed right wing racist and potential terrorist conspiracy theorist, and will be beaten up by the police if they have the chance.

B. To Make Civilians Accept Limited Access To Resources

It is very important that you - as a civilian - think that resources are scarce, because you consume too much and that it is crucial - to save the planet – that you accept even more scarcity in your life. If you want to own a car, a house or even kids, you need to believe that you are selfish and a threat to the environment. You are not allowed to be aware why you are forced to accept scarcity, because the victors need to own every last available item, so they can finally install a perfected form of communism.

C. The Victors Want To Move You From The Rural Areas To The Cities

In cities, civilians are much easier to control by using two methods:

Firstly, the victors want to control the food- and drinking water supply. People that don't grow their own food, can easily be forced to use any (new) financial system - like the Central Bank Digital Currency (CBDC). It is the oldest trick in the book to make people fully depending on governments and bankers.


Secondly, when you are pushed to be living in a 15 minute city – where you are not allowed to travel more than 15 minutes from your house – the government can easily monitor your movements, so you will never pose a threat to the government and those in power behind it.

D. The Victors Want To Keep Nature Exclusive For Themselves.

When you own everything, you don't want to be bothered by other people when you go hunting or fishing in nature. While the majority of people will only be able to see nature on their screens, the victors can use their helicopters or yachts to enjoy any remote natural beautiful place. Some people are just a bit more equal than others.

Garbage

It is very obvious why the victors want you to believe that you are the reason that the planet will destroy humanity. Because most people live in crowded cities where nature is being destroyed and garbage piles up and spoils any park or canal, people see the devastation of remaining natural elements in and around their cities. This makes them actually believe the narrative about people destroying the planet. Next to that, the media will constantly focus on any environmental disaster they can find. Every day you will be reminded of facts where people destroy nature and harm wildlife. Especially kids are told to tell their parents to stop their horrific nature destructing habits and start protecting nature, and give the kids any chance of a proper future. The indoctrination on this point is absolutely massive. Obviously they will tell you that the science is settled about “Climate Change”.




The problem most people have, is that it is very difficult to see how big the earth is, how scarcely it is populated and how vast the resources are that we can use. Next to that, it is also clear that in a world – where access to vital resources (food, water, housing, clothing, healthcare and information) is scarce – people have no choice than to focus on obtaining those primal necessities first, before spending time on cleaning their environment. It is also no coincidence that there always seems to be a shortage of funding for waste collection systems and proper sewage systems. When we are confronted with filth every day, it makes us believe that the entire world is covered with garbage and that it is a “normal feature” of humans to leave a mess behind. When you have no sense about the size of the world, and are confronted with massive propaganda about how “bad” people are, it is understandable that people actually believe this.

To make people understand – that their influence on nature and climate borders to complete insignificance – the first thing we need to teach people, is the unbelievable massive size of the earth. Only once you understand the size, you will see the endless abundance of natural resources. Resources that can elevate all people out of their poverty and enable them to use their time and resources to clean up their direct environment.

Before we go into the size of the earth, there is this disclaimer: Obviously very rare animals exist that humans can locate and hunt down. Think about rhinos, tigers, gorillas, whales, orangutans, condors and many others. There are also many precious wildlife areas with rainforest or vast original and important Eco-systems that are being damaged. There should be no doubt to put an extreme amount of effort to protect those animals and these habitats. But even when we do so, the amount of remaining natural resources is so vast, that the number of humans could increase with a factor 10 without significant impact. Especially when we use the abundance of resources to clean up our own mess and start working on real scientific sustainability.

2.2 Numbers About The Size Of The Earth

If we can believe the United Nations and the [World-O-Meter](#), then the world population at the writing of this book should be around 8,243,000,000 people and is growing with about 0.85% per year with an estimated peak of about 10,3 billion people in 2080. There are quite some reasons to question these numbers because the victors use the exponential population growth numbers (they present between the years of 1900 and 2050) to create fear to make people to accept their agendas, so the victors can keep their power. When we however just assume their numbers are a close representation of the truth, the following observations can be made:




2.2.1 Land Surface Area Per Person – Agriculture

The earth consists of 149 million km² landmass.

$149,000,000,000,000 \text{ m}^2 / 8,240,000,000 \text{ persons} \approx 18,000 \text{ m}^2 \text{ per person (4.45 acres)}$.

$\sqrt{18,000} \approx 134\text{m}$ so every living person represents a square piece of $134 \times 134 \text{ m}^2$ of land.

When you know that a soccer pitch or an American football pitch are a bit over $5,000 \text{ m}^2$ each, you can also see $18,000 \text{ m}^2$ per person as a piece of land that is roughly 3 and a half football pitches (per person) big.



Now when you assume people living in families of 5 people, every family could have a farm of $90,000 \text{ m}^2$ (which is 9 Ha or 22.2 Acres per family). 9Ha is a piece of land of 1 km long and 90 meters wide. This family of 5 people could use the proceeds of the land only for themselves. In British Imperial measures this family would have piece of land of 1 mile long and about 61.2 yards wide.

Not All Land Is Suited To Be Farmed

Using the definitions of mountains, mountains cover 24% of the Earth's land mass. About 56.5 million km² consists of deserts of which about 10 million km² is covered with ice. When we assume that 24% of all deserts are also mountains, then we have $(149 \times 24\%)$ 35.8 million km² of mountains plus $(56.5 \times 76\%)$ 42.9 million km² of deserts. This means that 70.3 million km² remains, which is about 47% of the landmass. You might assume that about 50% of the land that the earth has to offer is habitable and usable for farming.

One Loaf Of Bread Per Day Per Family Of Five

According to Google AI, approximately 10 to 15 square feet (0.9 to 1.4 square meters) of farmland is needed to produce the wheat for one standard loaf of bread. To grow enough wheat for a loaf of bread every day for a year, you would need roughly 3,650 to 5,475 square feet, or about 0.08 to 0.13 acres which is 325 m² to 525 m². Assuming 450 m² to provide one loaf of bread every day, the family of five would need to use $450/90,000 = 0.5\%$ of their land for bread.

One Chicken (2kg) Per Day Per Family Of Five

If the family of 5 would eat one chicken per day, AI calculates that family would need 1,375 m² (0.34 acre) to grow the food for the chickens and 2,950 m² (0.73 acre) to house the chickens and processing machines. In total 4,325 m² (1.07 acres) or $4,325/90,000 = 4.8\%$ of the available land is needed to provide the family 1 chicken per day.

Two Kg Of Pork Per Day Per Family Of Five

According to AI: To produce 730 kg of pork meat per year, an estimated 0.25 to 1 acre (1,000 to 4,000 square meters) of farmland is needed, with the specific amount depending on the farming method. This land is primarily (about 50%) used to grow feed for the pigs. When we assume a total of land use of about 4,000 m² (1 acre), this is $4,000/90,000 = 4.5\%$ of the available land.

How Much Farmland Is Needed To Properly Feed One Person Every Day

According to AI: The amount of farmland needed to feed one person every day varies dramatically, ranging from less than a quarter of an acre (1,000 m²) for a vegetarian diet, to over 4 acres (16,000m²) for a meat-heavy diet. The specific amount depends on several factors:

- **Diet:** A plant-based diet requires significantly less land than a diet high in meat and dairy, because land is inefficiently used when growing crops to feed livestock.
- **Location and climate:** Arable land varies greatly in productivity. An area with fertile soil, ample rainfall, and a longer growing season will produce more food with less space.
- **Farming methods:** Highly intensive, mechanized farming can produce more food per acre, but often relies on chemical inputs that can harm the environment. Bio-intensive and organic methods can also produce high yields on small plots with expert management.
- **Food waste:** The amount of food produced for a person is always greater than the amount actually consumed, due to waste.

Land Requirement By Diet Type*Vegetarian Diet*

A person eating a largely vegetarian diet of grains, vegetables, and fruits could potentially be fed from a small plot of land.

- **High-efficiency farming:** With modern, intensive farming, estimates suggest a vegetarian diet can be supported with as little as 200 m² to 1,000 m² (0.05 to 0.25 acres) per person.
- **Intensive bio-farming:** The Grow Bio-intensive method, with careful management, claims to be able to grow a vegan diet on just 370 m² (4,000 square feet or about 0.09 acres).

Average American Diet

The standard diet in many developed countries, which includes a significant amount of meat and dairy, requires more land.

- **Estimates:** A typical American diet requires an estimated 4,000 m² to 8,000 m² (1 to 2 acres) per person.
- **Key factor:** The largest demand on land comes from livestock, especially ruminants like cows, because much more land is needed to produce animal feed than to grow crops for direct human consumption.

Self-Sufficient, Mixed Diet

The amount of land needed to be fully self-sufficient while maintaining a balanced diet is even higher, accounting for factors like crop rotation and growing food for animals.

- **Historical context:** In Elizabethan England, 16,000 m² (4 acres) per household was considered the minimum land required for sustenance farming.
- **Modern estimate:** For someone to produce all their own food, including meat and dairy, and have a margin for crop failure, an estimate of 20,000 m² to 24,000 m² (5 to 6 acres) per family is more realistic.
- **India:** To sustain the average diet in India, approximately 1,000 m² (about 0.25 acres) of farmland per person per year is needed.
- **China:** On average, a person consuming the Chinese diet requires approximately 1,200 m² to 2,400 m² (0.3 to 0.6 acres) of farmland per year, including imported agricultural goods. The land footprint for the Chinese diet is significantly influenced by shifting consumption patterns, such as increased meat and dairy intake, particularly among urban residents.

Conclusion Needed Farmland Per Family Of Five

Depending on our diets, the necessary use of farmland per person can vary quite a bit. Farmland of 20,000 m² (5 acres) is often considered a "sweet spot" for many aspiring western homesteaders. For homesteaders 20,000 m² (5 acres) provides enough space for a larger garden, a modest orchard, and a small assortment of livestock like goats, sheep, and pigs. It is a manageable size for a single family without requiring a lot of large-scale equipment. This is however in case every family would start farming on their own, and in case we discard fishing and we are all on quite meat intensive diets.

When we look at numbers of China and India, the necessary farmland is quite a bit less: Let say between 5,000 m² and 12,000 m² per family of five. With efficient farming and proper diets, it should be easily possible to globally use not more than 10,000 m² (2.5 acres) as an average per family of 5, meaning that people currently only need to use 11.1% of the available land (10,000/90,000). If we can believe the population numbers obviously. And if the population would reach it's peak in 2080, where we reach 10,3 billion people and where every person has about 14,500 m² of land available (149,000,000,000,000 m² / 10,300,000,000), we would still only use 13.8% of the available land (10,000 / 72,500).

It is very important that we stop promoting a monotone consumption society, and do the opposite by starting to cherish and promote the enormous variety in traditional (food) cultures. As we can see is that non-western diets are much less land consuming, meaning that spreading the variety and know-how of those cultures as a benefit for society, will not only increase the "abundance" of choices, but will also help to increase the sustainability of the food production.


2.2.2 Land Surface Area Per Person – Housing

If you would build a city that contains only 4 story apartments in blocks of 48 2-Bedroom units, you could house about 190 people on 4,000 m² (one acre) of land. If you would extrapolate that to the entire world population, you would need a city of the size of:

$(8,243,000,000 / 190) \times 4,000 = 175,000 \text{ km}^2$ (67,500 miles²) The size of Germany is 357,000 km² (137,847 sq mi). This means you could properly house the entire world population in a city that is half of the size of Germany or is less that 19% of the size of Nigeria. This means that if you would house everyone in cities with 4 story apartments, you would need: $175,000 \text{ km}^2 / 149,000,000 \text{ km}^2 = 0,11\%$ of the available landmass.

The 2 billion apartments you would have to build, would cost about US\$ 15,000 each, which means that with 30 trillion dollar, you should be able to house the entire world population in

proper apartments. To compare: Some sources indicate that the overall costs of the Afghan War were as high as US\$ 14 Trillion. Enough to house half of the world population – without a mortgage! Only that fact gives a clear indication that scarcity is artificial and has been the preferred choice of the victors all along. Anything less than an “Abundomy” should therefore be unacceptable.



The Size Of The World

Africa's landmass compared to the area that can house the entire current world population in an urban setup not exceeding 4 stories (red) and the farmland to feed this entire current world population (green)

The rest of the world would have no humans nor farmland.

2.2.3 Land Volume Per Person – Natural Resources

The volume of the earth is 1,083,210,000,000 km³. $1,083,210,000,000 \text{ km}^3 / 8,243,000,000 \text{ persons} = 131 \text{ km}^3 \text{ per person}$ (31.4 mile³). If everyone was able to excavate 500 m³ under the soil, every person would still have 9 million m³ (11.8 million yard³) of natural resources that are undiscovered.

2.2.4 Water Surface Area And Volume Per Person

The average depth of oceans is about 3.7 km¹ (2.3 miles). The global sea surface covers approximately 361 million km² (139 million miles²). This is 43,800 m² (52,400 yard²) of ocean surface per person. $361 \text{ million km}^2 \times 3.7 \text{ km}^1 = 1,336 \text{ million km}^3$. $1,336 \text{ million km}^3 / 8,243,000,000 \text{ persons} = 0.162 \text{ km}^3 \text{ ocean water per person}$. This perhaps doesn't sound much at a first glance, but 0.162 km³ equals to 162 million m³ per person, or 212 million yards³ or 42,8 billion US gallons per person.

2.2.5 Air Volume Per Person

The average height of the troposphere is about 13 km¹. The total surface area of Earth is approximately 510.1 million km², or 197 million square miles. $510.1 \text{ million km}^2 \times 13 \text{ km}^1 = 6.5 \text{ billion km}^3$ or 1.56 billion miles³. Divided by 8,2 billion makes 0.79 km³ (0.19 miles³) of air per person. This is 790 million m³ (1,03 billion yard³ or 208 billion US gallons) of air per person.

2.2.6 Energy Per Person

Coal

Worldometer estimates that 4,232 billion cubic feet of coal is used per year worldwide. This is 513 cubic feet per person per year which is $513 \times 28.3 \text{ liter} = 14.5 \text{ m}^3$. This is a cube of $3.8 \times 3.8 \times 1.0 \text{ m}^1$. Per day this is $14.5 \text{ m}^3 / 365.25 \text{ days} = 0.04 \text{ m}^3$. This is a cube of: $0.34 \times 0.34 \times 0.34 \text{ m}^1$. (1.41feet³) per person per day.

About 40% of coal is used to produce electricity that is used for houses and industries. On average, a ton of coal produces 21 to 22 gigajoules of energy. The specific weight of coal is about $1,300 \text{ kg} / \text{m}^3$. This means that 0.04 m^3 of coal produces $0.04 \text{ m}^3 \times 1,3 \text{ ton} / \text{m}^3 \times 22,000,000,000 \text{ joules} = 1,140,000,000 \text{ joules}$ per person per day.

Oil

According to ycharts the global oil consumption is 88.48M bbl/d for 2020. 1 m³ oil per day equals 6.29 barrels oil per day (bbl/d). So $88,480,000 \text{ barrels oil per day} \times 365,25 \text{ days} / 6.26 \text{ barrels oil per m}^3 = 5,160,000,000 \text{ m}^3$ per year. Which is $14,134,000,000 \text{ liters}$ per day or is 1.71 liter (0.45 US gallon) per person per day.

Crude oil has a high energy content, typically around 42,000,000 joules per kilogram. 1 liter of crude oil weighs 0.915 kg. 1.71 liter crude = 1.56 kg = 65,700,000 joules per person per day.

Gas

The use of Gas is 4,000 bcm = Billion Cubic Meters in 2020. There are 37,000,000 joules in a m³ of natural gas. This means that per person per year: $37,000,000 \times 4,000,000,000,000 / 8,243,000,000 = 18,000,000,000 \text{ joules}$ of gas is consumed. Per day this is 49,200,000 joules per person. $4,000,000,000,000 \text{ m}^3 \text{ natural gas} / 8,243,000,000 \text{ people} = 485 \text{ m}^3$ per year = 1,32 m³ per person per day.

The Sun Versus Coal/Oil/Gas

According to AI: On average, approximately 14.1 megajoules (MJ) of solar energy arrives per square meter per day across the Earth's surface. This is a planetary average that accounts for both daylight and nighttime, atmospheric absorption, and the varying angle of the sun's rays.

Per person per day this is $510.1 \text{ million km}^2 / 8,243,000,000 = 61,883 \text{ m}^2 \times 14.1 \text{ MJ} = 873 \text{ GJ}$ that the sun deposits on this persons land and sea every day.

Next to that, it is estimated that the total geothermal heat flux from Earth's interior, including all volcanic and tectonic activity, is about 3.82 exajoules per day. This means $3.82 \times 10^{18} \text{ J/day}$. This equals to 463 MJ per person per day.

Per person 1.25 GJ per day are produced by coal/oil/gas. This means that the sun produces 700 times more energy as humans produce and that geothermal heat is already about 37% of all energy that is produced by humans.

Electricity

Global electricity use is about 25,000 TWh = 25,000,000,000,000,000 Wh

This is about 3 MWh per person per year, which is 8.3 kWh per person per day. A solar panel produces: 1.3 kWh per panel per day. This means that the average person would need 6.4 Solar panels to replace all current electricity use.

Nuclear Weapons

There are 14,000 nuclear warheads around the world. Trident warheads are either the 90-kiloton W76-1 or the 455-kiloton W88. Hiroshima was 15-kiloton. When we assume 100 kiloton per warhead, we can do the next calculation:

14,000 x 100 kiloton = 1,400,000,000,000 kg of TNT

1,400,000,000,000 kg of TNT / 8.243,000,000 = 170 kg of TNT

TNT = 1.654 g/cm³

170 kg / 1,654 kg/m³ = 0.1 m³ of TNT per person.

A kiloton of TNT is the amount of energy released in the detonation of 1,000 metric tons of TNT. It equates to 4.184 terajoules

=> 1,000,000 kg TNT = 4,184,000,000,000 joules => 1 kg TNT = 4,184,000 joules

=> 170 kg TNT = 711,280,000 joules.

Crude oil has about 42,000,000 joules per kilogram and holds about 10 times more energy as a kilogram of TNT.

This means that relatively, all nuclear weapons together can generate the same amount of energy as about 17 kg crude oil per person.

1,400,000,000,000 kg (1400 Megaton) of TNT x 4,184,000 joules /kg TNT = 5.8×10^{18} joules, which is the energy that would be released if all nuclear bombs would explode at the same time. This is the same energy as the total geothermal heat flux from Earth's interior in one and a half day or the same energy that the sun deposits in 70 seconds. To compare: A full solar eclipse's duration is about 4 and a half hour where about 25% of the earth is brought into shade. It means that the earth is deprived of $14.1 \text{ megajoules} \times 510,100,000,000,000 \times (4.5\text{h}/24\text{h}) \times 0.25 = 337 \times 10^{18}$ Joules. This means that a single solar eclipse reduces the energy on earth by a factor that is $337/5.8 = 58$ times bigger than the energy that is added by exploding all nuclear bombs.

It is obvious that the stupidity of creating nuclear weapons is beyond comprehension. We however also need to remember that the victors seem to have no problems with lying about anything as long as people remain in fear. Propping up a doomsday weapon fits really nice in the victors tactics. So I wouldn't be surprised if the firepower would be extremely much smaller as they want us to believe. But even if the numbers are correct, it seems very unlikely that these weapons are able to put a massive dent in human population numbers. The story about a nuclear winter seems to be impossible to me, as the earth also hardly changes after a solar eclipse – where the energy deficit is exponential much bigger as a full blown nuclear war. When a solar eclipse has almost no impact on our Eco-systems, then it seems not very believable, that humans are able to outdo the energy changes that happen at an eclipse.

When we design an alternative for our current economy, we need to make sure that we arrange a system where these kind of weapons will never return. The keyword will be transparency. We should never allow any leader to do anything without full transparency. Especially the creation of weapons and any science behind it should always be 100% transparent.

2.3 What To Do With These Numbers

This is the point where we need to talk about science and the scientific method. As a scientist, it is important that you believe nothing. Words like “Scientific Consensus” mean nothing. People that want to make you believe otherwise, are biased, because they are probably paid to convince you with things (like words) that have nothing to do with what matters in science: real proof. And even real proof can be meaningless.



2.3.1 What Is Proof?

“Proof” is a story about an outcome of an experiment. It is completely up to you if you believe this story or not. If you don’t believe the story, because you think the method to do the experiment is flawed, you can simply neglect this proof. If you want to find better proof, you design a new experiment where you leave out the flawed steps and replace them with steps that can be reasoned to be “not flawed” or at least “much less flawed as the steps you challenge”. But even when you think none of the steps of the initial experiment are flawed, there is still no reason at all to just believe the story. The only way for you to believe the story is to repeat the experiment yourself, perhaps even multiple times, and see if the conclusions of the story can be maintained. It would be helpful to record your experiments very well, with plenty of witnesses and publish it freely so everybody can see exactly what has been done and – if needed – repeat and check the experiment themselves. And even when millions of people did the same experiment and came to the same conclusions, the science is not settled. The beauty of science is that it will never be settled and there will (and should) never be consensus about the story. When millions of people are convinced that there is no way the conclusion of the story is wrong, and one little boy or girl stands up and says that he or she challenges the outcome of this story - in a proper scientific community - this little boy or girl should be applauded. This boy or girl should receive a lot of praise for his/her bravery and should never ever be ridiculed. This is very important in science, because this little boy or girl might have something that millions of people might lack: “an original thought”. This little boy or girl might see something that millions of people never thought about before. The little boy or girl might see a tiny flaw, that millions have overlooked and that can change the outcome of the experiment completely.

To me, “scientific proof” is sort of a “*contradictio in terminis*” (an expression whose words have conflicting meanings, creating an illogical or self-contradictory idea). At least it is contradicting in the way it’s currently used by almost everyone. In my view, “scientific proof” doesn’t exist as a reinforcement for “proof” or as a condition for “absolute truth”. The term “scientific proof” is used often by politicians or debaters who don’t (want to) understand what real science is.

For a politician – and many of their followers) – “scientific proof” is stronger than “proof.” In my opinion, “scientific proof” must be weaker than “proof” because true science always accepts that evidence is obtained through limited models. Therefore, in science, there is no such thing as absolute scientific proof.

“Scientific proof” and “unscientific proof” are two exclusive subsets of “proof.” Proof is either scientific or unscientific and no other type of “proof” can therefore exist. This means – by definition – that proof that is obtained without the use of scientific models – exists.


The problems start when propagandists sell statements as “absolute truths”. To establish these so called “absolute truths” they use “scientific proof”. To become “scientific” the propagandist needs somebody that has a diploma from certain institutes (and is therefore allowed to be called a “scientist”), and a model that is created by “scientists” and some proof of experiments (called “science”) to make claims fall in the category of “scientific proof”. Now when you financially control the institutes that produce “scientists”, and you can control what they are allowed to learn, and you can control the funding of the “science”, and you can refuse to share the models and experiments (because you are protected by IP legislation), the subset of “scientific proof” suddenly becomes very narrow and opaque. What is allowed to be named “scientific proof” now becomes artificially scarce and obviously extremely biased. This has now become the way that propagandists claim that something is an absolute truth. The reality however, is that – in science – no absolute truths exists. There is only “proof based on models”, nothing more.

Like anyone – that reports about events that happen somewhere – should be considered as a real journalist, anyone – that reports about results of experiments of a model – should be considered as a real scientist. It doesn't matter if you are 5 or 95 years old, or if you have studied at a proper university or not. Or if you are able to publish your findings in a renown scientific paper, or get your report “peer-reviewed”. Absolute truths don't exist in science. And anyone that claims otherwise, is a propagandist.

Any person that utters the words “the science is settled” is per definition a fraud and should – by definition – immediately lose all his credibility including any conclusion in any of his work. Anybody that labels anybody else for example as a “conspiracy theorist” is also per definition a fraud that never again should be trusted. The only right answer to anybody that challenges any story that is presented as “scientific proof” is transparency. Anybody that challenges any “scientific story” should be presented – for free and without limitations – all the available “proof”, including the exact method and reasoning behind every experiment that was conducted. Any time any shred of evidence is being withheld, we can accuse the person or institute that tampered with proof of being frauds, liars and scammers and directly have enough reasons to never believe this person or institute again.

People – that work in the media – that talk about “public trust in science”, have clearly not the faintest clue what science is. Nobody should ever trust science. Science has nothing to do with trust. Science is a system that is only based on distrust. A real scientist only believes his own eyes, should challenge every story and should never trust anything. Only people that distrust anything, are proper scientists. Everyone else are propagandists with other agendas and are therefore anti-science.

This is also why “Freedom of Speech” is the most important human right. Any attempt to limit this #1 human right, is a direct attack on science.



2.3.2 Turning Abundomy Into Real Science

People often say that Economy is not a real science because any experiment in any part of the economy changes the economic system, making the outcome not reliable. Because you can't create something like a “control group” conclusions are always subjective. Personally I think that the notion that “Economy is not a real science” is true, but that this conclusion has little to do

with how experiments can be designed or conducted. To me the problem of “Economy as a science” lies in the foundational secrecy. As a scientist I am not allowed proper insight in the actual money creation and I don’t get full insight in all the transactions that are done.

“The purpose of studying economics is not to acquire a set of ready-made answers to economic questions, but to learn how avoid being deceived by economists.”

Jean Robinson

For the reason why I (and any other economic scientist) are not allowed access to this information, I created a little hypothesis about the victors of any war in the last many centuries and their position as the only people we allow to print money out of thin air. I immediately admit that I don’t have proper proof for this hypothesis. The only proof I can show is the absence of proof of the contrary (that no victors exist) and the proof that we are not allowed to see the Ultimate Beneficial Owners (UBO’s) of the central banks and all involved money flows. So my conclusion is that if we can’t have data about the basics of our economy (how money is created in the first place and who benefits from this and to what extent), any other experiment that is being done in the field of economics will fail because any design of any experiment lack insight in the most crucial question in this scientific field: “How is money created?”

Example

To give an example of this: We can do experiments with UBI. Let us use the “Unconditional” version instead of the “Universal” version when distributing this “Basic Income” (UBI). Lets assume we give some people of a remote tribe in South America US\$ 50 or US\$ 500 per month, and see what happens. Do they start drinking alcohol or using drugs, or do they start a new business? We can observe that and conclude that – for example - if we give only US\$ 50 per month the people just consume it on food, clothes and alcohol, and that with a UBI of US\$ 500, people start to build enterprises. Now the issue with this experiment is this: Where is this money coming from? Do the people that print money out off thin air support this program? What will happen when everyone in the world receives US\$ 500 unconditionally? Does everyone start enterprises? It is easy to see that when the source of money is hidden, experiments like this UBI experiment, have very little value.

Policies

When you know nothing about the process of money creation, how can you change the system with the results of this experiment. You might think that you can persuade politicians to start pushing for this UBI system, but if the people that print money out of thin air already have all the politicians in their pockets, how do you get this political process working? You can quickly see that without transparency about the full system, experiments like these are nothing more than shots in the dark. you can clearly see that – for example – making a simulation is impossible without having insights in the fundamentals of the financial system. This issue of proper insight in the basics of the system make any policy proposal “unscientific”. Institutes that “advise politicians on policy-effects” can be regarded as “unscientific” as none of them have clarity about the foundations of the economy. None of them have ever obtained proper insights in the foundations of our current financial system. It always came down to that “people just need to believe the economic science” when policies were voted on. To me that is enough proof that any economic decision is based on propaganda and that no real meaningful economic science exist. And I can’t conclude anything else than that this is done by design. If our economic system was transparent, it would already have been replaced.

Change The System

To create a system that can be considered to be “scientific”, we need to create it from scratch as it should be very obvious that the powers that control our economy will do whatever it takes to keep is opaque. Transparency is what the owners of the system fear most, and because they control all governments, all police, all judges and all armies with their money, there is no point fighting them. The only thing we can do is use our right of “Freedom of Speech” to imagine other models and discuss these ideas with others. The basis of an alternative to the current economic system needs to be rooted in science. And the only way to do so is to make sure the system is transparent as transparency is the only condition to allow other scientist to cooperate and improve our new system.

Foundation Of The New System

We established that transparency is a fundamental feature. But what are the other pillars a new system should be based on? As said in the introduction, if we want to design an alternative to the current economic system, we first need to determine what our building stones are. Our building stones are the abundance of natural resources. In the chapters above I made an inventory of what we need to work with. I dug up some information from some online sources, and this is the best I could do at the moment. I added some links and put some caveats. As a proper scientist, I however want to invite all other scientists to challenge these numbers and claims. I also would like to ask other scientist to expand on “the inventory and usage”. What other important natural resources should we add, measure and make transparent to our community? The better we describe our context, the better we can do experiments in the form of simulations to challenge the strength of our new design of an alternative to the current Economy. A system I named “Abundomy” as it should be based on the abundance of resources that are available to us.




3 Spiritual War

Next to creating context with objective numbers of the world we live in, we also need to create “human context”. Where “Economy” is presented as something that just happens, the reality is that it’s quite the opposite. Through indoctrination and manipulation, our “Economy” has become an evil trap. In our alternative system, we need to prevent any deception.

The invisible hand is a metaphor, introduced by Adam Smith, that describes how individual self-interest in a free market can lead to unintended but beneficial outcomes for society as a whole, such as efficient resource allocation and economic prosperity. In this system, the forces of supply and demand, driven by individual actors pursuing their own gain through competition, guide resources to their most valued uses without the need for central planning or significant government intervention.

We are told to believe that all issues from our current economic system are caused by the selfishness of many of the participants, but that these issues are not directed. We need to believe that all problems are like a natural result of a system that is supposed to operate freely.

Our indoctrination on that subject is so strong that we have great difficulty to believe we are all deceived. We just can’t believe that all big issues are created by a small group of people that operate behind the scenes.



Adam Smith

3.1 Love For Having Versus Love For Creating

3.1.1 Exclusivity

One of the strongest forms of indoctrination that almost every person in power uses – and that is going on for thousands of years – is to shift the joy of creating and the admiration for creators (and their creations), to the admiration for people that own a lot of material goods and to constantly focus on how nice it is to own material goods. The shift from craftsmanship to materialism.

When you ask a kid why he wants to be like Ronaldo or Messi, he will tell you that he wants to be as rich as his idols, to win the world cup and have all these fans that adore you. Probably no kid will say that he would like to have the privilege to be able to spend countless hours of intensive training and using every waking moment on improving his skills, just to see how far he could take his body and mind. No kid sees the joy of building their actual skills to be able to create original actions that will mesmerize the people that enjoy watching the game.

“Fake it until you make it” is a slogan many “influencers” use. Renting clothes or borrowing some friends expensive cars to pretend you are successful and by pretending, trying to get more followers. There is little doubt that we currently are at the pinnacle of “Consumerism” where it is almost of no importance if you have valuable skills, but where it is extreme important that people believe you have a lot of expensive stuff, including perfect looks. Artificial scarcity is

re-branded as “Exclusive”. The word “Exclusivity” itself already says enough. It is all about me having something that other people don’t have – or at least need to struggle deeply for. We are taught to aspire owning exclusive things. We are taught that owning scarce things is a virtue and a reward for people that are special.

This focus on owning exclusive things has a very dark side. We are told that people that are not able to acquire “exclusive” things, must be doing something wrong. We already know that the artificially created social norms are devastating, especially for young women. This materialistic frenzy is likely even more problematic for young men. Men that will never be able to fulfill the warped visions of young women about what happiness looks like.



3.1.2 Break The Exclusivity Protection

One could reason that – in order to break this materialism frenzy – we should create a communistic society. A society where everyone should have access to more or less the same items. That kind of reasoning looks a bit like the school uniform discussion: Uniforms are required to prevent the kids from poor families to be jealous of the designer clothes of the kids from wealthy families. To me – uniformity and equality – is only pushed to create sheep in the public sphere while creating a desire for exclusive products in the little spare time that is left.

“Exclusivity” is a carefully created system. A method to forward the evil agenda of the people that own the current system. A system that purposely creates artificial scarcity, to first teach us to be egoistic to be successful, and then tells us we should blame ourselves for being egoistic because we destroy the earth. It’s a recipe to get people confused and to teach them that transforming to a uniformed obedient communist slave is a virtue and stops the confusion.

We shouldn’t fall for this trick, stop admiring “celebrities” that are flexing their exclusive stuff, and instead start to appreciate the skills of creators and their creations itself. And even more important: Start learning real skills from other creators yourself!

3.1.3 Owners and Creators

There is a permanent increasing financial imbalance in our current system. This imbalance allows certain people to buy not only products, but also to buy the exclusive rights to products. For example, let's say there is a talented creator that struggles to make a living. A wealthy person can come in and "help" the creator financially. In return – the benefactor purchases the rights of the future work of the creator. The legal system that is built around "Copyrights" and "IP-Rights" is supposed to protect the rights of creators. In reality however, this legal system benefits only the owners, at the expense of the creators. It even gives the owners the power to force the creator to restrict his production, in order to make the product "Exclusive". This means that Copyrights and IP-Rights are the legal basis to make sure scarcity can actually be created and profits can be maximized.



Now you might argue that Copyrights and IP-Rights are necessary to protect the interest of investors and that – without this protection – no new risky products would be developed. You might argue that without the protection of Copyrights and IP-Rights no cure for cancer will be found, because the risk for the investors gets too big. Now imagine that the public would have access to money, so that the public could fund the research themselves. What would happen if the public wouldn't have Copyrights or IP-Rights protection on their products? I would say that the public wouldn't care much about Copyrights and IP-Rights protection. The public would have little problems deciding to make "their medicine" generic and to reduce production costs to a minimum so everyone with cancer could be helped. Besides that, the creators of the medicine would be praised, get famous and asked to create other magical solutions. People would see those creators as an inspiration. This in opposite of the current despising of companies, that use patents to create scarcity, so they can pay their shareholder the highest possible bonuses.

When we start sharing exactly how people created new products (instead of hiding it from the "competition"), people with actual skills can use that extra know-how to invent even more useful products. You can say that in the "Abundomy", the real creators are protected by making sure nobody can own the rights of their creations.

“Consumerism” and “Scarcity” are methods that are created with very evil intentions. It allows the owners of the system to ultimately extract any wealth people create and make people blame themselves for their supposedly “selfish” actions at the same time. People should understand that the financial system is not an organically evolved system, but is – instead – a carefully constructed system to benefit only the owners of the system. The victors created the financial system step by step and slowly perfected it over centuries of time, to extract wealth and remain hidden. Once you understand this, then it is not only much easier to find the tricks that are used to achieve those goals, but you also can see what needs to be changed to never let such a system to be created again. That’s why the logic solution seems to be to invert the current system by installing a system that is created for humans. A system that allows and celebrates free creation over the illusion of ownership. A system that makes creations abundantly available to everyone. A system where sharing is at the center. In the end, the choice for that direction is a spiritual choice. People should start learning about the “evil” system and how it could be massively improved. Once enough people understand that leaving the evil system and adopting a new fair system is actually just a choice, the transfer to a fair system might actually happen.

3.2 Durability

Another trick to make people blame themselves for their selfish and wasteful behavior which is also exemplary for the system of scarcity, has to do with the durability of products. The public is blamed of “consumerism” where products are discarded when they show issues or become “out of fashion”. We need the latest I-Phone, the latest car design or most fashionable designer clothes. We are told to follow the trends to show others you are successful. To maximize profitability, fashion cycles are made increasingly shorter which also results in making products less durable. What is the point of a product that still works but is out of fashion? A producer needs to create as much scarcity as possible to maximize profits. That is why products are designed to go out of fashion as soon as possible, and the durability is reduced accordingly.

3.2.1 Creating Demand

A great way to create scarcity is to make sure products can only just survive their guarantee duration. Once the guarantee is void, the product should break down as soon as possible, to force the consumer to force to repair it, or buy a replacement. Creating a car or a phone that lasts over 20 years is – in the eyes of an economy based on scarcity – a capital sin. Durability causes potential customers to stay away longer.

Because of this feature, manufacturers create products that are highly fashionable, but are also on the edge of quality collapse. Another feature that is also on the rise, is making products or parts of the products impossible for customers to repair. Only replacement of entire modules or repair by certified repairmen that use highly specialized (and unobtainable) tools is currently – for many producers – an essential money generating part of their products.

We are all familiar with many examples of these extreme low quality products. Many of them come from China and are often sold in “developing countries” in Asia, Africa and South America. Especially cheap electronics (like phone chargers, earphones, extension chords), cheap construction tools (pliers, hammers, wheelbarrows) and fake designer clothes and shoes, now break down in a matter of weeks, days or even hours. For a customer this is a horrible situation. For a producer, this is a situation that is however actually desired. A producer is only interested in selling more products. That is his job.



Mountains of broken down, out of fashion products

3.2.2 Avoid Products With Short Life

As a customer, people blame themselves for not using the product carefully enough when it breaks down. The real issue is that – in our current economic system – producers are forced to work at the edge of reducing quality. Because consumers are not properly financially educated, they look for the cheapest product that they can find, not knowing that – when you take lifespan of a product in the financial equation – buying the cheapest product is almost always (much) more expensive than buying a product with a much longer life span.

One solution to this problem would be to educate consumers about these risks. This is however quite difficult without the actual data of expected lifespan of products. Especially when producers constantly are figuring out how to produce their products cheaper – and frequently introduce new products – it is quite impossible to help uneducated customers to financially assess the products they want to buy. Also the actual total costs to dispose a broken product is almost always missing in the cost-price of products. Without proper financial know-how, you will see garbage piling up, especially in countries where these cheap, low quality products are sold most.

The piles of garbage are considered as the result of “consumerism”. In reality – these piles of garbage – are not the result of consumers that want to buy all these products to surround themselves with. On the contrary, if that was the case and the products wouldn’t break down, the amount of garbage would reduce massively. The garbage is the logic result of an economic system that is focused on creating scarcity. A system that always will result in the production of massive amounts of “short life products”.



The Peugeot Pickup, probably the most durable car in the world

3.2.3 Market Transparency And Consumer Protection

In a system where the ownership of companies that produce goods is constantly cumulated by the people that control the financial system, the imbalance between the protection of consumers and the protection of producers will only widen. As a consumer it is virtually impossible to fight conglomerates like Ali-Baba or Amazon and the producers that use those distribution channels. As a consumer you simply don't have the money to hire lawyers that help you to be compensated in court in case a cheap consumer good gets issues. Consumers don't have the financial power to take the large financial risk of a court-case. For a multinational it is the opposite. They already employed enough lawyers to fight any consumer complaint. Legal costs is currently a vital part of any larger (multinational) company and is calculated in the cost-price of their products. Products that are designed at the edge of what is economically viable.

A good way to fight this issue, is to force producers to be completely transparent about the production of their products. As a customer you should be able to see exactly how the products are produced and how much producers pay (and to whom) to create and distribute their products. Only when all financial transactions of a producer are fully transparent to consumers, proper consumer protection can be put in place. When there is full transparency, consumers can have organizations that not only do quality assessments, but can also look at the production ethics of companies. Is money spent on proper quality materials or is most money siphoned off by shareholders, marketing and legal protection of companies? These kind of answers you would like to know before you purchase a certain product.

We are made to believe that financial privacy is needed to protect the common people. You however don't need to be a genius to figure out that your financial privacy is non-existent as you

need to show all your financial transactions to your banks and governments so they can “fight money laundering”. Instead, you – as a law-abiding citizen – have no capabilities (at all) to check the financial transactions of banks, governments and multinationals/producers.

That is the reason why the “Abundomy” that is proposed in this book, also refers to an abundance of information, meaning that all financial transactions of every individual, company or governmental agency should be made public and fully transparent. One of the effects of that transparency, will be a massive increase in the quality and durability of products, as the veil of secrecy around a system of quality reduction and profit maximization will be lifted. The other effect will be that the mountain of garbage (containing many discarded low quality products) will quickly shrink, which will have an very positive effect on the protection of our environment.

3.3 Technocracy and Transhumanism

When there is a small group of people that rule the world, that control the media, governments, legal systems, science, armies and police force, they will create agendas to, not only maintain their power, but to also shape the world according to their vision. Every major religion warned us against this phenomenon: People with too much power will start to think and act like they are God.

As said, we don't know who the victors are, but we can see the people that are directly controlled by them and what agendas they put forward. We can talk about “The mark of the beast” and “Usury”. We also hear those in power talk about “Useless eaters”, “Vaccination campaigns to reduce the population” and “15 Minute cities to save the climate”.



A Scene From The Movie 1984 (1956 version)

A lot of these agenda's can be captured under the terms “Technocracy” and “Transhumanism”. Now the question is: “What is the purpose of these agendas?”

When you read books like “1984 (written in 1949)” of George Orwell or “A Brave New World (written in 1932)” from Aldous Huxley, you can see a glimpse of the concepts that were already discussed almost a century ago. Aldous Huxley's brother, Sir Julian Huxley, was the first Director-General of UNESCO (United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization). While Aldous himself was not directly involved with UNESCO, his brother Julian was a prominent figure in the organization's founding and early vision, outlined in his paper “UNESCO, Its Purpose and Its Philosophy”. When you read the philosophy of Julian Huxley – published

when the various organizational parts of the United Nations were formed – you can clearly see what the basis of thinking was of the people involved in that process. For example:

"In 1946, Huxley quickly wrote a pamphlet that claimed to explain the institution's underlying philosophy. He formulated three aims for UNESCO. First, continuing the evolutionary process of increasing harmony. Second, making all environments equal (harmonizing them) and thereby revealing those who were less genetically endowed and unable to reach their full potential, even when they experienced the same economic and social conditions. Third, educating people about the underlying inequality of humankind, and the need to equalize environments."

and

At the time, UNESCO was also under pressure to preserve Christian principles in education. The Christian historian Sir Ernest Barker, who was a member of the Commission, swiftly protested what he thought was, as Huxley recalled, an 'atheist attitude'. Huxley's encouragement for birth control also displeased some on the Commission. Many of the countries that were to become part of UNESCO were still Catholic or of other religious affiliations, and found Huxley's evolutionary justifications and support for birth control repulsive. Reciprocally, Huxley clearly had no liking for the established Church. He later wrote that 'over-population was aggravated by the opposition of the Roman Catholic Church to what they called "unnatural" (ie, deliberate) birth-control'. In response, Huxley was forced to adopt a less overt approach. But there is no doubt that in his mind UNESCO would one day address the world's problems by pushing more directly for birth control.

You need to remember that the victors (the people that control the financial system) were full in charge of shaping the world in their image. In that image, the economic and social conditions for the masses first need to be equalized (to create order). This "order" must be created by the victors and the people that are chosen by the victors, because they feel that their pickings are better than following a democratic process of the masses. The next step is that population numbers need to be decreased by birth-control. Only the genes of the people that are able to lift themselves out of the masses are worthy to be preserved and multiplied.

There are many labels involved for this kind of thinking about acting like God, because that is what it is. Only when you are in full control of the financial system, you can start to think about creating a system where the masses "experience the same economic and social conditions". The most well know word to describe an economic system where everyone "experience the same economic and social conditions" is "Communism". Another word that describes a system where superior people (by breeding people with superior genes) rule the masses, is "Eugenics".


The use of technology to shape a world – where the people that control the technology rule the masses (masses that have technology based restricted or rationed access to the natural resources) – is named "Technocracy". Using technology to enhance the God-given qualities of people is named "Transhumanism".

Currently we can clearly see that the "leaders" that are under control of the victors, are working on applying vast technologies that are able to monitor and control exactly what we do. They know where we are, what we consume, who we meet, what we talk about and how we make money. Our "leaders" are increasingly experimenting with using technology to restrict our access to our basic necessities. 15 Minute cities are introduced, Digital ID systems are created and Central Bank Digital Currencies are developed at an incredible speed.

Obviously these systems are presented as necessary to protect humanity against all the things we need to fear, like pandemics, climate change and terrorism. But in the end those are systems to reduce your access to the abundance the world has to offer. Your access to real food (like meat) will be further restricted, your access to fuel (to travel) will be further reduced, your access to information and ability to speak freely (by meeting live people without surveillance) will be restricted. The people that own everything are working very hard to make the current economy – that is based on scarcity – much more efficient. With technology like AI, drones and

robodogs they can restrict every aspect of your life to the bare minimum. And then – when you are not able to excel enough, if you are not able to proof that your genes are worthy enough to be replicated – they will restrict your choice to have children. It is what they did in communist China before, and they will do it again.

This is what happens when we allow monopolies to go rampant. This is what happens when we don't pay attention of what is really going on in the world and what happens when we stop believing what we can see happening with our own eyes. Luckily many people are starting to see what is happening inside the chaos that deliberately created and is constantly being presented to us. Many of those people that can see reality, have religious backgrounds. This is because the various scriptures already warned us for the people that want to rule over us and want to play for God. It is a spiritual war that we are currently in, and the only way to change it, is to leave the opaque system of artificial scarcity and embrace the opposite: A transparent system of actual abundance.



3.4 Morality

The story about “the world can't sustain the increasing amount of people” is a very wicked type of indoctrination. Only people that think they are God themselves, abuse this nonsense to fabricate arguments to create scarcity for others. In the 4.54 billion years the earth has existed, there were many predators that ruled the world. None of them destroyed the earth. If there will ever be a time that humans destroy all the life on the land and in the 162 million m³ of seawater per person, we don't need to blame ourselves, or the generations before us. Such destruction can only be blamed on stupidity of humans, many, many generations in the future. For now, there is absolutely no reason – at all – to even assume that people will one day become smart enough to actually achieve such an enormous task and – at the same time - become stupid enough to not see that such a massive destruction is unwise. Currently, we are actually not capable to eradicate ourselves as a species. So please stop lying about this to the children.

In the bigger scheme, we already know that our solar system will cease to exist one day. Not by humans, but just by the physics of the universe. The only thing what remains for humans to do now, is to properly take care for the well-being of each other. The only way to achieve that, is to replace the artificial scarcity system of the people that do the opposite of what scriptures tell us. The victors do everything possible to destroy the well-being of all (other) people and use false morals and indoctrination to make people believe their lies. To shine light on the truth and to – one day – replace their evil scarcity system, should be our #1 priority at this moment.

4 Monopolies And Scarcity

One of the most well know board games in the world is "Monopoly". It teaches children (and adults) how the economy works. In the game, the bank has all the money and the players don't need to work but throw the dice several times to get around the 40 fields on the board. When the players pass "GO" the bank gives them money. With the money a player can buy 28 of the 40 fields. These fields are streets, railway stations or electricity companies. Once bought you can charge the other players (if they arrive on your street, railway station or company) money. When you own an entire street or all the railway stations and have a "monopoly", you can charge people - that land on your real estate or company - double. If you build houses or hotels on your street, you can charge even more. If you run out of money, you can pledge your real estate to get loans (mortgages) or sell your real estate to the other players. The player that bankrupts all other players wins. The game teaches children to count their money, do payments, take loans and most of all teaches the skill on how to take other people's money in order to bankrupt them. Financially destroying other people is a bit immoral, but hey, it's only a game....



Next to counting money, the game teaches you how you can create artificial scarcity. If you own all the streets in a city, if you own all the railway stations in a land of if you control the electricity companies, you can charge people - that happen to be landing on your street, station or company - large sums of money. And once people start running out of money, you force them - using the rules of the game - to take loans, sell their possessions or even better to bankrupt them and take all their possessions. If you don't have much, you become an easy target for the players that monopolized sections of the board. Because the other players monopolize the board, the poor players have no place to go and need their little money to pay for everything, just to stay alive. It is very realistic, because this is how scarcity is created artificially. When you have more money than others, it becomes easier and easier to take everything from the people that have little. You first take their land and when they have no land you can force them to pay for their food and when they run out of money, you can make them work for you if they want to survive. This is how scarcity works in the real economy. And reality is even worse!

Now imagine that another person can enter the game of monopoly. He can also buy streets, railway stations and companies. But this player is hidden. You don't see him. You only see him

when the banks pays something, because this hidden player is the bank himself. This player holds all the remaining money of the game that is not held by the other players. Now imagine that this hidden player is allowed to use a printer and can just print extra money, as much as he likes. He can borrow this money to one of the other players he likes and attach conditions to these loans. He can even use his military powers just to steel some streets.

What will happen is that the player he likes, can now buy every street he lands on. That player can easily buy more real estate than others, and more importantly, never has to sell anything. Very soon this preferred player will have destroyed all the others. Once only this preferred player and the hidden bank owner are remaining, the bank will proceed by putting tax on the real estate and put high interest rates on everything the remaining player has ever borrowed. Because all the other players are destroyed, the remaining player also has no income anymore. And with all the taxes and interest rates, the player that is the bank will quickly financially destroy the previously preferred player. Simply because the bank can print money out of thin air.

And this is how the real economy works. Banks are not part of a system that is "owned" by all the players in the game, but the bank is a player himself that is allowed by the other players to hide himself, print money out of thin air and is allowed to buy real things like streets with houses and hotels, complete railways and any other company you can think of.

In real life, these banks are owned by real people. People that we allow to participate in the game, that we allow to create money out of thin air and we allow to tax us, charge us interest. We allow them to buy our land, our companies, our politicians, our judges, our media and our scientists. And if we let them, they monopolize the food we need to eat, the water we need to drink and the air we need to breath.

Because we allow them to print money out of thin air, they can (and have) monopolized everything you can imagine. They will tell you that "them owning everything is in your best interest". They will even tell you that – because you dare to exist – the owners of the financial system have no other choice then to take whatever they want to prevent climate change, pandemics and terrorism. Any theory that claims that climate change is not real, that pandemics are not real, that terrorism is just to scare and surveil us and that secret players that print money out of thin air exist, will be labeled as dangerous conspiracy theories. That is, according to the victors and their paid buddies.

As I said before: I cannot prove who the secret player behind the veil is. What I however can see, is that this financial system – where monopolies that create artificial scarcity are part of the rules of the game – is bad. It is even worse than bad. It is evil. In the end it will always force people to abandon their livelihoods, move to the cities and become full-time slaves of the hidden victors.

In this chapter I will look at the 3 most important types of monopolies more in detail. I will show why each of these three monopolies will ultimately always result in one winner that owns everything, which means that all the other people end up working as slaves for that victor.



4.1 Money Creation And Insight In Transactions

You can say that the most important monopoly is the monopoly to create money out of thin air. It is certainly the fastest way to get to own everything. You just print trillions of Dollars and start buying everything.

In reality this is however quite a bit more complicated than that. If people – that work inhuman amounts of hours for some pennies – could see with their own eyes that a tiny group of people are printing money out of thin air, they would quickly organize, create a fairer new system and abandon the current system. It is not without a reason that the money printing process is extremely opaque and that the people – that ultimately benefit – are extremely well hidden. Nevertheless, this structure – that allows them to deceive us in believing the financial system is not this badly rigged – is ingenious.

Two Parts Of The Money Printing Monopoly

The money printing monopoly goes hand in hand with another monopoly: the monopoly of insight in other peoples transactions. Instead of using these two monopolies separately, I prefer to consider these two monopolies just as two essential parts of what is ultimately the 'money printing monopoly'.

This is because the money printing monopoly only works when it is hidden from the public. The money printing monopoly can only exist when it is clouded, when nobody has insight in the transactions that funnel any freshly created wealth to the victors. These two parts of the money printing work in both directions:

1. If you possess the sole ability to have insight of all transactions, you also possess the ability to print money out of thin air. Because – if nobody else can see that money suddenly appears out of nowhere in your account – you can freely create money.
2. If you possess the sole ability to print money out of thin air, you will want to hide that fact as soon as possible. Because – if people would know you have that ability – people would not rest before they can take that privilege away from you. So if you can print money out of thin air, you will quickly hire specialists like economists, politicians, media, lawyers, policemen, journalists and entire military armies, to make sure nobody “slanders” or “defames” you by claiming you do something nefarious. You can hire anyone you need anyway, because you literally can print “all the money in the world”.

To hide the fact that there are people that we allow to print money out of thin air, no stone has left unturned. Every country without a central bank was destroyed, the “science” of economy was carefully and intentionally made extremely complicated and confusing. Proper theories were pushed to obscurity, major actors were given immunity, pieces of land (like Fort Knox, and the land the Federal Reserve is built on, the City of London and Vatican City) are declared free from governmental oversight. Politicians that wanted and could have changed it, were assassinated. John F. Kennedy was killed over Executive Order 11110 challenging the Federal Reserve, like many other US presidents. Gaddafi's plan to introduce the gold dinar killed him. Saddam Hussein was killed because he nationalized the banks and decided to sell oil in Euro's. And most important of all: Speech about these reasons are immediately banned or labeled as conspiracy theories.

If you want to find some proof about the ability of a small group of people to create money out of thin air, you can take a look at the podcast of Tucker Carlson with Richard Werner, where World-renowned economist Richard Werner exposes the evils of the fed & the link between banking, war, and the CIA. You can also read about the creation of the Federal Reserve in the book “The Creature From Jekyll Island by G. Edward Griffin”.

4.2 Land Ownership

When you have the ability to create money out of thin air, the obvious thing to do, is to buy as many natural resources you can. If you are able to own all natural resources, you can make people pay for food, water and space to move around and enjoy life. If you would own all the land, you wouldn't need money anymore. Water would be more valuable than gold. With the monopoly of land, you will be allowed anything you want (including the monopoly to print money out of thin air) as long you give the people a little bit of water or food, to keep themselves alive.

Any system that allows private land ownership – in a way that natural resources can be monopolized – will lead to the same tyranny as the monopoly of money printing. To give you an idea how people in power think about monopolizing natural resources, read this example:

In a candid interview for the documentary "We Feed the World", Nestlé Chairman Peter Brabeck makes the astonishing claim that water isn't a human right. He attacks the idea that nature is good, and says it is a great achievement that humans are now able to resist nature's dominance. He attacks organic agriculture and says genetic modification is better.

Nestlé is the world's biggest bottler of water. Brabeck claims – correctly – that water is the most important raw material in the world. However he then goes on to say that privatization is the best way to ensure fair distribution. He claims that the idea that water is a human right comes from "extremist" NGO's. Water is a foodstuff like any other, and should have a market value.

He believes that the ultimate social responsibility of any Chairman is to make as much profit as possible, "so that people will have jobs". And just to underline what a lovely man he is, he also thinks we should all be working longer and harder.

Nestlé already has a very bad reputation among activists. There has been a boycott call since 1977. This is due to Nestlé's aggressive lobbying to get women to stop breastfeeding – which is free and healthy – and use infant formula (sold by Nestlé) instead. Nestlé has lobbied governments to tell their health departments to promote formula. In poor countries, this has resulted in the deaths of babies, as women have mixed formula with contaminated water instead of breastfeeding.

Part of the monopolization of land by the owners of the financial system, is using all kinds of evil political tactics on farmers. There is endless footage about this agenda. This video from journalist Alex Newman is just one example about ["The Global War on Farmers"](#). Also this video about ["Why Billionaires are buying up farmland all over the U.S."](#) clearly shows a trend where the ownership of natural resources is being monopolized. The problem with videos like this, is that it is (probably intentionally) unclear how much of this land is already owned by the people that print money out of thin air. Lots of this land is "leveraged", meaning that the owners borrowed the money to buy the land, are using the land itself as collateral. So as long the interest is being paid these owners are fine, but ultimately, the banks own this land. Just a little bubble needs to pop, and the ownership of the land goes to the people that own the banks that provided the mortgages. I expect (and that is just a guess) that over 99% of any land or company is actually owned by the victors in this way. The only thing they need to do – to make that visible – is to reduce the money supply a bit. Let's imagine that the central banks remove 5% of the money supply, and make the rules to get a loan much harder at the same time. When they would do that globally and stick to that policy, within probably 1 or 2 years, 99% of everything that you can possibly own, will flow to the victors. Obviously it doesn't make sense for them to do so, as it would expose the victors. But that is the power I expect the victors currently have.

*"Control the Food, control the People.
Control the Energy, control the Continents.
Control the Money, control the World."*

Henry Kissinger

4.3 IP-Rights

In the World of Scarcity, many laws are written and massive propaganda has been used to defend methods that benefit the oligarchy at the expense of the common man. One of the most cunning and devious method is the concept of "Intellectual Property" ("IP").

The propaganda shows nerds that invented operation systems for computers in their parents' garages and became billionaires by securing the intellectual property of these inventions. Think about people like Bill Gates, Steve Jobs, Mark Zuckerberg and Jeff Bezos. Or what to think about the inventors of Santa Claus: the Coca Cola company with their massive vault to protect the IP of the most valuable recipe in the world.

Now the propaganda tells you that the IP-laws are there to protect the entrepreneurs that put all their risk capital and sweat and tears in the development and marketing of these unique products. The propaganda tells us that without this IP protection, human development would come to a standstill, as creative minds would have no incentive to create, if they can't monetize their creations.

This narrative would make some sense, if people would have fair and equal access to capital. It is however reality that most people don't have access to capital. They don't have access, because we allow a tiny group of people to print money out of thin air, and – with that privilege – finance only important projects that are in their self-interest.

To get this massive power, the victors used multinational companies that are used to hoard money, hoard natural resources and hoard IP-Rights. That IP-Rights are extremely important to obtain monopolies, we will show below:

IP And Medical Tyranny

During 'Covid-19' we could see how IP is abused. In 2015 preparations were already made to file for patents related to Covid-19. Everything started with the PCR test that is invented by Noble Prize winner Kary Mullis. Kary Mullis is on video, explaining why his test can not be used to diagnose any disease! Kary Mullis was vilified after he exposed that Dr. Anthony Fauci and his colleagues – that owned IP-Rights of certain AIDS-medicines – couldn't provide proof for the existence of AIDS. This happened when this group asked Mullis to speak at an AIDS convention. Mullis conveniently died weeks before the Covid-19 events started, that was – just like AIDS – completely based on his PCR-test.

It is important to understand that the chemical design of the "medicine" that is used to cure "diseases" like Covid and AIDS, is nicely tucked away behind a veil of secrecy, that is derived from the IP-legislation. The protection of the companies that produce these "medicines" is much better financed and organized than how common people are able to protect themselves. People can only rely on their "trust" in authority. In the end IP-rules prevent people to get proper information about these technologies and products.

Another IP scandal of similar proportion is how DNA is misused in Genetically Modified Organisms (GMO) crops. In this field the propaganda tells us that humans can now use technologies like CRISPR to find and replace bad genes in a genome (the DNA of an organism) to prevent diseases or to make crops more resilient against bugs, fungi or bad weather. Mathematically these claims make no sense. For example: Humans have an estimated 3.2 billion base pairs in their genome. Now let's assume we would have an extreme simple organism with only 4 base pairs in its genome. Each pair can have 4 instances: a, c, g or t. This means that with only 4 base pairs there are 4^4 – which is 256 – different genomes possible. Now let's assume that we suspect that any of these 4-base-pair organism – that has the genome

sequence c-c-c-c – has issues with one certain fungus. To be able to prove that suspicion, we first need to know for sure that this always is the case and that no other combination in the genome causes the same issues. To prove that statistically is already a massive amount of work. This is because for every test we need to grow new organisms, check their sequences, make them have only contact with that specific fungus in a as exact as possible similar way. When we have a organism with just 4 base pairs we might have enough time to prove this. But it already will take many years where also many mistakes can be made.

In reality there are however no organisms with only 4 base pairs. Real organisms have millions or billions of base pairs. Because of that fact, there is simply not enough time in the universe to conduct this kind of science. Obviously the science propaganda will like to make you believe that humans are smarter than God. But until they show real proof instead of hiding behind the veil of protecting their IP-Rights, we should not believe anything of it.

Now what is the point of this game? The goal is that the public must believe that scientists are indeed smarter than God and therefore need all the IP-protection and security they can get to do their Godly jobs without supervision. What however actually is happening, is that the companies these "scientists" work for, only change the DNA sequence of living organisms so they are able to obtain the IP-Rights of that organism. It doesn't really matter if the altered DNA does anything relevant. The only thing that matters, is that the multinational can prove he is the owner of the crop as it was him that changed the DNA. That is all these companies really care for.

Getting the IP-Rights of crops is namely a perfect method to bankrupt farmers and take their land. This is how it works:

You provide for example sequenced soy bean seeds with altered DNA to farmers for an entire crop for free. The farmer needs to sign a contract that they can keep the proceeds of this improved GMO bean only one time, the moment when the crop is harvested. If the farmer is happy, he can buy the same seeds for a price in the next year. The farmer is however also allowed to walk away from it and to go back to use the non-GMO seeds of the beans he always used before. The farmer is however not allowed to use seeds that he possibly obtained from the GMO crops. If he does, there will be massive fines to pay. The contracts can even be so rigid that the multinational GMO seed supplier is entitled to seize the farmers' farm, if the GMO-seed-supplier can prove the farmer broke the contract. Now what happens when the farmer returns to his old seeds? Some of the GMO crops will grow anyway, since it is impossible to remove all the seeds and roots of the GMO-plants that are left in the soil. The multinational now enters the land and start sequencing the crops. If he finds crops with his GMO-DNA, he can now fine the farmer or even take his entire farm when the farmer is not able to pay the fine.

This exact scenario happened in India and has resulted in the suicide of tens of thousands of farmers that lost their farms and livelihoods this way, and have no means to fight the multinationals legally over these kind of evil practices. Normally living organisms can't be patented but CRISPR makes this possible. And because of that, the victors are now able to claim ownership over Gods creations and create more artificial scarcity for anyone that doesn't have the financial power to create this legal monstrosity.

IP And Surveillance


The second way IP helps the multinationals, is by protecting the secrecy of for example the development of operating systems and specific software of phones, laptops and personal computers. We already know that - especially smartphones - share massive amounts of user-data, each day to the phone manufacturers. Especially the smartphone is currently the ultimate

spying device and uses software like social media apps and operation systems to send your data to the multinationals that develop the devices and software.

Ultimately it is the IP and encryption technology that hide these illegal practices of the software and hardware manufacturers. And don't have any illusions about it. Once the victors install their New World Order with 15 minutes cities, digital ID's, internet without anonymity, legislation against encryption, facial recognition, Central Bank Digital Currencies, Universal Basic Income, Social Credit Score Systems, Carbon Credit Score Systems, forced vaccination QR code systems, Social Distance Rules that can be switched on or off at any moment, robot dogs, drones, 5-6-7G WiFi systems, microwave crowd control management weapons, well trained undercover police force consisting of many migrants and a perfected AI surveillance grid, at that moment they don't need their IP legislation anymore. Once you are tucked away in the digital dystopia, controlling you will be easy. That is why they currently still need to make us believe that IP-Rights are there to benefit us.

IP And Propaganda

Many artist feel protected by copyright regulations. But when you look closely, you can reason that copyright only helps a tiny group of artists, and even those feel that something's terribly wrong. Let's take a look at the music industry. Many of the real big artist have stated that they have sold their soul to the devil. What actually happens – is that once the owners of the system spot actual talent – they sign them up to a record label. Artist know that only a proper record deal, can propel their careers. Therefore they are all happy to hand over all their copyright rights, to companies that are funded with money that is printed out of thin air. The artists know perfectly what they are doing and accept being a slave to the owners of the record company.



Prince wrote "slave" on his face in 1993 to protest against his record company.

In some very rare occasions, artist that didn't sell all their copyrights see a song stolen and – if they are brave, lucky and wealthy – can claim some revenues back from the perpetrators. They however also know that if you fight the record labels, they can make the rest of your career very difficult. As a result most starting musicians just put out their music for free on social media, just to get noticed, copyrights or not, it doesn't matter and it doesn't stop any creativity.

Obviously this copyright issue is not only a feature in the music business. All the other "Superstars" like the Hollywood film-stars, writers, sportsmen, fashion-designers and mannequins, TV-presenters and actors, "journalists", "scientists", politicians and even royalty, participate in the same IP-system. Making any content to be owned by the victors that can subsequently make the access to that content scarce.

Access to content is obviously important, especially when it is proper scientific content. Being able to hide recipes for important medicine or tests to make for example drinking water safe and patenting organisms to restrict access to food and destroying farmers in the process, are all actions that are beyond evil. Again, also this third monopoly ultimately enables owners of it to take control over all available land and to obtain the right to create money out of thin air.

4.4 How To Break These 3 Monopolies

It should be clear that any of these 3 monopolies will ultimately lead to a tiny group of people controlling everybody else. This will ultimately become visible with the installment of a New World Order that will use tyrannical surveillance and policing methods to keep the masses in line. Masses that will receive orders about what work to do and what to consume, and that will receive an allowance that can only be used under strict conditions. It will be like communism but now with highly sophisticated AI-driven technological supervision and perfectly measured rationed access to food, clothes and other things you need to survive. It will be scarcity to the extreme. Just enough to keep you alive and entertained, that is, if you don't cause problems like having an opinion. Only the controllers of the system are allowed to enjoy the abundance of the world while they are trying to genetically improve humanity and improve their skills and abilities with trans-human technologies.

Well, I don't know about you, but I don't think this is why God put us on the earth. And that is also why I think it is important to step away from their current "economic" system and create a much better system. A system that is based on using the abundance of the earth and that is designed from the core to benefit everyone. We don't need a system that is designed – from the core – to benefit only a tiny group of people that is selected by the victors, and allows this group to use anyone else as their servants.

By now it should also be obvious that all 3 of the monopolies that are mentioned above, need to go. Even if one of the 3 monopolies remains, we will not be able to escape the looming tyranny. Next I go through the 3 monopolies again and indicate how they can be broken.

4.4.1 Break The Monopoly Of Printing Money Out Of Thin Air

The biggest challenge to transfer to a "Abundomy" will be the breaking of the monopoly of "Printing money out of thin air". There are 2 big challenges:

4.4.1a *The Adoption Of Ethical Money*

When you have the privilege to print money out of thin air, you can purchase anything that looks like money (gold, silver, all cryptocurrencies, local coins and cash). You can also purchase all land, all (future) natural resources, all companies and IP-Rights. Since the massive purchase by the victors of anything in that list, is based on deception, all the money types above, are tainted by this "unethical money system". What does that mean?

The Example Of Boss Jimmy

Let's give an example of a very big fish market in the harbor area of a massive city. Almost everyone in this city ultimately eats fish that is sold in this market. Now there is one person in the harbor that has friends that print money out of thin air. His name is boss Jimmy. Nobody knows boss Jimmy has access to so much money, because boss Jimmy works very slowly. In 40 years he bought several fishing boats, fishing shops, fish restaurants, he pays for some of the projects of the officials of the government that decide about fishing laws. Everybody thinks that boss Jimmy is just a very smart business man, but in reality boss Jimmy can just go to the bank, pick up as much money he likes and buy a larger portion of the fishing business.

Because of this, he has many ways to make life very difficult for the other fishing families. You might think that is not nice. You might ask: "Why would boss Jimmy do that? Boss Jimmy already has more money than he will ever be able to consume." What people however don't know, is that boss Jimmy is told by the people - that give boss Jimmy as much money as he needs - what he needs to do. If boss Jimmy doesn't do this, they will take all boss Jimmy's businesses. So boss Jimmy just does what he is told.

Recently boss Jimmy is told to destroy all businesses of the remaining stubborn entrepreneurs that won't sell their boats, market licenses and fish restaurants, because these families want to secure the future of their children. The people that print money want to own everything quickly now so they can install a communistic government. A communistic government means that everything about your life is decided by the government (what kind of work you do, how many kids you are allowed to have, where your kids go to school, what they learn, how much money everyone earns, what you are allowed to read and what you are allowed to say).

The people that print money out of thin air, they need this communism very badly, because – if people understand that they have been lied to all of their lives – they might riot. That is also why the people that can print money out of thin air operate slowly. But now more and more people start to see the lies of the financial system, boss Jimmy is told to speed up.

What boss Jimmy can do to help his founders, is to lower his prices. When he just lowers the prices in the fish market, many customers will decide to buy their fish from boss Jimmies market shops. Boss Jimmy doesn't care because – if he is losing money – he just gets more money from the people that print money.

The other shops ask boss Jimmy why he has dropped his fish prices so much. Boss Jimmy tells everyone that he thinks that the fish prices are so low because many people are struggling in the economy and won't buy fish when we won't drop our prices.

Now the other shops have no choice to also drop their prices. Some of the shops try to get loans to deal with the losses they are making, but are refused by the banks. So these people are now forced to sell their shops to Boss Jimmy. If Boss Jimmy refuses to buy, these shops are closed and go bankrupt. The shops that are run by people with some savings can continue for a while, but are still considering to sell as they can see their savings evaporate quickly.

There are even many more other ways to force the competition out of business. Boss Jimmy also could have asked his friends at the government to increase regulations. For example to increase the standards of cleaning the shops, cooling of the shops or increase other quality standards. Force everyone to do 5x more test to see if the fish contain bacteria. The possibilities to increase costs in the production process are limitless. When costs go up Boss Jimmy can just sit and wait until his competition goes down.

The people that can print money out of thin air will always get their way. And this is currently happening in every branch of every business. With companies as Amazon, Ali Baba, credit card companies, over-regulating governmental bodies like the EU, the middle class is being destroyed on purpose to get the communistic system being accepted by the masses without a fight.



And this game is played not only in the fish markets, but in any other market, including all financial markets, like all cryptocurrencies, all gold and silver, foreign exchange rates, shares, bonds and all real estate. When you can print money out of thin air, you will control every market, and - if you are smart about it - nobody will notice what is happening. It will be blamed on incompetence of politicians, greed of the shareholders of the multinationals and on the invisible hand of the economy. And if you dare to claim an agenda is being rolled out by people that conspire against the hard working people, then you're a dangerous conspiracy theorist and the police will be watching and visiting you.

What Can We Do?

Now we know more in detail how the monopoly of printing money is abused to gain control of any business, we need to look closer to the alternatives and their up- and downsides:

Not For Sale

The problem with any alternative financial system (like cryptocurrencies, precious metals, cash or local coins) is that – if you can buy a massive portion of those coins with "unethical money" (money you can print out of thin air) – the unethical money printers will ultimately use their majority position to push every competition out of those systems, maintain all important monopolies and install communism in the end anyway.



Mixing with unethical money is not possible

This means that – at the moment – it is not possible to introduce a better, fair (ethical) money system and expect that it will overtake the unethical money system. Because – when the money printers notice that an alternative system is growing and is starting to be a significant threat - the money printers will have many options to infiltrate it. By doing so they will “pollute” this possible ethical system in a way that it automatically turns into an unethical money system. Actually, it is simple logic that it is not possible to mix fair and unfair money. It is like trying to make the oceans drinkable by adding clean drinking water. The amount of pure drinking water you need to make seawater drinkable is simply too much.

This means that an important feature of the new fair type of money that needs to be created, is that it cannot be traded with our current money, and that – for example – no trading platforms should exist, where fair money can be bought or sold, using unfair money.

To keep any fair alternative system – that you would introduce today – unmixed, is impossible. It is impossible, because at this moment, almost nobody sees our fiat money (like euros, dollars, pounds, Rubles, Yen and Yuan) and the people we allow to print it, as the main source of almost all misery we can imagine. Most people simply have no clue how the financial system works and how we are being deceived with it.

Educate And Provide A Better Alternative System!

This means that the most important task we have, is to start educating everyone about this problem of our current money, and how important it is to abandon this unfair money as soon as possible.

What we however also need to do at the same time, is to provide an alternative system that is fair and can never be corrupted. We need to teach people about what that is all about, and how it should be realized.

When we create a new system, we need to understand that we are not talking about a system that gradually cleans the current system. Corruption in the current system is so deeply rooted after many centuries of deception, that it is simply impossible, to clean the system step by step. That is why I propose a “hard cut”. A moment of singularity in the not too distance future where the world population, jointly steps away from the current system – in the most prepared, orderly, peaceful and humane way thinkable. At that moment, we declare a complete – worldwide – debt jubilee and start running the ethical financial system. The beauty of that strategy is, that we won't be restricted in any of the design features of the new financial system. We can create it fully from scratch and make it in the best way thinkable, a way where everybody benefits.

When creating a new system, we need to focus on 5 features. The system should:

1. Create equality,
2. Make money neutral and unconditional,
3. Make the money supply impossible to inflate or deflate,
4. Make all transactions transparent to everyone,
5. Make sure participation is a human right for every living person.

1. Create equality

The most important feature that we need to look at, is the creation of money. When you ask the question:

“Should we allow a tiny group of private people to create money out of thin air, own it and then lend it out - with interest - to people, companies or countries?”

The answer to that question is obviously “no”.

When the answer is “no”, it is also obvious that we should seek better methods to create money. It is also clear that we need to have new money. This new money should however not be issued as debt (to a community or government). We already know that a debt based system doesn't work because debt gives the lender power over the borrower. Because money needs to be neutral, this means that we need to have a better way to create money. What are our options?

How to create fair money?

The first step of creating a new financial system is to find a fair way to create money. Assume the entire human race would travel to a new planet and would start all over again, how do we create new money in a fair way?

A. Repeat The Same Thing?

Do we – again - select a tiny group of people and tell them to first hide themselves and once hidden to create whatever amount of money they deem necessary, own it and borrow it to any person, company or government with interest? No, obviously nobody outside this tiny group of people would support that.

B. The Same, But Now Visible?

So – instead of allowing the money-printers to hide – should we force this tiny group of money-printers step out of their hiding place, but still allow them to start printing all the money, owning it and lending it out against interest? Would that be better and fair? Well, that system would already be much better as everyone now can see what is really happening. And everyone would quickly see that such a system is not fair at all.

C. Let Our Governments Take Care Of Money Printing

So perhaps we need more groups than one. Perhaps any government in the world should again be able to create their own money and then decide for themselves how much of it should be printed? Allowing governments to print their own money sounds quite fair. It however also has created big issues. Actually it is how our current corrupt system started.

The first question is obviously: How do the people know for sure that their government will do this fairly? Debt will give the governments an unfair amount of power over the civilians. The people in government would have tremendous power and the temptation to print a little bit extra money and use it to increase their power – for themselves and their families – is massive. They could create new secret services that threatens people with horrible things (if they – for example – don't vote the right way) and the same issues will return.

You also will – again – introduce the possibility that countries are threatening to attack each other and take over their capability to print money. Governments will start building armies and raising taxes to “defend themselves”. Even without war, you will have all kinds of opaque trade agreements, governments bonds to borrow money from each other, foreign exchange offices (Forex) that help to manipulate exchange rates. How transparent is all that? We have seen it all before and it led to the mess we are currently in. We should be honest. It never worked in favor of the common people, and it never will, when governments are in charge.



The Federal Reserve: Not Federal and No Reserve

D. Local Communities

We can even zoom in more, and let local communities be in charge of creating local coins. To cut this possibility short, we can simply state that a local community will have all the same issues as governments will cause when they are allowed to print our money. The temptations for people in power will be too great and the question – if the financial system actually works for everybody equally – will be of great concern.

Remember: Trying to create equality doesn't mean that the outcome for all people should be equal. The opposite is true. People that work hard will be able to earn more than people that are not able to work (like children and disabled elderly people). We only need to make sure that the people that we allow to control the financial system, don't abuse that privilege for their selfish interest. So that at least everyone has an equal shot at prosperity.

E. Creation Per Individual

The only fair way to create money, is when every single person "creates his own coins". The only problem that needs to be solved is "how do we make sure that some individuals don't create more coins than other individuals?". To solve that question you just need to create one rule: No individual is allowed to create more than 1 coin per unit of time, like 1 coin per second, 1 coin per hour or one coin per day. Let's assume it is 1 coin per hour, the rule is: "Every individual that participates in the financial system, creates one coin per hour during the time he is alive". When we make this money creation system completely transparent – so that everybody can check if nobody is cheating – then we have a fair system of money creation. We can even allow that the checking is done on a local level or even on a governmental level, as long as individuals are fully able to double check the findings of the local or governmental entities.

So can we conclude that money creation – like 1 coin per hour per individual – is the most equitable system? The closest contender is that we only allow people that do actual work to create 1 coin per hour. Or that we define classes – where a brain surgeon for example is allowed to create more than 1 coin per hour, because he needed to study until his forties before he could start to work – to see who can exactly create how many coins. You can easily see what the issue here is: "How do you define work?" A child playing with Lego that becomes an engineer, was he playing or studying? Is that fair compared to a kid that didn't have Lego in the first place? It is simply not possible to define actual work and to value it. It is also not necessary. Remember the tiny group that created all our money out of thin air. They didn't work (pressing a button on a computer that creates trillions of dollars doesn't feel like work to me) and still the money was accepted. So there is no need to create a relation between work and the creation of money. The relation is only created after the money is made available. As soon as society agrees that these digits on our phone are money or that some sea-shells are money, it becomes money. And the scarcity of the chosen coins in our wallets subsequently determine the value it has to all of us. After using it for a while – while initially over- and underpricing items – we will quickly learn what the prices of products and services should be – given our personal needs and personal access to the coins - and we can start using it properly.

2. Make Money Neutral And Unconditional

People – that want to control other people – will try to tell everyone that they have "scientific" proof that blames (other) people for doing too many wrong things while they are alive. They will accuse people of eating the wrong things, saying the wrong things, doing the wrong things, connecting to the wrong people and even accuse others to believe in the wrong things. Money always has been abused to control other people. The people that want to control others say – for example – that many people are not capable to educate their own children, that people travel too much or that people can't stop polluting the environment and endanger the entire species.

People that want to control other people always tried to create stories to justify taxes, so they (the "leaders") have free means to enforce "better" systems upon these uneducated civilians.

Now - with the Central Bank Digital Currencies, the 15 minute cities and banks that push for Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) and carbon credits - it is very clear that the money-printers want to make our future money totally conditional. This is however a very dangerous development. To make rules, communities historically always created laws and systems how to uphold these laws. A person was always innocent until proven guilty and didn't need to be afraid of a 24/7 AI surveillance system that tries to guess if a person might be having the wrong ideas.

To secure personal freedom and a humane life that is based on trust and the acceptance that humans should be able to make mistakes and learn in the process, a fair type of money – that has no restrictions built in at all – should be the basis of the financial system. It is up to the civilians and their local communities, how to deal with actual crime and to create additional systems (if necessary) to fund governmental activities. When civilians prefer to be taxed, they can simply choose for that. If they however prefer voluntary payments for government activities, this should also be made possible. Financial restrictions (like tax) should always be a local affair and therefore never be baked into the financial system as a default. Money should always be neutral to any local program and should be totally free from any conditions.

3. Make The Money Supply Impossible To Inflate Or Deflate


Imagine a fictional planet with 10 people that each own 10 coins and that the planet holds only hundred similar items, that everyone would need equally. In that case the price of each item would be 1 coin. If you then would double the amounts of coins in circulation – making everyone having 20 coins – in that case the value of the items, instantly becomes 2 coins each. You can see this as "money that is chasing products".

If the number of people would double on this planet, you can argue that the amount of products and services also would double. Twice the people means twice the capacity to harvest or mine the natural resources and double the production capacity to produce the products. For the services – like getting a haircut – the capacity also would double. For this example we disregard the efficiency of scale to not over-complicate this example. When every person simply receives one coin per hour, and the population doubles, also the number of products would double. In that theory, there would be no inflation as the amount of money that "chases" the number of products, would remain unchanged. Doubling the number of people would double the money supply in that 1 coin per hour system, where also the available products are doubled at the same time, and therefore would cause no inflation or deflation.

The example shows that the money supply should always be connected to the number of people that are alive at a certain moment. That method is the best way to avoid inflation. In our current system we can't avoid population related inflation and deflation: In the current system, a reduction in population would mean a reduction in products. Since the money supply doesn't change, the money supply per person does increase, which means inflation. And inverted: An increase in population in our current system would mean more products with an equal money supply, which means product would see their priced drop, which is deflation.

Demurrage

When 8 billion people would add 8 billion coins to the money supply, there is however another problem. In that case the money supply



*Silvio Gesell (1862-1930)
"Father of Demurrage"*

would always grow with 8 billion coins per hour and prices would also continually increase as a result of it. So how do you prevent permanent inflation in a 1 coin per hour per person money creation system?

The only way to achieve this in an elegant way, is to simply use a factor (like a percentage) to reduce the number of coins that are in circulation, and to do that also every hour. This reduction factor is called "Demurrage". this factor could be anything between 0 and 1 or anything between 0% and 100% when you use percentages. It can however not be smaller or equal to 0 or equal or bigger than 1 (or 100%). This is because if the reduction factor is 0, there will be no reduction. And if the reduction factor is 1 (or 100%) per hour there would be no coins because any coin that is created would immediately be completely removed from the money supply.

Now the mathematical formula to calculate the magnitude of the ultimate money supply is extremely simple:

The money supply per person is equal to one divided by the reduction factor, or:

$$\text{Money Supply}_{\text{per person}} = (1 / \text{Reduction Factor})$$

If we reduce the money supply with half a coin per hour per person, the money supply after thousands of years would become extremely close to $1 \div 0.5 = 2$ coins per person. But what you also will see is that within 7 hours over 99% of anyone's 2 coins will be in circulation. So the money supply is almost fully available within 7 hours.

If we would reduce the demurrage to a factor of 0.01 (or 1%) per hour, the money supply would become ultimately become $1 \div 0.01 = 100$ coins per person. In this case, 99% of the entire money supply of 100 coins per person would however be reached within about 19 days.

So if you would like to end up with a money supply that is 20.000 coins per persons (which is what I would like to propose) your reduction factor should be $1 \div 20,000 = 0.00005$ per hour. This means that – if you multiply all the coins that are in circulation with 0.99995 every hour – you will never get a money supply that is larger than 20,000 coins per living person. You can see how this works like this: Assume you never spend a coin, after 22 and a half year, you finally own 19,999.00001 coins in your account. Now when you receive one extra coin, you have 20,000.00001 coins in your account. But that same hour your money supply is however also reduced by this factor 0,005%:

$$0.005\% \times 20,000.00001 = 1.000000001$$

So 1.000000001 coins are reduced from your account, which means you now have:

$$20000.00001 - 1.000000001 = 19999.00001$$

It looks like the same number, but that is because the rounding digits now get so small that you can't see any progress anymore. Only if you wait for millions of years, your bank account would get closer to the full 20,000 coins, if you are able to stay alive that long.

What you can see happening in these examples, is that how bigger your reduction is, the faster you approach that boundary. So if you use a extreme small demurrage percentage, like 0.000001% per hour it will take many, many centuries to reach to 100,000,000 coins per person. When you use a very large factor (like 50%), you will reach to over 99% the final money supply of 2 coins per person within 7 hours after receiving your first coin. With a demurrage of 0.05% it will take about 13 months to create over 99% of a money supply of 2,000 coins per person.

With a demurrage of 0.005% (what I propose) it will take about 10 and a half years to create more than 99% of the final money supply of 20,000 coins per person. A demurrage of 0.005% per hour means that about 35.5% of the entire money supply is redistributed every year. You can see it as "money creation tax". It means that 35.5% of any money that you and anyone has created and has increased by working and is also reduced by spending, is taken away from everyone to allow you and everyone else in the world to receive this one coin per hour. Remember that this doesn't happen yearly but happens in very tiny portions of 0.005% every hour. You can recalculate all these little portions mathematically correct with the formula:

$$D_{\text{Hour}} = 1 - ((1 - D_{\text{year}})^{(1/(24 \times 365.25))}) \quad (D = \text{Demurrage})$$

A yearly demurrage 7% would be about equal to an hourly demurrage of 0.00083%. A 1 coin per hour system with a 7% yearly demurrage will take about 63 years and 4 months to create more than 99% of the final money supply of 120,000 coins per person.

Now I propose a 1 coin per hour system with a demurrage of 0.00005 (or 0.005%). This choice leads to a money supply of 20,000 coins per person where over 99% of the final money supply is created within 10 years. Why do I think this is a proper choice? There are a few reasons:

- I. *When we use a money supply of 20,000 coins per person, every family of 5 would have 100,000 coins to spend. If you look at house prices, that doesn't seem too much, but what you need to consider is that (and we will talk about that later) a conversion to this new financial system will be accompanied by a full debt jubilee. This means that all real estate becomes freely available for all the people that already live there. All car and all motorcycle loans will also be gone. So this 100,000 coins per family is only used to increase the standard of living of people. Now – when you consider that only a relative small portion of the world population needs new housing and most people use this 100,000 coins per family just for consumption of stuff like food and clothes – then 100,000 coins is suddenly a lot. This applies especially to people that currently make less than 2 dollars per day and need to feed an entire family of 5 from it.*
- II. *To make prices constant I would like to reach this 99% threshold of having the entire money supply in circulation, rather sooner than later. 10.5 years to reach 99% and having reached 89% in 5 years and 35% of the money supply per person in one year, seems to be a proper speed. To make it even better I actually suggest to start the accumulation of coins from 1-1-2022, so that every person already brings about 80% of his money supply to the system when he enters. With that we are able to see steady prices pretty fast.*
- III. *A third reason is that the global debt at the moment is estimated to be US\$ 325 Trillion. When all money is created as debt you can say that the current money supply is US\$ 325 Trillion. Now most of this money is completely out of reach of the regular people. So let's assume that half of the money that is in circulation, is actually used by the population. If you do that you get to:*

$$(\text{US\$ } 325,000,000,000,000 \times 50\%) / 8,250,000,000 \text{ people} \approx \$ 19,700 \text{ per person}$$

So If we would create new coins and we would like to make prices – expressed in that new coin – look like prices we are used to see in dollars, 20,000 coins per person would not be a bad idea.

What we need to remember is that- even when we allowed a tiny group of people to deceive us and create money out of thin air, that created artificial scarcity and created war, destruction, refugees, uncontrolled immigration, extreme low quality products, pollution and much more misery – humanity was still able to survive and progress in technology and science. This progress has never been as a result of the current financial system. It has been achieved in spite of this terrible system. Don't let anybody tell you that deception, war and destruction has helped humanity to achieve anything. It is the opposite. We survived because we know how to fall back on the abundance the world has to offer. When we are allowed again – after thousands of years of being denied this – proper access to the abundance the world has to offer, creating our money in a fair way will however enable a massive, unseen progress. By properly sharing a relative small portion of the money that the people – that are blessed with skills and strength – are adding to their wealth, we can make the less blessed people also live decent fulfilling lives,

And that is what life was supposed to be all about. I think that reorganizing the financial system and creating and redistributing money in the way I describe in this chapter, is the best way to realize a true fair system that will result in the greatest positive transition for humanity ever. This will happen because we are getting rid of the greatest evil parasite that has been bringing us down for centuries.

4. Make All Transactions Transparent To Everyone

When you have a monopoly on insight in transactions, you also have a monopoly on money printing. It is as simple as that, when you think about it. To the monopoly of insight, there is only one remedy: For at least the base layer (the base of the financial system where money gets created and where its “1 coin per hour coin” is used) everyone must be able to see everyone else's transactions. That you later build an opaque coin (for example a Monero type of cryptocurrency that can only be bought with the ethical money of the base layer) on top of the base layer – to provide financial privacy for certain transactions – is fine. These opaque systems can work fine, as long as the base layer stays fully transparent. With gold or diamonds, you can still create that privacy.

When you have a financial system where all base transactions are transparent, it becomes very difficult to steal money in this base layer. This is because all coins can be traced back – through checking all transactions the coins were used in – to the moment the coins were created. This feature will also make financial information abundantly available to everyone and to “science”.

5. Make Sure Participation Is A Human Right For Every Living Person

The last main feature of the new financial system is that no government, no judge, no police unit or local administration can block anyone to participate in the financial system. Because everything is transparent, each person can see who he's dealing with and can decide if he should continue to transact with a certain person. Participating in the financial system should be a human right, which is quite the opposite of the financial system now. As we speak, banks decide who is allowed to get a bank-account, and are actually denying people access to their own money, if they – for example – move to a “wrong” country, attend a “wrong” protest or post a wrong meme.

CONCLUSION ETHICAL MONEY

The first step to get to a fair system is to start educating people, that a very elegant method – to leave the highly corrupted financial system – now exists. This means that we can now start preparing as many people as possible, to be ready for a certain moment in the future, where we all leave the corrupt system and adopt a new fair system. It is important to understand that we can't start using a new system now. Again, this is because you can't mix the small portion of ethical money with the massive portion of unethical money that is currently used. Mixing the two will taint the clean ethical money too much, which will make the transition fail.

The technology for this new coin is much easier than any cryptocurrency or other alternative financial system. There won't be exchanges or complicated hashing solving procedures to take care of. Even the choice to run it centralized or decentralized is not really important. It could even run without the use of the internet, but would complicate the system quite a bit. I can even imagine a paper version with a QR-code indicating the value after demurrage.

The most important part to understand, is how the money creation issue is solved. A technology where money is created – by allowing everyone to participate equally – and where everything is transparent, will – by itself – already cause a massive restoration of prosperity for everybody. It will be a restoration that flips the switch and suddenly provides everybody access to our world of abundance. No other social, political, financial program will even come close to the effect of the

introduction of a fair money creation process. Only this replacement can undo thousands of years of deception, war and crime. Within a time span of less than 5 years people, will see an unprecedented shift in their prosperity. The importance of this particular aspect of the transition (the money creation part) of the financial system can not be understated. On top of that there will be the greatest debt jubilee in human history where the burden of at least US\$ 325 trillion will just disappear:

4.4.1b *The Return Of The Stolen Goods*

The second effect that will happen once we abandon the “Economy” and replace it with the “Abundomy” is that people will finally understand that almost all cumulation of wealth of the last thousands of years is based on massive fraud. The victors are obviously fully aware of the fraud, but there is a also massive group of people that got wealthy, because they were willing to exploit their often sociopathic tendencies at the expense of everybody else, to forward the agenda of the victors. Many of these wealthy people know exactly why they are used and why they allowed these victors to use them, but probably had little to no idea who these victors were and why these strange agendas existed in the first place. The good thing is that there are many records of the many crimes of this extreme wealthy group. So society will have sufficient information that will indicate how to deal with those people.



Below this rich group there is however a massive group that are almost all in debt. The “top” of this group are people that – while living in debt – are still allowed to live in luxurious villas, use expensive cars or even yachts. At the bottom of the group of people that live in debt are people that live in slums all around the world. Interestingly, the group without debt also includes a small portion of people who also live in luxury villas, use expensive cars or even yachts, but the majority of this group (of people who live completely debt-free) consists of people who live in the vast slums and remote rural areas. The largest group of people – that have no debt (yet) – are people that simply have no access to the banking system. This group contains possibly still one or two billion people that mostly aspire to enter the financial system, but were not allowed yet. This group is still not really trapped in it. Many of them still own a little piece of family land in some remote village and live directly from the land and mostly use barter to purchase goods.

Now the question is – when we would be able to replace the entire financial system with a fair one – how to correct the financial deception of the many past centuries?

Probably the best answer to that question, is to provide full ownership to everyone of the houses they currently live in, of the cars or motorcycles they currently use and any other things they use. This “event” to annihilate debt from communities has been spoken about in the Bible:

The tradition of jubilee, then, is deeply related to the Christian mission. As John Paul II has said: All jubilees refer to the messianic mission of Christ...

It is he who proclaims the good news to the poor. It is he who brings liberty to those deprived of it, who frees the oppressed and gives back sight to the blind (cf. Mt. 11:4-5; Lk. 7:22). The jubilee, "a year of the Lord's favor," characterizes all the activity of Jesus..... (TMA, 11).

Debt

Christians are called to apply the tradition of jubilee and continue Christ's ministry to the poor and oppressed in our own day and time. An important part of the jubilee tradition was the forgiveness of debts, giving those who had become overwhelmed by insurmountable debts a chance to start over (Dr. 15). Our Holy Father and the bishops of the United States have applied this tradition to the present day by identifying relief of debt among poor nations as an important element of our jubilee celebration during the year 2000.

"Thus, in the spirit of the Book of Leviticus (25:8-12)," Pope John Paul II has written, "Christians will have to raise their voice on behalf of all the poor of the world, proposing the jubilee as an appropriate time to give thought, among other things, to reducing substantially, if not canceling outright, the international debt which seriously threatens the future of many nations"(TMA,51).

The church's position on debt is rooted in the fundamental themes of Catholic social teaching.

Islam even has a stronger stance on debt forgiveness, as it focuses on the prevention of debt altogether:

As a religion which counts obligatory regular charity as one of the "five pillars" on which it is built, Islam cannot be practiced without due regard to its social dimension, nor without reference to economic justice.

The emphasis in the Quran is, however, put upon prevention of the very situation which makes the jubilee a necessity. In line with the Quranic demand that 'wealth should not only circulate between the rich amongst you' (59:7)

Islam categorically forbids the taking of interest to prevent the accumulation of large capital sums. Interestingly, to tolerate wrong-doing, or oppression, is considered as unacceptable as the perpetration of it.

(taken from Economic Justice and Muslim Values written by Sahib Mustaqim Bleher, former General Secretary of the Islamic Party of Britain).

That religions are extremely aware of the “Godly” issues with moneylenders, is very important. Financial trickery is at the core of what evil forces build their agendas on. The artificial world of scarcity that it has created, is exactly what the scriptures and prophets warned us for. We shouldn’t take the predicament we are in at the moment lightly. Our current system is pure evil. It is evil to its core and to every single detail that keeps the system going. This pure evil system is currently at the edge of its completion and creating a total control system. A technocratic surveillance system where freedom and religions will be phased out as soon as the victors are able to. The battle over which financial system will be used in our future, is nothing less than the ultimate battle between good and evil. The stakes for humanity have never been greater than now, so it is extreme important to follow the directions the prophets gave all of us. And their direction is debt forgiveness and debt prevention.

Unfair Situations

When debt is forgiven for everyone, very “unjust” situations will occur. On one side you will have people that live in a small house and almost have no debt – or even have large savings that might get lost when the system is replaced – leaving them with their small house they worked

hard for their entire life. On the other side you will have people that just started and could buy a massive house based on their salaries, but didn't pay back anything of their loan/mortgage. The latter would suddenly get their house free from any mortgage without having to do almost any work. This situation is per definition very unfair, but is the result of allowing a highly unfair system to fester for centuries. Again, local societies need to see how they will deal with this unfairness, but everyone that is involved should understand that replacing our current highly unfair system is exponentially more important than solving these "small" unfair situations. At this point, we also need to remember that – once the debt-jubilee and financial system replacement happens – systematic wealth extraction and systemic debt will be gone altogether.

With the parasite gone, hard working and talented people will quickly start to properly accumulate (financial) wealth. In this new "Abundomy" initial unfair imbalances will be balanced out quite fast. Especially the somewhat high demurrage percentage that is proposed, will also speed up that process.

4.4.2 Break The Monopoly Of Land Ownership

Probably the most complicated monopoly to solve is the monopoly of land ownership. Especially in our current system with monopolies of money printing, IP-Rights and deeply rooted land-ownership legislation. Only a full debt-jubilee – where people that work on leased land, or have their houses with mortgages on certain pieces of land, are allowed to take charge of that land – can provide a proper starting point for breaking with the traditional system of land monopolization.

The concept of land-ownership is - historically - responsible for the expulsion of most of the indigenous people from their land. The colonizers came in with maps, ownership ledgers, Code of Law, lawyers, judges, violence and bribes to take the land from the Indians, Aborigines and most other tribes in Africa, South America and Asia. This injustice needs to be reversed.

The "victors" that financed the colonization of indigenous people, recently also put their greedy eye on the land of European, North American and other farmers in the "developed" world. The theft from "Western farmers" wasn't perhaps as blatant and violent as the theft from the overseas indigenous people (the farmers that were "displaced" by Bolshevik Russia, Nazi German and recent Ukraine may beg to differ), but unhinged bureaucracy with state police backup, is still theft. Also that injustice needs to be reversed.

The Western land grab is a crime – based on deceit – because the companies that "buy" the land of farmers are funded by money that is printed out of thin air. This is enabled by an army of politicians that are also corrupted by the same deceitful money, just as most media and scientists that provide the "arguments" like climate change and scarcity reports. The victors are trying to complete their grip on all the natural resources. With it, they can finalize their world of scarcity and use their money-printing monopoly to keep full control over the rest of us.

The current money printing monopoly started when we allowed gold as our currency. The problematic feature of gold as money is that it can be hoarded. This allowed a tiny group of "Money-changers" to have a clear advantage over people that do actual work. Silvio Gesell saw this clearly over a century ago, and proposed a way to deteriorate the value of money to make hoarding of "money" less attractive or even useless. We spoke about this before.

Silvio Gesell was however too late. The victors already had the "financial upper hand" to start hoarding land and financed the creation of smart but deceitful "Code of Law"-rules. Combined with propaganda and a monopoly on (state) violence, they were able to enforce these "rules".

Silvio Gesell also saw the problem of the hoarding of land, but - as I see it - made a big mistake by not completely abandoning the concept of "land-ownership". His attempt - as well as the attempt of [Henri George](#) - seem both flawed to me, as they both use a government "enforced" procurement and financialized land-use method, as part of their solution.

"Government" is however a vehicle that is currently fully empowered to serve the victors, and with it, is an instrumental part of the problem. Once you allow bureaucrats to hold the monopoly of a combination of land-ownership and violence, it is bound to be abused and will ultimately lead to tyranny.

That is why I suggest to fund "government" (or an entity that resembles government) completely voluntarily and transparent. This type of leadership is traditionally and naturally arranged locally (like a committee of village elders). This organization doesn't own land, but can only advise. It consist of people that are respected by the community and give advice, based on their years of experience of living in that local community. This local leadership indicates who is allowed to use which part of the land in the community. And when the leadership makes a good case to kick people from the land - and the community backs that case - people can be forced from their land. In a world of abundance the remover people will likely receive fitting compensation for the situation. Obviously the local leadership might make mistakes, and even personal interests might play a role. That is why transparency, real journalism and voluntary financing of the leadership is so important. This financial structure should be able to help correct any of the mistakes the leadership makes.

As most people don't want to lose their right to use their land, it is to be expected that most people will try their utmost best, to be a valuable participant in the community.

By realizing this voluntary land-use system, it becomes easier for people to stay where they belong. It is also the opposite of financial land-ownership, which is a recipe to allow people to hoard land where they don't belong at all.

Natural Law


It is clear why we should never allow people – or their organizations – to own the air that we breathe. When the Universal Declaration of Human Rights states that "All humans are free", it means that we are free to breathe, free to move, free to enjoy the sunlight and free to consume what nature provides. That is also how the animals and the plants live.

It is naturally to claim territory for species and to create social structures to create peace and ensure survival of the group. Once survival is secured, claiming extra territory should not be necessary and should be considered as inappropriate.

With (financial) land ownership there is no natural boundary, especially when we allow a small group to print money out of thin air. Greed and control over other people are the reasons why the people that issue our money, love making abstract concepts like "countries" and why these people created something like the "United Nations".

Statism

To highlight the megalomaniacal extents of the victors, you can look at concept of [Statism](#). A good example of this madness is the "[Outer Space Treaty](#)", where the "ownership" of celestial bodies is arranged. You can see that in every of the 17 rules, the word "Nation", "State" or "Government" is used. They want to rule the universe!



I expect that everybody understands why we should not allow people to financially own the air we breathe. With people that print money out of thin air, that openly claim that water is not a human right, imagine what they can do with a "legal" position as "air-owners".

When you elaborate on the human right that "No human shall be held in slavery or servitude", then "not allowing anybody to own the air that we breathe" is an obligation for humanity. An obligation that is directly derived from the "non-slavery" human right. It might seem a bit strange that "not allowing anybody to own a specific resource" can be an obligation. It looks even opposite to "Every human has the right to own property". The problem with ownership is that – when we are in a system where certain people are theoretically able to monopolize things that people need to survive – some victors can maneuver the rest of us into slavery and servitude. That is why – just as air and water – no natural resources should be allowed to be possessed.

Don't be fooled. The victors will let their puppets claim that they have these very responsible, very important and very complicated jobs to protect all humans and keeping them safe. Whatever they claim, the real goal of the victors – and their monopolization of all land and its natural resources – is to create safety and pure freedom for themselves and to create pure slavery and servitude for the rest of us. It is not by accident that the real victors won't expose themselves, hide their wealth in untraceable off-shore trust funds and hide themselves on remote islands in well secured mansions. From there the victors tell the politicians and CEO's on their payroll, how to pretend that they are real leaders and finance the PR to achieve that.

To understand what true leadership means, we should study Confucius:

Confucius taught that good government is fundamentally rooted in the moral character of the ruler, who must be a superior man (junzi) and a model of virtue for the people to emulate. He argued that a ruler should lead through virtue (de) and the rules of propriety (li), rather than through laws and punishments, as the latter only instill fear of penalty without fostering a sense of shame or internalized moral duty. According to Confucius, when a ruler's conduct is correct, the government is effective even without issuing orders; conversely, if the ruler's conduct is flawed, orders will not be followed. The ideal ruler is one who governs by setting a moral example, ensuring that the people are guided by a sense of shame and goodness.

Confucius emphasized that the foundation of a stable state rests on the trust of the people in their rulers. In a well-known dialogue, when asked about the essentials of government – food, military equipment, and the people's trust – Confucius stated that military equipment could be dispensed with, and even food could be sacrificed, but if the people lose faith in their rulers, the state cannot stand. This underscores the paramount importance of trust and moral integrity in governance.

The process of achieving good government begins with self-cultivation. Confucius outlined a sequence where individuals must first discipline themselves, rectify their hearts, and extend their knowledge before they can bring order to their families, govern their states, and ultimately bring peace to the empire. This concept of "correcting oneself before correcting others" is central to Confucian political thought. The ruler's personal virtue is the root of all effective governance.

Confucian governance also emphasizes a hierarchical yet reciprocal social order, where each individual fulfills their role with defined duties – such as the prince being a prince, the minister being a minister, the father being a father, and the son being a son. This mutual responsibility, or reciprocity, is fundamental to maintaining harmony in human relationships, which are seen as the model for all social and political structures. The family unit is the primary social unit, and the virtue of filial piety is the foundation for all other virtues.

*Furthermore, Confucius believed that the state should be governed by officials selected for their virtue and moral ability, not by popular vote or arbitrary power. This merit-based bureaucracy, where officials are chosen based on their moral qualities and scholarly mastery of Confucian classics, was intended to ensure that governance was a moral endeavor. **The ultimate goal of government, according to Confucianism, is to create a harmonious society where the people are guided by virtue and mutual respect, rather than by coercion or material incentives.***

Our reality is the opposite of Confucius' vision. Leaders should be selected on their ability to voluntarily create Abundance instead of pushing scarcity by coercion backed by hidden victors.

What To Own?

Now the question is:

"Which things should not be considered properties that humans have the right to claim (financial) ownership of?"

The answer to that question is basically anything that - when monopolized - would bring us in slavery and servitude. Of those monopolies, the monopoly to print money out of thin air and the monopoly on IP-Rights that are discussed earlier in this book. Next to that, we have the monopolies of natural resources.



Like air, the ownership of any natural resource should be disallowed. This includes land, rivers, lakes, oceans and celestial bodies.

Actually, land-ownership and the ownership of rivers, lakes or seas are in essence very similar. The only difference is that traditionally (before GPS existed) boundaries are more difficult to fix on water bodies. But besides that, they can be regarded as similar surfaces with natural resources under and above it. Above the surface we have sunlight, rain, snow, animals, plants, wind and space as natural resources. Under it, we also find animals and plants, and additionally, anything that can be mined.

Both Silvio Gesell and Henri George agree that only items that are a result of human labor can be owned as property and that - since land is not a product of human labor - land can not be owned. The transition to a situation where land is not owned - that both Silvio Gesell and Henri George suggest - have both government involved. Gesell proposes that government intervenes by buying all the land at market value, and then arrange a bidding, where the highest bidder on

the lease is allowed to use the land. George's solution is that government simply confiscates all land and creates a land tax that needs to be paid by the users of the land to compensate the region.

The flaw in these proposals, is that the government is basically owned by the people that print money out of thin air. Allowing governments to confiscate or buy all land would exactly create the communist-fascist situation that the victors dream of. Through the government (that they financially own), the victors will ultimately own all the land and allow the rest of us limited access to it. That is, only when we jump through the many hoops that they will create for us. Because of the potential abuse of governments as land owners, I proposed to replace "government" with local leadership that is funded voluntarily and only has an advisory task in distributing only the "usage" of parts of the lands to its inhabitants, and by doing so, carefully creating a world of abundance for all people from their region.

Super Regional Issues

In this world of abundance, we need to focus on regions and their regional leadership. Regions that preferably are being defined by natural boundaries and cultural features like language, religion, art and other common features. We should primarily leave the defining of borders to the regional leadership.

We should try to have as little global organizations as possible. The only global organization should be a "Global Advisory Board" whose job it is to pinpoint and record issues that go beyond regional territories. This board should propose solutions for such issues, in an as neutral way as possible. Decisions should be based mainly on Natural Law, the UDHR and the rationale behind it. Issues like Nimby (Not In My Backyard) – where regions for example refuse to create waste disposal factories or nuclear power plants – or where regions don't solve their own pollution problems (leading to issues in neighboring regions), should be addressed in this Global Advisory Board. The global advisory board should also advise when certain regions are hoarding resources that are important to critical development of other regions.

In a world of abundance that is based on voluntarism, just pointing to regions that don't participate properly in the common interest can already have a decisive impact on the voluntary payments of others outside the region to people inside the region that is not properly participating. The impact of that, will however not be destroying the people of that region. It just helps to motivate regions to also consider the well-being of humans outside their community.



Real Prices, Real Free Markets and Abundantism

Within the regions, people own the products from the natural resources that are farmed, bred, hunted, fished and mined. The people obviously also own the products they create with it.

After fluctuating for a while, real prices will at a certain moment start to appear. The difference with our current world will be that the prices of the products in the world of abundance are not based on artificial created scarcity anymore, but are now related to actual work that went into it,

plus the perceived value of the natural scarcity or uniqueness of the used natural resource materials in that product. Cutting out the “scarcity factor” of hoarded land with that specific resource, in combination with proper free and transparent markets, will ultimately lead to these “real prices”. Obviously all government interference with this real “price-finding” mechanism should be avoided. Only in that scenario the actual “invisible hand” of “Abundanism” can finally start doing it’s job.

4.4.3 Break The Monopoly Of IP-Rights


Now we know how IP really works and understand that it doesn’t help the common man to protect his work, we need to look at the incentives to create. When there are no copyrights or patents anymore, we are told to believe that human development will come to a standstill. The music will literally and figuratively stop... or not?

Well no, the amount of music will continue to grow and probably even at a stronger pace. There is already a massive amount of proof that development has little to nothing to do with the financial incentives that are protected by IP laws. You can see this in the open source software community, in the musicians community and in any other art community. Millions of enthusiastic coders, inventors, musicians, painters, writers (like me) and other creative people create free and open source content. These communities create software (like [Linux](#), [LibreOffice](#), [Blender](#), [the GIMP](#), [Audacity](#), [OBS](#) and many, many more), create music, poetry, paintings, stories, culinary recipes, fashion and on and on for free. All of this can be seen on the various social media channels. All these creators know they can’t afford legal IP protection, so they have no choice to share their content for free. The prospect of voluntary gifts (and some small social media payments) are already enough for this explosion of creativity. Also the quality of much of those free and open source products is not only already on a higher level than their IP protected competition, but created – because it is free – massive user communities that are much bigger and much more effective than their “professional”, IP-protected and “paid-for” competition.

I myself was a software coder at the end of the seventies. I started coding even before Personal Computers entered the markets. From the very beginning it was clear to me that all software and all digital content should be free and open source, as that not only propels the progression of digital technology, but also education and know-how in general. You could clearly see the terrible stains on this exciting and vibrant nerdy new digital technologies, when Bill Gates started to introduce MS-Dos with all the IP-legislation he put into play there. From that moment, the open source community was also pushed back and framed as nerds, hackers and spreaders of computer viruses.

Luckily the open-source community never gave up and is now stronger than ever. This software community should also be at the forefront of the World of Abundance, where technology is freely shared and incentives are always voluntarily. The coder-community knows that free sharing not only propels development, but is also much more rewarding, especially when you receive voluntary contributions.

The simple solution to abandon any IP related laws is to simply strike them from all new legislation. With the replacement of the “Economy” by the “Abundomy” all IP-Rights, Patents and Copyrights should be declared null and void, so the entire world population can now enjoy and benefit of all the work our parents, grandparents and other ancestors have worked so hard for and even fought wars about. The destruction of IP-laws will be the start of a new explosion of proper science and art. Exactly how I envisioned it 40 years ago.



5 The End Of Democracy

5.1 How To Control Nations

When I talk to older people in Sub-Saharan Africa, I often ask them how they fought the colonizers – mostly in the late 1950's to early 1960's – to become independent? I ask them how many people died, for example, when Nigeria kicked out the English? Nobody I spoke knew the answer. It was never discussed. The reality is that there were no real fights in most countries. The only real important thing that happened in the 1960's is that “coincidentally” in each of these countries, within about 2 years before or after independence a central bank was being installed:

Country	Independence	Central Banks Created	Months Difference
South Africa	1910, 1934, 1961	June 30, 1921,	Already present
Dem. Rep. of the Congo	June 30, 1960	30 July 1951	Already present
Egypt	February 28, 1922	1898, January 1, 1961	Already present
Madagascar	June 26, 1960	1925, 1961	12
Libya	December 24, 1951	Act April 1, 1956	52
Ghana	March 6, 1957	March 4, 1957	0
Tunisia	March 20, 1956	September 19, 1958	30
Morocco	March 2, 1956	1959	36
Sudan	January 1, 1956	1957	12
Nigeria	October 1, 1960	July 1, 1959	15
Somalia	July 1, 1960	1950, 1960	0
Guinea	October 2, 1958	March 1, 1960	17
Zimbabwe	November 11, 1965	May 22, 1964	18
Uganda	October 9, 1962	August 16, 1966	46
Kenya	December 12, 1963	March 24, 1966	39
Tanzania	December 9, 1961	act 1965, June 14, 1966	54
Benin	August 1, 1960	1962 (BCEAO)	24
Burkina Faso	August 5, 1960	1962 (BCEAO)	24
Côte d'Ivoire	August 7, 1960	1962 (BCEAO)	24
Guinea-Bissau	September 24, 1973	1962 (BCEAO)	108
Mali	September 22, 1960	1962 (BCEAO)	24
Niger	August 3, 1960	1962 (BCEAO)	24
Senegal	April 4, 1960	1962 (BCEAO)	24
Togo	April 27, 1960	1962 (BCEAO)	24
Algeria	July 5, 1962	1962	0
Mauritania	November 28, 1960	1962 (BCEAO), left 1973	24
Sierra Leone	April 27, 1961	act 1963, March 27, 1963	23
Zambia	October 24, 1964	1937, 1956, 1963	12
Rwanda	July 1, 1962	1964	24
Malawi	July 6, 1964	July 23, 1964	0
Burundi	July 1, 1962	1966	48
Mauritius	March 12, 1968	September 1967	6
Gambia	February 18, 1965	1971	72
Cameroon	January 1, 1960	1973 (BEAC)	156
Central African Republic	August 13, 1960	1973 (BEAC)	156
Chad	August 11, 1960	1973 (BEAC)	156
Gabon	August 17, 1960	1973 (BEAC)	156
Republic of the Congo	August 15, 1960	1973 (BEAC)	156
Equatorial Guinea	October 12, 1968	1973 (BEAC)	60
Liberia	July 26, 1847	1974	1524
Eswatini	September 6, 1968	1974	72
Botswana	September 30, 1966	July 1, 1975	106
Mozambique	June 25, 1975	May 17, 1975	1
Cabo Verde	July 5, 1975	September 29, 1975	2
Lesotho	October 4, 1966	1978	144
Comoros	July 6, 1975	July 1, 1981	72
São Tomé and Príncipe	July 12, 1975	1989	168
Angola	November 11, 1975	1926, Nationalized 1975	0
Seychelles	June 29, 1976	1936, 1978, 1983	24
Djibouti	June 27, 1977	April 18, 1979	21
Namibia	March 21, 1990	July 16, 1990	4
Eritrea	May 24, 1993	Joined Ethiopia 1974	108
South Sudan	July 9, 2011	July 2011	0
Ethiopia	occupied to 1941	January 1964	Always “independent”

Some of the colonizers did some fighting, but most casualties – in the years around the 1960's – came from civil wars of power hungry groups, and the to these wars related famines.

Now what does this mean? What happened in reality. The reasons that are given by Google and Wikipedia are that – after WWII – the colonizers had run out of money which caused them to abandon their control over the colonies. This explanation is however quite unbelievable: If it was really about fighting power, at least there would have been fights. How easy it would have been to bomb Lagos or Abuja to keep Africa in line with the wishes of their colonizers. Besides that, we are talking about at least 15 years after WWII, where both superpowers and their allies were fully re-armed. They actually made everyone believe they were able to destroy the entire world many times if needed. We now also know why countries never run out of money for war.

And still somehow all these dead poor African countries – that have been under the full control for centuries – just said “we want to be independent” and their colonizers – that were about to lose these enormous countries with massive natural resources – just said: “Okay, no problem, you can now be the master of all your own resources and have autonomy”. And not only England, but all colonizers did exactly the same and all did it at the same time. We need to believe that – suddenly and without any fight – the victors gave up their ability to issue the currency of over a billion people. Countries whose populations currently believe that they now are able to create their own money. Money that would benefit the African people. That is the story they actually succeeded to make everyone to believe...


Now let's assume that all these African people were now in control of all their natural resources and were allowed to print their own money out of thin air. And remember that labor in Africa is almost free. Most people simply live from the land, don't have cars or mortgages. And if you see them work, you will be impressed. Most Africans work relentless. Now, with all that, wouldn't we have seen the greatest economic boom of history happening in Africa? Outperforming the war-weakened economies of the West? Would not every African country be out of debt, if they can issue their own money? No, almost nothing improved in Africa. It was business as usual. And now we are told that nothing changed because of the amazing high level of corruption of the African leaders. Many Africans really believe that their politicians are worse than having colonizers robbing you blind. You should be in awe for how good the victors play their game.

To me, it is very clear that all these independence stories are one massive lie. The victors didn't give up Africa. They didn't just hand over the continent. The continent that is now and has always been the only continent where more wealth leaves the continent than enters. It was all a trick. And the trick that made this possible is the establishment of the central banks. Like the Federal Reserve in the United States is not owned by the American people, none of the central banks that were established in Africa, are actually owned by the African people. The victors simply decided somewhere in 1958 to speed up the deceit of all Africans. By using the media that were under their full control, and the politicians that were also – on both sides of the isle – under full control, the victors simply performed the great African independence show.

"Give me control of a nation's money supply, and I care not who makes its laws"

Mayer Amschel Rothschild

This quote is widely attributed to Mayer Amschel Rothschild, the founder of the Rothschild banking family (a family that is involved in the creation of most – if not all – of the central banks around the world), reflecting the idea that financial power is more influential than legislative power. This quote underscores the profound control that the control of a nation's money supply gives over its economy and, by extension, over its laws and government.



Many politicians actually work or did work for banks. Emanuel Macron was a Rothschild & Cie banker. Key members of Joe Biden's cabinet with backgrounds in financial institutions include Janet Yellen (Secretary of the Treasury), a former Federal Reserve Chair and economist with extensive financial experience, and Lael Brainard (Director of the National Economic Council), a former Federal Reserve Vice Chair. Gary Gensler (Chair of the Securities and Exchange Commission), appointed by Biden, has a background in investment banking and regulatory roles. Several members of the Obama administration came from the financial industry, including Treasury Secretaries Timothy Geithner and Jacob Lew, who had experience at the Federal Reserve and at financial firms respectively. Other officials with financial backgrounds included Daniel Tarullo and Sarah Bloom Raskin at the Federal Reserve, and Gary Gensler at the SEC. When you study relations between central banks and politics you will see the central banks are always at the wheel, making sure the interests of the central banks are never questioned.

But even when politicians didn't work for banks, to me it is quite clear how the system works:



Together with the media and all the established politicians the victors already “own”, the victors and their banks preselect the new candidates for the new elections. They do this for all parties of any importance – on both sides of the spectrum – left and right. Then – mainly through the established politicians – the new politicians get their instructions about which policies to promote and which projects will be funded by the (central) banks and government budget.

All important policies will perfectly align with the agendas of the victors. Sometimes these policies and projects are so unpopular that the chosen politicians are allowed to just lie about it and tell the public they will never vote such measures in. It doesn't matter, because when the subjects are voted about, the politicians will vote as instructed and the bought media will just ignore this betrayal of the voters. When things really become too obvious, some votes will follow the wishes of the public, as it can always be corrected later when the subject is renamed and is kept out of the attention of the people.

Whether a country is important or not, big or small, it doesn't really matter. Every democracy in every country around the world, works like this. This is because the victors don't allow any examples of thriving dissident countries, as it could spark other countries to follow and reduce the power of the victors. At least – that is what I think. This is obviously difficult to prove, as it is all done behind closed doors. But the fact alone that something like this could be possible, should be reason enough to design a better system. We also don't need to prove that the system doesn't benefit the common man. It doesn't and never did. Especially in Africa. How it works exactly is not relevant anymore. We desperately need a better system. And we also know that we won't get it by voting. Over 60 years of independence proves that voting doesn't work.

Even if you manage to vote a “peoples politician” into power, the victors always have the possibility of a “coup d'état”. Like we have seen in for example Iraq, Libia and Ukraine. The victors always find ways to stay in control. The only way to change that is to educate the people how the victors deceive us. Only then alternative solutions have a chance to succeed.

5.2 The Road To Communism And Tyranny

My grandfather “opa Tebbe” from my mothers side, loved history. He had a massive library with books about the wars and about politics. He was a real industrialist. A director of a large food and beverage company, complete with black top-hat. When we stayed at his house, he was often complaining about “the communists”. He deeply hated the communist system. I was too young to talk about it, but I felt his hatred and I tried to read the books when I was just 7 or 8 years old. He died before he could properly explain his position, so I really wish I could talk to him now, because I now see what he must have meant. Communism is nothing less than the worst nightmare humanity can face. And we are looking communism straight in its mouth at this moment in time. It is approaching like a freight train. It is my greatest fear and it's arrival is almost unavoidable because of the following:

The only real problem the victors have, is to keep being in control. And to keep being in control, they ultimately need the acceptance of the people to give up their illusion of having any say in their own destiny, and simply accept to be completely ruled over. That this moment is unavoidable, is because the current financial system is like a pyramid game. It can only keep going on when more people enter the game. In the 1970's China was allowed to enter the game. The extra 1 billion people entering the pyramid scheme, extended the life span of the pyramid scheme to 2008. In 2008 the financial system crashed and entered a strange zombie state where interest rates became extremely low and banks had to be bailed out to survive. This state continued to September 2019 where we saw the Repo interest rate spike. That spike was a sign that banks didn't trust other banks to survive the next day. The system became so feeble that the central banks needed to step in and started to just pump money in the system to make people still believe the economy is alive. To me – and several others – this Repo-interest event was however the sign for the victors (and their central banks) to start their exit plan. There is namely only one escape for the victors. And the escape is communism. When the financial system collapses, everything will crash. Not only does money work no longer, also all registries of companies, real estate, the payment of soldiers, police, everything collapses – which will undo the centuries of work for the victors – overnight. They would lose everything in the anarchy that would follow. The victors would find themselves locked in their bunkers with plenty supplies but with no possibility to enjoy life.

So when the financial system actually collapses, the victors need to make some fundamental changes. What they need, is to take over all possessions of everything that you can possibly own and then install a total new financial system that works like food stamps. On one side you have the main institute (the global government and its central bank) that provides the food stamps, and on the other side you have the rest of us – that will receive these food stamps – if we behave well. The illusion – of ever owning your own house, having freedom to tell your kids what they should study and to help them get skills to also become free humans – will be over. Because when the victors activate their new system, we will all live in a perfected communistic system. A system the victors have perfected especially in the last 150 years. The victors always have known that communism is their only escape.



5.2.1 What Is Communism

This is what you find if you look for the definition of communism:

"Communism is a political and economic ideology that advocates for a classless society with common ownership of the means of production, distribution, and exchange. In theory, it involves an equal distribution of resources and wealth among all members of society, eliminating private property, social classes, and eventually the state and money. The concept is rooted in the theories of Karl Marx, though different schools of thought exist, with varying approaches to achieving this goal."

In the previous century, communism was tried a number of times with mixed results. The problem of communism, is that it denounces any religion as the state is being used to basically replace God. In Communism the state is God. The state owns everything. It tells the people that the state is the embodiment of shared ownership, but in reality is everything there is to own, owned by the state and is everyone forced to do what the state wants. You can still vote, but there will be only one party – the party that controls the ownership of everything – and the party decides which candidates can be voted for. The party controls the police, the army and controls money like a food-stamp system. Communism pretends to give power to the people, but has never – ever – been anything else than a tyranny organized from the party leadership. There have never been communism with any meaningful freedom for the people. Now this lack of freedom causes problems. And interestingly – there have been 2 important books written – that describe the two lines of thinking on how to make people to accept this inherently horrible anti-freedom and anti-human system of communism.


Two Types Of Communism

The victors know that there are 2 situations for people to accept communism. To recap: Communism is a system where a small group of people - the communist party leadership - control the rest of the people. Communism is the only system where the victors can keep their wealth and privileges while ruling over everyone else. History shows that the victors (the people that print money out of thin air) tried many different strategies to install communism. The two main ways to install communism is either (1) by fear and force, or (2) to install it through pleasure and indifference. The two models were described in two books: George Orwell's 1984 and Aldous Huxley's Brave New World.


Nineteen Eighty-Four (also published as 1984) is a dystopian novel by the English writer George Orwell. It was published on 8 June 1949 by Secker & Warburg as Orwell's ninth and final completed book. Thematically, it centers on the consequences of totalitarianism, mass surveillance and repressive regimentation of people and behaviors within society. Orwell, a democratic socialist and an anti-Stalinist, modeled an authoritarian socialist Britain on the Soviet Union in the era of Stalinism and the practices of state censorship and state propaganda in Nazi Germany. More broadly, the novel examines the role of truth and facts within societies and the ways in which they can be manipulated.

The story takes place in an imagined future. The current year is uncertain, but believed to be 1984. Much of the world is in perpetual war. Great Britain, now known as Airstrip One, has become a province of the totalitarian superstate Oceania, which is led by Big Brother, a dictatorial leader supported by an intense cult of personality manufactured by the Party's Thought Police. The Party engages in omnipresent government surveillance and, through the Ministry of Truth, historical negationism and constant propaganda to persecute individuality and independent thinking.

Nineteen Eighty-Four has become a classic literary example of political and dystopian fiction. It also popularized the term "Orwellian" as an adjective, with many terms used in the novel entering common usage, including "Big Brother", "doublethink", "Thought Police",



"thoughtcrime", "Newspeak" and the expression that " $2 + 2 = 5$ ". Parallels have been drawn between the novel's subject-matter and real life instances of totalitarianism, mass surveillance, and violations of freedom of expression, among other themes. Orwell described his book as a "satire", and a display of the "perversions to which a centralized economy is liable", while also stating he believed "that something resembling it could arrive".



Brave New World is also a dystopian novel, written in 1931 by English author Aldous Huxley, and published in 1932. Largely set in a futuristic World State, whose citizens are environmentally engineered into an intelligence-based social hierarchy, the novel anticipates huge scientific advancements in reproductive technology, sleep-learning, psychological manipulation and classical conditioning that are combined to make a dystopian society, which is challenged by the story's protagonist.

Huxley followed this book with a reassessment in essay form, *Brave New World Revisited* (1958), and with his final novel, *Island* (1962), the Utopian counterpart. This novel is often used as a companion piece, or inversion counterpart to George Orwell's *Nineteen Eighty-Four* (1949).

Brave New World has frequently been banned and challenged since its original publication. It has landed on the American Library Association list of top 100 banned and challenged books of the decade since the association began the list in 1990.

In a radio interview in 1962 Aldous Huxley said the following: (see also [this video](#))

Today we are faced – I think – with the approach of what may be called the ultimate revolution the final revolution where a man can act directly on the mind body of his fellows. Well, needless to say, some kind of direct action on human mind bodies has been going on since the beginning of time but this has generally been of a violent nature. The techniques of terrorism have been known from time immemorial and people have employed them with more or less ingenuity. Sometimes with the utmost crudity, sometimes with a good deal of skill, acquired by a process of trial and error. Finding out what the best ways of using torture, imprisonment, constraints of various kinds, are.

But – as I think – it was Napoleon said many years ago: “You can do everything with bayonets except sit on them”. That if you are going to control any population for any length of time, you must have some measure of consent. It's exceedingly difficult to see how pure terrorism can function indefinitely. It can function for a fairly long time but I think sooner or later you have to bring in an element of persuasion. An element of getting people to consent to what is happening to them.

Well, it seems to me, that the the nature of the ultimate revolution – with which we are now faced – is precisely this. That we are in process of developing a whole series of techniques, which will enable the controlling oligarchy (who have always existed and presumably always will exist), to get people actually to love their servitude. This seems to me the ultimate in malevolent revolution – should we say - and that there seems to be a general movement in the direction of this kind of ultimate revolution. This method of control by which people can be made to enjoy a state of affairs – which by any decent standard – they ought not to enjoy. I mean the enjoyment of servitude.

First, let me talk a little bit about the improvement, even in the techniques of terrorism. I think there have been improvements. Pavlov after all, made some extremely profound observations, both on animals and on human beings. And he found – among other things – that conditioning techniques, applied to animals or humans – in a state either psychological or physical stress – sank in very deeply into the mind body of the creature, and were extremely difficult to get rid of. That they seem to be embedded more deeply than other forms of conditioning.

In this context I would like to mention the extremely interesting chapters in dr. William Sargent's "Battle for the Mind", where he points out how intuitively some of the great religious teachers, leaders of the past, hit on the Pavlovian method. He speaks specifically of Wesley's method of producing conversions, which were essentially based upon a technique of heightening psychological stress to the limit, by talking about hellfire, and so making people extremely vulnerable to suggestion, and then suddenly releasing this stress by offering the hopes of heaven. This is a very interesting chapter of showing how completely – on purely intuitive and empirical grounds – a skilled natural psychologist as Wesley, could discover these Pavlovian methods.

Well, we now know the reason why these techniques worked and there is no doubt at all, that we can – if we want to – carry them much further than was possible in the past, and of course in the recent history of brainwashing both has applied to prisoners of war and to the lower personnel within the communist party in China, we see that the Pavlovian methods have been applied systematically, and with evidently extraordinary efficacy. I think there can be no doubt that by the application of these methods, a very large army of totally devoted people has been created. The conditioning has been driven in by kind of psychological iontophoresis into the very depths of the people's being, and has got so deep, that it's very difficult for it ever to be rooted out.

And these methods – I think – are a real refinement on the older methods of terror, because they combine methods of terror with methods of acceptance. Methods that the person who he subjected to a form of terroristic stress – but for the purpose of inducing a kind of "voluntary" acceptance of the psychological state into which he is been driven, and the state of affairs within which he finds himself – so that there has been a definite improvement, even in the techniques of of terrorism.

Then we come to the consideration of other techniques. Of non-terroristic techniques for inducing consent and for inducing people to love their servitude:

First of all there are the methods connected with straight suggestion and hypnosis. I think we know much more about this subject, than was known in the past. People – of course – have always known about suggestion. And although they didn't know the word hypnosis, they certainly practiced it. But we now know pretty clearly the sort of statistical structure of a population in regard to its suggestibility.

It's very interesting when you look at the findings in different fields. I mean in the field of hypnosis, in the field of administering placebos for example. In the field of general suggestion. In states of drowsiness or of light sleep you will find the same sorts of orders of magnitude, continually cropping up. You will find – for example – that the experienced hypnotists will tell one that the percentage of people who can be hypnotized with the utmost facility just like, is about 20%, and that about a corresponding number – at the other end of the scale – are very difficult or almost impossible to hypnotize. And that in between, there lies a large mass of people who can with more or less difficulty be hypnotized. That they can gradually be – if you work hard enough at it – be gotten into the hypnotic state. And in the same way, the same figures crop up again, for example in relation to the administration of placebos:

A big experiment was carried out 3 or 4 years ago, in the general hospital in Boston. On post-operative cases, where several hundred men and women – suffering comparable kinds of pain after serious operations – were given injections whenever they asked for them, whenever the pain got bad. And the injections were 50% of the time morphine and 50% of the time they were of distilled water. And about 20% of those who went through the experiment, about 20% of them got just as much relief from the distilled waters from the morphine, about 20% got no relief from the distilled water and in between were those who got some relief or got relief occasionally.

So here again, we see the same sort of distribution. And I suspect also that it would not be at all difficult to recognize – in very early childhood – who were those who were extremely suggestible, who were those who were extremely unsuggestible, and who were those who occupied the intermediate space.

Quite clearly, if everybody was extremely unsuggestible, organized society would be quite impossible. And if everybody was extremely suggestible, then dictatorship would be absolutely inevitable. I mean it's very fortunate we have people who are moderately suggestible in the majority, and who therefore preserve us from dictatorship, but to permit organize society to be formed. But once given the fact that there are these 20% of highly suggestible people, it becomes quite clear that this is a matter of enormous political importance. For example: Any demagogue who is able to get hold of a large number of these 20% of suggestible people and to organize them, is really in a position to overthrow any government in any country.

After all we've had the most incredible example in recent years, of what can be done by efficient methods of suggestion and persuasion, in the form of Hitler. Anybody who's read for example Bullock's "Life of Hitler", comes forth from this with a sort of horrified admiration for this infernal genius who really understood human weaknesses – I think almost better than anybody – and who exploited them with all the resources then available. He knew everything. For example he knew intuitively this Pavlovian truth, that conditioning, installed in a state of

stress or fatigue, it goes much deeper than conditioning installed at other times. This was why all his big speeches were organized at night, he speaks of this quite frankly – of course – in “Mein Kampf”. He says this was done solely because people are tired at night and therefore much less capable of resisting persuasion than they would be during the day. And we see in all his techniques he was using, he had discovered intuitively. And by trial and error, a great many of the weaknesses, which we now know about on a sort of scientific way, I think much more clearly than he did.

But the fact remains that this differential suggestibility, this susceptibility to hypnosis, is something which has to be considered very carefully in relation to any kind of thought about democratic government. I mean, if there are 20% of the people who can really be suggested into believing almost anything as evidently, then we have to take extremely careful steps to prevent the rise of demagogues who will drive them on into extreme positions, and then organize them into very very dangerous private armies which may overthrow the government.

In this field of pure persuasion – I think – we do know much more than we did in the past. And obviously we now have mechanisms for multiplying the demagogue's voice and image in a quite hallucinatory way. I mean the television and the radio. Hitler was making enormous use of the radio. He could speak to millions of people simultaneously. I mean, this alone of course creates an enormous gulf between the modern and the ancient demagogue. The ancient demagogue could only appeal to as many people as his voice could reach by the yelling at his utmost. But the modern demagogue can touch literally millions at a time and of course with the multiplication of his image he can produce this kind of hallucinatory effect, which is of enormous hypnotic and suggestive importance.

In these techniques which where the object of application, is the human being, you're obviously up against the most dangerous situation. And what will be the temptation for those in power. After all, we pray regularly not to be led into temptation and this is a very profound and important prayer. Experience sadly shows that if we are tempted long enough and strongly enough, we almost invariably succumb. And the whole process of setting up a decent society, is essentially setting up a society in which temptations to abuse power, shall be reduced to a minimum. But these new techniques – I think – do constitute a series of very powerful temptations, which to those in authority maybe finally turn out to be irresistible. I hope not, but I think what you say is something which we have to think about. This might be applied with justification as you say in the highest patriotic and moral terms, even in democratic societies. I trust not, but one never knows.


In the same video of the 2 writers also a part of the last interview with George Orwell is included:

In our world there will be no emotions, except fear, rage, triumph and self-abasement. The sex instinct will be eradicated. We shall abolish the orgasm. There will be no loyalty except loyalty to the party. But always there will be the intoxication of power. Always – at every moment – there will be the thrill of victory. The sensation of trampling on an enemy who is helpless.

If you want a picture of the future: Imagine a boot stamping on a human face, forever.

The moral to be drawn from this dangerous nightmare situation is a simple one: Don't let it happen. It depends on you!





Frederick Douglass

Finally the video ends with a quote from Frederick Douglass:

"When a slave becomes a happy slave, he has effectively relinquished all that makes him human."

Orwell and Huxley both are describing totalitarian types of government. In history lessons it is presented that the people of the involved countries caused the regimes to succeed. Regimes that were started and set in motion by lone demagogues like Hitler, Stalin, Mao Zedong. Only these 3 demagogues are involved in the death of around 100 million people.

One should however always wonder who the financiers of these demagogues are. Because also in those days, nothing goes when the people that print money out of thin air, are not behind it. The people that print money out of thin air, are the only group that realized at least two of their goals by installing these demagogues.

Collecting collateral while depopulating enormous regions, and practicing which type of totalitarian government works best, for the time a one-world-government becomes inevitable to protect the victors. The totalitarian systems that were tested before the 1970's, were mostly based on fear and force when put in practice.

The problem with fear and force is that all people understand that they are being ruled over, are constantly in fear which means that many people in such a system are constantly thinking about changing the system, sabotaging the system, leaving the system or even attacking the system. For the ruling party it is extremely difficult, tiring and even dangerous to keep a system like that going. But this is what was tested especially in Russia and its satellite states.

At the same time the victors studied the Brave New World approach in the West. Hedonism, Atheism, Consumerism, bread and circuses, everything to make people feel good and make them dumb down, make them lose interest in the meaning of life, anything focused on "just enjoy the ride" was tested. Like the 1984 approach that was tested in the East, the Brave New World approach in the West also worked to a certain extent. However also this bread and circuses approach, is still not enough for the victors, to expose themselves. This is because in the Brave New World approach, you have too many people that get addicted to power and wealth, and would literally kill to get to the top, even if it means challenging the victors themselves.

So the victors understand very well that both strategies only work temporary. Once people understand they are just being manipulated to work as serves for a tiny group of deceitful victors, there is a problem.

To me it seems that the victors already knew in the early 1980's that neither of the two approaches would allow them to install stable communism, and that the decision was taken to start mixing the 1984 and Brave New World concepts. To make this mix work, a secret sauce needed to be added to the mix: A concept that was already growing strong from the 1920's, named "Technocracy". With the birth of computers in the 1940's, the victors began to see that it is possible to create a perfect indoctrination, entertainment and surveillance system, and all this could now be achieved with one device. A device that would be coveted by everyone. A device that allows the victors to spy, influence, indoctrinate, amuse any person at the same time. With this device not only the installation of communism could finally be realized, but it could also be done in a way that communism could become sustainable as it combined the two methods of 1984 and Brave New World, fear and seduction. This device is obviously the mobile phone.

Technocrats and Trans-humanists have been dreaming of a “mark of the beast” system, for ages. Concepts to have a device with the possibilities of the mobile phone being implanted in human bodies are decades old. Systems where people can have automated pop up displays in their peripheral views, that receive instant information about anything they are doing at that moment, and connected to a massive database of information. With it, there is hardly any need for education, because all information is readily available. And the victors don't need to fear for people opposing the system, as any interaction - whether it is private amusement or any talk with other people - is immediately recorded, stored and analyzed by AI. When this “beast system” is also connected to a financial system – like the fully programmable CBDC (Central Bank Digital Currency) – rewards and punishment can be executed in real time.



And with enough resources to keep a predetermined part of the population alive – the part of humanity that is necessary to serve the victors and provide them a pool of humans they can use and abuse to enhance their capabilities and lifetime by organ transplants and eugenics practices – the victors have achieved full victory. These technocratic tools will provide the victors full dominance, without the headache of possible insurgencies and revolts at the same time. With such a system, the victors might even be happy, as it will give them God-like powers.

All this, is just me rambling about something that is often considered as conspiracy theories. It will remain being considered a conspiracy theory, until we are finally locked in our digital prison.

The question if all this development was carefully planned (and we really have to deal with a hidden conspiracy) or that history was just one big coincidence with clumsy victors that kept losing all their wealth without anybody noticing it, is actually not really relevant to me. Even if the extreme unlikely possibility – of the victors being extremely stupid – was actually true, we would still need to ask ourselves: “Is it really us that want communism?” Because the reality is that all the agenda's that we currently see, like:

- Realizing the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG's),
- Preparing for pandemics,
- Climate Change action,
- Preparing for (Cyber)terrorism,
- Fighting Misinformation and
- Designing 15 minute cities,

are already being put in place. All the know-how derived from decades of social experiments with communism, are also being activated. All immigration processes to create our 15 minutes concentration camp police armies are commencing. And most importantly: The technology to launch the Digital Biometric ID's and programmable Central Bank Digital Currency is currently being rolled out.

To me it doesn't matter if it was planned or not. It also doesn't matter who planned it. The only thing that matters to me is: Do I want to participate in a system where freedom dies? A system where the State will be our only allowed God. A system where unelected technocrats tell me what to do. A system where me nor anybody else have ever voted for. A system where democracy is dead and buried. A democracy that – for what we now can see – was actually an illusion from the very beginning. An illusion that was kept alive just long enough for humans to build our own digital prison, and allow our slave masters to soon throw away the keys. An illusion that is forced us to survive on scarce rations they allow us to enjoy, while the victors

themselves are the only people that are allowed to enjoy the abundance of the earth. Even if it wasn't planned, reality is that our current and future reality will not be equitable at all. Reality already tastes a lot like communism.

In hindsight, my grandfather was spot-on about communism. Just look at what happened in Russia where a maximum estimate of 30 million people died within about 34 years:

Russian Civil War (1918-1921)

The Civil War resulted in an estimated 7–12 million casualties, primarily civilians. These deaths were caused by factors such as: Military action, Famine, Disease outbreaks like cholera and typhus. The policies of War Communism, which included grain requisitioning that led to drastically reduced crop production and famine.

Red Terror (1918-1922)

This period of political repression and violence by the Bolsheviks targeted opponents of the regime. Estimates for the number of deaths during the Red Terror vary considerably. Official figures suggest nearly 8,500 executions in the first year alone, but the actual number is likely much higher. Historians estimate the total number of executions and deaths from suppressing revolts and in prisons to be between 50,000 and 1,300,000.

Russian Famine of 1921-1922

Killed an estimated 5 million people. This was exacerbated by civil war and War Communism policies.

Soviet Famine of 1930–1933 (including the Holodomor)

Caused the deaths of approximately 5.7 to 8.7 million people. The Holodomor specifically targeted Ukraine and is recognized as an act of genocide by some historians and countries.

Soviet Famine of 1946–1947

Resulted in an estimated 500,000 to 2 million deaths.

Collectivization

The forced collectivization of agriculture from 1928 to 1933 resulted in the deaths of millions, including the famine deaths above.

Great Purge (1936-1938)

A period of political repression that led to the execution or imprisonment in Gulag labor camps of hundreds of thousands. Estimates for deaths during this time range from 700,000 to 1.2 million.

Gulag System

From the system's establishment in 1953, between 1.5 and 1.7 million of the 18 million people incarcerated died.

Deportations

Mass deportations of "kulaks" and various ethnic minorities also led to a high number of deaths. One source estimates over 6 million people were deported between 1920 and 1952, with a death toll ranging from 800,000 to 1,500,000 in the USSR alone.

Communism is the perfection of abundance for a few and scarcity for the rest. Capitalism also has turned out to be nothing more than communism in disguise. In case money would not be secretly printed out of thin air by a tiny group of victors, the big multinationals would be just very good and smart, which – in a true capitalistic world – would make it fair that small family businesses failed. But when these big companies have access to secretly printed money from thin air, and the rest of us did not, then there is no capitalism. In that case, capitalism is just communism in disguise, created to deceive people thinking the economy is just fair and tough.

5.3 In Communism There Is No Democracy

When all the (financial) power is in the hands of a tiny group, you can vote what you want, but the system won't ever be fair. Without breaking the "print-money-out-of-thin-air-monopoly" our votes will be useless. The only system where democracy can be restored is "Abundanism". Only in the Abundomy, money is provably neutral. And only with neutral money, votes become equal. If that is not the case, democracy dies.

6 Abundomy Versus Economy

6.1 Focus

The biggest difference between an Economy and an Abundomy, is that in an Economy, the focus is pointed inwards (what can I do to get what I need/want) where in an Abundomy the focus is pointed outwards (what can I do so others get what they need/want).

For example: If I don't have a proper house, it will be very difficult to build one myself, where if another person needs a house, it will be quite easy to create a team with different skills and focus to build one house for that other person.

This approach means that we scan our own community to see what is lacking and create teams to work on solving any scarcity. This constantly scanning of our communities to find things that are missing, is crucial, because if individual people have issues on properly surviving (because they lack a family, a house, food, clothes or even simple attention and things to do), those individuals not only start to cause issues but also won't focus on "cleaning their waste".

If someone's brain is filled with worries how to survive this day and the next, there is no space in that brain for optics and aesthetics. It is well known that – because of this anxiety – “poor” people take bad decisions. It is those bad decisions that not only have a negative influence on themselves, but also on the rest of the community. When you are dead poor and only focus on your next meal, you don't spend time on cleaning yourself or your waste.

This is why any community needs to make sure that the abundance is used to help every member of the community first. In every religion "caring for the poor" always has been an extremely important concept. Religions teach people to first look outwards (who can I help), before looking at yourself (what do I want). Only with that attitude you can create an Abundomy.

The victors however used trillions of dollars for propaganda and war and destruction to make us believe we should be selfish, we should fear the people we don't know and that we need government to take care of us. The victors infiltrated all religions to destroy them from the inside. But how hard they tried, how much money they spent, how many people they killed and turned into self-centered zombies, the sociopaths were still not able to get rid of the Godly natural social compassion of people for others.

Every child that is born, arrives with compassion in his heart. Hate only enters children over time, when children are not properly cared for. For compassionate people it is difficult to understand that people exist with no empathy. Sociopaths always know this, and abused that feature to present themselves as leaders. These wolves in sheep's clothes are always the ones to use deception and succeed to gain power with it. They always were the victors and they are the only people that really need government structures to protect themselves.

That is why sociopaths created our current economy that is based on artificial scarcity: To control the rest of us. And that system can only end in communism. A system where everyone is forced by a one world government to serve the sociopaths that can't believe that anyone would ever help them out of compassion. All religions warned us for these people. People that don't understand concepts like love and compassion.

Creating an Abundomy that is based on compassion and prosperity for everyone and succeeding, will prove the sociopaths wrong.

6.2 Reverse The Propaganda

To create a world of abundance, we first need to pinpoint the massive amount of propaganda that is poured out over us. Once we understand how they are trying to deceive us, we can simply reverse what they want to achieve which is us accepting our servitude. Reversing means finding out what we need to do to escape our servitude and finding out how we secure our freedom to create our “Abundomy”.

6.2.1 Reverse The No Poverty Propaganda

The institutes that made “creating scarcity” to an art, are now trying to make you believe that all poor people are to blame for their predicament, because they weren’t educated enough and also didn’t work hard enough so they were taken advantage of by people from “Developed” countries that were too selfish and greedy and pushed their companies to exploit the poor people and bribed politicians to allow them to do so. The same institutes whose owners – that print money out of thin air – are the real and only guilty party when there is any poverty on earth, are now trying to make all of us to believe that they will come with programs that end poverty.



Their solution will be to destroy any middle class (that tries to use the last little bit of freedom to make a decent living), and will first replace these people with all the well known multinationals. Multinationals that helped creating the propaganda of the next 16 chapters, to take away everyone’s last little bit of freedom. Once that is done, the victors will install communism.

Once the full blown communist society is installed, there will be no poverty as everyone will get food stamps from the Central Party Administration. That is – if you will do exactly what the

Central Party Administration tells you to do. In the beginning you just need to do the jobs the Central Party Administration offers you. You will see a massive amount of policing jobs that initially won't make much sense and also have hardly any work do. But once they are taking children out of the families to take them far away from the people to educate them, and once you see family members disappear to working camps to "re-educate" them and you see the censorship being ramped up to a degree you can't even trust your own family members to talk to, then you start to understand that your escape from poverty (if you survive) came with a much higher price than you could have ever imagined. Ultimately you will feel very lonely in the most horrific slave system you can imagine, with only the state allowed as your God.

Our current economy will never create real wealth. Real wealth is having the freedom of choice. Choosing what to do when you wake up. Choosing how to educate your kids, how to grow your own food, how to treat your own diseases, what to say, what to read. No institute should be in charge of telling you if you are considered rich or poor. Poverty has nothing to do with the amount of money in your purse. Poverty has to do with the scarcity of your choices.

To provide an abundance of choices, we created "unconditional ethical money". A financial system where everyone participates equally in creating money – which is by far the most logic and only real way to eradicate poverty, not so much to provide everyone money in his pocket, but to remove any restrictions to use that money. In our Abundomy every person has the total freedom on how to spend their money.

You can never eradicate poverty while reducing freedom at the same time. And again the quote from Frederick Douglas:

"When a slave becomes a happy slave, he has effectively relinquished all that makes him human."

6.2.2 Reverse The No Hunger Propaganda

All the great famines have been a result of war. When there is no war, people quickly find ways to feed themselves. Even when crops fail, communities find ways to survive, as they have done forever. In §3.4.2 you read about the "land grab" that is happening currently. By creating legislation based on the unproven Climate Change findings of our corrupted science and media, farmers are forced to hand over their land and fishermen are forced to hand over their fishing grounds and boats. They need to hand it over to multinationals and billionaires that claim to do a better job on farming and fishing. We don't even talk about hunting, because hunting is almost fully eradicated by legislation and propaganda.

The easiest way for the victors to control humanity is by controlling the food supply. There is not much use of controlling the money system, if you not also control the food supply. When people are able to grow their own food, they don't need money to survive. So it is crucial for the victors to at least control the food supply. So what they do is create fear that the farmers that create



our food for many thousands of years are suddenly not capable of providing proper food. They want us to believe that farmers and fishermen are using methods that actually endanger the entire planet and that these skilled and essential workers should be replaced by multinationals. The multinationals will subsequently get rid of the natural products of our farmers and fishermen and replace it with lab-grown-3D-printed-meat, artificial milk and protein extracted from insects, genetically modified organisms, sprayed with patented chemicals that are proven to cause cancer. And we are being bombarded with propaganda that all that is done so hunger will be eradicated. The propaganda is really mind blowing. It is unbelievable that people – especially farmers and fishermen themselves – can't see what exactly is being done to them. It is really heart breaking.



The war on farmers in the Netherlands

Because the attack on farmers always has been one of the first things communists do (so they can control the population), the process of displacing farmers is already going on for many decades. Especially in the “developed” countries the number of families owning farms or fishing companies have dropped dramatically. In our world of abundance approach, the debt-jubilee (see §3.4.1b) will be the moment that the injustice that has been done to the farmers and fishermen, will be reversed. Based on old records of the land and fishing grounds these families used to farm and fish, their land and fishing claims will be fully restored. All farming and fishing restrictions by governments will be revoked. The latter doesn't mean farmers and fishers shouldn't take care of the environment. In the “Abundomy” consumers will ask for insight in the production methods, including how farmers and fishers process their food and deal with waste and pollution. This transparency will be provided to clients that can subsequently decide where they want to spend their money. No government institute should interfere in that process, as it will create artificial scarcity, unwanted monopolies and unhinged propaganda as we are currently suffering under.

6.2.3 Reverse The No Quacks Propaganda

One of the most devious schemes to create a world of scarcity was the takeover of our healthcare system. Next to the takeover of our food-system, the monopolization of the medical system has been instrumental in creating the artificial scarcity of medicine. Probably the most expensive propaganda campaign known in human history has been used to make us believe that any medicinal products from nature are bad and dangerous, that anyone that claims something else is a quack that immediately must be imprisoned and that only patented chemical drugs are suited to heal people.

Viruses

Besides that we are also told to believe viruses exist while there is no conclusive science to support that claim while there is overwhelming proof that disproves the existence of it. In this book I will not go into the topic of viruses as there is enough material about that for people to do their own research. I will however do indicate that the concept of the existence of viruses is the easiest way – by far – to create a (medical) tyranny. With the Covid-19 “pandemic” we have witnessed how our “democratic” institutes can flip like a switch, to allow freedom restrictions that before were only seen in communist tyrannies. The ease of which people allowed governmental institutes to not only take away freedom, but also allowed governments to destroy the livelihoods of people and even destroy religious expressions like organizing respectful burials, was unprecedented. The scariest part – of what happened in those months – was the ease in which the population just went along with the craziest and illogic measures, and how simple it was to turn people against each other. With just a little bit propaganda, many people turned into complete brain-dead snitches, exactly as Aldous Huxley described in his interview (see §4.2.1). The fear to be ill seems for many even bigger than the fear to be hungry.

How The Take Over Of Medicine Was Realized

John D. Rockefeller understood how chemicals could be patented and also understood that it was impossible to patent natural herbs. John D. Rockefeller's father, William Avery Rockefeller, was a traveling salesman and con artist known for peddling fraudulent miracle cures, including "snake oil". In spite of what the propaganda tries to tell you, it should be very obvious that John D. Rockefeller, the future oil tycoon, who was the son of this itinerant huckster with deceptive practices that helped his son greatly to become an oil monopolist, understood exactly how to abuse the peoples fear of their illness and death, to sell them magical drugs that simply don't work. It should be no surprise whatsoever to see John D. Rockefeller insert himself into the “healthcare” business with one goal: To monopolize medicine as he monopolized oil. Like his father, he must had no remorse at all to make money over the back of the sick, and to bring the sick closer to their demise. This is what he did according to the – biased – AI:

William A. Rockefeller



Summary

John D. Rockefeller significantly influenced American medicine and health institutions through large-scale philanthropy, which included funding the 1910 Flexner Report, a pivotal study that led to a centralized, science-based medical education system favoring conventional and pharmaceutical approaches over traditional or holistic practices. His General Education Board also provided grants to medical colleges that adopted these new standards, effectively shaping medical curriculum and practice, leading to the rise of “Big-Pharma” and the marginalization of natural healing methods.

The Flexner Report (1910)

Rockefeller, through the Carnegie Foundation and the General Education Board, backed this landmark study. The report recommended a centralized, scientifically rigorous system of medical education and practice, which aligned with Rockefeller's interest in developing a pharmaceutical industry.

Funding Medical Education

Rockefeller's General Education Board provided substantial funding to medical colleges that followed the Flexner Report's recommendations, pushing for a standardized, science-focused medical curriculum.

Support for Pharmaceutical-Based Medicine

By investing in pharmaceutical development and research through institutions like the Rockefeller Institute for Medical Research, Rockefeller helped create the foundation for the modern pharmaceutical industry.

Promotion of Public Health Campaigns

The Rockefeller Sanitary Commission and the subsequent International Health Division launched successful public health initiatives, such as the eradication of hookworm, which demonstrated the potential of large-scale, organized, and scientifically-driven public health interventions.

Consequences of This Influence**Standardization of Medical Education**

The period following the Flexner Report saw the closure of many smaller, less standardized medical schools, leading to a more uniform and scientifically-oriented medical education system across the US.

Rise of "Big Pharma"

The focus on pharmaceuticals and the suppression of alternative or natural healing methods contributed to the growth and dominance of large pharmaceutical companies, which continues to this day.

Marginalization of Traditional Practices

Alternative treatments like natural healing and homeopathy, which were once widely practiced, were largely excluded from mainstream medical education and practice due to their perceived incompatibility with the new scientific paradigm.

How it works, is that if you want to work as a doctor in a hospital – and make quite a bit of money – you need to have studied at the educational facilities that are approved by the General Education Board. Obviously – as a doctor – you learn only to subscribe chemical drugs that can be patented, preferably the ones that are patented by the companies that the Rockefeller's own. Most of these chemical drugs are created in a way that they won't really heal you, but that they temporary take away your symptoms, and that you – as a patient – become addicted to that drug. It doesn't really matter what your illness is, the solution is almost always chemical pills. If doctors don't describe enough chemical drugs, they see their commissions go down.

If doctors prescribe "off label" drugs that are cheap and have no patents, the doctor can be prosecuted and lose his license. When – God forbid – a doctor prescribes natural herbs, a healthy diet or just doing some exercise in the sun, the doctor will risk being called a quack and put in prison. This war on medicine has gone so far now, that for example producers of honey are now not allowed to print "this honey is good for your health" on their labels in Europe.

To reverse the unscientific monopolization of our health care system, it is very important to get rid of all patents. To make healthcare actually scientific, all recipes and research needs to be made fully transparent before any medicine is allowed to be applied. Only when medical information is abundant, the industry will start to regain proper trust again as people can rely on their own research instead of being forced to blindly accept propaganda of a system that is corrupted from the root:

By a snake oil salesman of which the snake still is being repeated in almost every "healthcare" logo.



6.2.4 Reverse The Quality Education Propaganda

Like the corruption of the medical system is rooted in its educational system, the victors use UNESCO to monopolize the study material and curricula that teachers are allowed to teach in schools, to corrupt other sectors. In §2.3 you can read the issues that UNESCO had already since its inception. As in every good communist society, the children need to be taken away from their parents as soon as possible, so they can be indoctrinated in every way possible. Children will read the history the victors want them to read, and only want to make obedient workers out of them. As the famous American comedian George Carlin explains:

*... But there's a reason. There's a reason. There's a reason for this, there's a reason education S*CKS, and it's the same reason it will never, ever, EVER be fixed.*

It's never going to get any better, don't look for it, be happy with what you've got.

Because the owners, the owners of this country don't want that. I'm talking about the real owners now, the BIG owners! The Wealthy... the REAL owners! The big wealthy business interests that control things and make all the important decisions.

Forget the politicians. They are irrelevant. The politicians are put there to give you the idea that you have freedom of choice. You don't. You have no choice! You have OWNERS! They OWN YOU. They own everything. They own all the important land. They own and control the corporations. They've long since bought, and paid for the Senate, the Congress, the state houses, the city halls, they got the judges in their back pockets and they own all the big media companies, so they control just about all of the news and information you get to hear. They got you by the balls.

They spend billions of dollars every year lobbying, lobbying, to get what they want. Well, we know what they want. They want more for themselves and less for everybody else, but I'll tell you what they don't want:

They don't want a population of citizens capable of critical thinking. They don't want well informed, well educated people capable of critical thinking. They're not interested in that. That doesn't help them. That's against their interests.

*That's right. They don't want people who are smart enough to sit around a kitchen table and think about how badly they're getting f*cked by a system that threw them overboard 30 f*cking years ago. They don't want that!*

*You know what they want? They want obedient workers. Obedient workers, people who are just smart enough to run the machines and do the paperwork. And just dumb enough to passively accept all these increasingly shitty jobs with the lower pay, the longer hours, the reduced benefits, the end of overtime and vanishing pension that disappears the minute you go to collect it, and now they're coming for your Social Security money. They want your retirement money. They want it back so they can give it to their criminal friends on Wall Street, and you know something? They'll get it. They'll get it all from you sooner or later cause they own this f*cking place! It's a big club, and you ain't in it! You, and I, are not in the big club.*

*By the way, it's the same big club they use to beat you over the head with all day long when they tell you what to believe. All day long beating you over the head with their media telling you what to believe, what to think and what to buy. The table has tilted folks. The game is rigged and nobody seems to notice. Nobody seems to care! Good honest hard-working people; white collar, blue collar it doesn't matter what color shirt you have on. Good honest hard-working people continue, these are people of modest means, continue to elect these rich c*ck s*ckers who don't give a f*ck about you.... they don't give a f*ck about you... they don't give a F*CK about you.*


*They don't care about you at all... at all... AT ALL. And nobody seems to notice. Nobody seems to care. That's what the owners count on. The fact that Americans will probably remain willfully ignorant of the big red, white and blue d*ck that's being jammed up their *ssh*les everyday, because the owners of this country know the truth.*

It's called the American Dream, because you have to be asleep to believe it.

Another quote of George Carlin is this:

*"Don't just teach your children to read...
Teach them to question what they read.
Teach them to question everything what they read and what they hear.
Children should be taught to question authority.
Parents never teach their children to question authority,
because parents are authority figures themselves,
and they don't want to undermine their own bullshit inside the household.
Kids have to be warned that there is bullshit coming down the road.
That's the biggest thing you can do for a kid.
Tell em what life in this country is all about.
It is about a whole lot of bullshit that needs to be detected and avoided."*

George Carlin



In §1.3.1 I already why nobody should ever just trust "science". You always should do your own research before you make up your mind. You always need to ask yourself if what you read or hear is propaganda or are actual facts.

The statement *"The more you read, the more you understand how little you know"* expresses a paradox of knowledge: as your knowledge base expands through reading, you become more aware of the vastness of what you don't know, leading to a humbling realization that true understanding is an endless pursuit. This idea is not meant to be discouraging but rather to highlight that the journey of learning opens up more questions and areas for further exploration, as seen in the famous quote by Socrates: *"The more I know, the more I realize I know nothing"*.

There is however also a positive side of the endless pursuit of knowledge. At a certain moment you will start to see structures and connections that keep repeating. Knowledge is also like a puzzle where sometimes pieces just fall together and fit. Each time that happens, you will get more convinced that related conclusions you make, must be right. In this time of echo-chambers you however need to keep reading and try to find information from different sources.

What is clear to me, is that it is still relatively safe to learn basic skills – like reading, math, languages, physics, chemistry and most parts of biology in schools. But once you start to be told about history, economy and politics, you really need to start questioning everything.

In a world of abundance it will be very important to teach children how to question everything, to give them access to any information – suited for their age – and to make sure they are not propagandized by authority. You do this by teaching them how to differentiate between propaganda and real information. With proper access to the abundance of information and creative ideas, I expect an explosion of creativity when we transform education from preparing children to become obedient workers to creative minds. Minds that learn how to be helpful in any situation life will throw at them.



6.2.5 Reverse The Gender Equality Propaganda

One of the oldest trick out of the book is "Divide and Conquer". The trick is first to divide people. It doesn't really matters how you divide them, as long as people start to see themselves as a part of a group. Once you achieved that then you start focusing on the differences between these arbitrary groups and indoctrinate the people in the group that looks disadvantaged by the other group, that there is "discrimination" of the disadvantaged group by the obvious advantaged group. That it's their fault that the disadvantaged group is where it is. It doesn't matter what complicated process has actually led to the difference. The difference on its own is the proof of discrimination. Especially for the victors that are hidden, this "divide and conquer" strategy works like a charm. As long as people point to each other as the cause of their misery, they are not trying to find the actual problems in the system, which could make them accidentally stumble upon the victors.

Especially communists use this technique. The people that own factories or farms are labeled as "the capitalists" and the workers as "the proletariat". The workers are told that owning capital is an abomination and that capital goods should be all owned by the state (which is presumed to be all the people), not knowing there is an hidden group that prints money out of thin air and actually holds almost all land, factories, real estate and machines as collateral. They – the workers – will however never be allowed to own anything anyway and will see their lives very likely go backwards after creating death and destruction in a communist revolution.

The gender equality movement – feminism – was also instigated by the usual suspects. In [this interview](#) of Alex Jones with Aaron Russo about his relation with Nick Rockefeller, this exact subject was discussed:

Aaron Russo said: "You know, as much as I like you Nick (Rockefeller), your ways and my ways are on the opposite side of the fence. I don't believe in enslaving people." And he would come back with: "what do you care about those people? What difference does it make to you? Take care of your own life. Do the best you can for you and your family. What do the rest of the people mean to you? They don't mean anything to you. They're just serves." It was just a lack of caring and that's just not who I was. It was just cold. I used to say: "What's the point of all this? You have all the money in the world. You have all the power you need. What's the end goal?" And he said: "The end goal is to get everybody chipped, to control the whole society. To have the bankers, the elite people and some government control the world." And I said: "Do all the people in the Council on Foreign Relations believe this way?" He said: "No, no, no. Most of them believe they're doing the right thing. A lot of them believe it's better off being socialistic. We have to convince people that socialism is really capitalism, because America's becoming a socialist country. It's a communist country today."

Well one of the things he said one night - and he started laughing - he said: "Aaron what do you think women's Liberation was about?". And I said "I'm pretty conventional thinking about it at that point." I said: "I think about women having the right to work, getting equal pay with men - just like they won the right to vote." And he started to laugh. He said: "You're an idiot!". And I said: "Why am I an idiot?". He said: "Let me tell you what that was all about: We - the Rockefeller's - funded that. We funded women's Lib(eration). And we're the ones who got it all over the newspapers and television. The Rockefeller Foundation. And do you want to know why? There were two primary reasons. One reason was that we couldn't tax half the population before women's lib. And the second reason was: Now we get the kids in school at an early age. We can indoctrinate the kids on how to think and break up this family. The kids start looking at the state as their family. At the school-officials as their family. Not at the parents teaching them. So those are the two primary reasons for women's lib". Which I thought up to that point was a noble thing. But when I saw their intentions behind it, where they were coming from, when they created it, the thought of it... I saw the evil behind what I thought was a noble venture.

Alex Jones asks: "Aaron, did you know that Gloria Steinem - in one of her own books - now admits the CIA funded Ms. Magazine? (a feminist publication established in 1972 to promote mainstream feminist ideals and challenge traditional women's magazines by focusing on social and political issues)". Aaron Russo: "No, I had no idea about that. No I never heard that. CIA funded that magazine?". Alex Jones: "The CIA funded Ms. Magazine with the stated goal of taxing women and breaking up the family." Aaron Russo: "No kidding, I never heard that. Well Nick told me, you know I know it, but not because I know the CIA was involved in it." Alex Jones: "Well she - Gloria Steinem - was proud of it: Oh the CIA wanted to help me help women." Aaron Russo: "no Kidding." Alex Jones: "So they funded it. And of course it's divide and conquer and what they do is they focus obviously on real problems. Women that were getting shafted in many ways. But the elite wasn't

planning to help them. They were planning to really shaft them and take men away from them. Look at what they did with black families. You only had about 10% illegitimacy 50 years ago in Black communities, and now it's over 90%. And look at welfare: We're going to give you some money, but you can't have a man in the house. And so that was further to degrade the family, totally destroyed it, and now illegitimacy is over 50% in the general population."

Aaron Russo then continues how the Rockefeller's tried to recruit him for the CFR (Council of Foreign Relations) so he would basically stop making movies exposing the truth as he saw it.

When you think about how difficult it currently has become for men and women to enter meaningful relations, you need to remember that the natural order has been disturbed. Life long indoctrination to seduce people to leave their faith, to leave natural roles, to ridicule the importance of women in the family. Making women believe that a career is more important than their God given role as the mother of the family. But also making regular life so impossible expensive that women don't have a choice anymore to also study and get a job. It is now normal to secure your finances before a couple start to think about having kids. In reality the "gender inequality" is a fictional unnatural problem. I don't claim there is no gender inequality. The real question should however be, what real problem does gender inequality cause? It is obvious that about everyone struggles to make ends meet, but let's assume we need to pay men less to be able to pay women better, what problem should that solve for society as a whole? Probably none. Does the subject bring us closer to communism? Definitely!

"Divide and Conquer" is one of the most powerful tools in the toolkit of our victors. When you can print money out of thin air, funding divisive policies has always been the cheapest way to rule over other people. It doesn't matter which division – Race, Religion, Gender, Income, Country of Birth, Age, - it really doesn't matter. As long as people fight each other, the victors will stay safe.

In the financial system of the Abundomy – everyone participates equally in the creation of money and everyone is free to determine how to spend their money. And with the parasite gone that creates division as a way to survive, natural roles will of the abundance of types of people will return, where we will embrace our differences instead of trying to cancel them out.



6.2.6 Reverse The Clean Water Propaganda

Like food, access to water is also a feature that is instrumental, when you want to control people. By claiming that people are not able to provide safe drinking water and are also not able to manage their water supply properly and therefor causing life threatening situations for their own communities, the victors want people to hand over any access to water to the authorities and Big Business.

The insanity about handing over the responsibility of clean water to authorities and Big Business reached a peak in 2013: On 16 May 2013 Nestlé's CEO Brabeck no longer claimed that water is NOT a human right, following the previous controversy he caused by claiming that water is NOT a human right. His position is now more spun: Brabeck now indicates that 25 liters of water per day can be a human right. This is equivalent to 1.5% of the fresh water used. It is only the other 98.5% of our available fresh water that should be open for the market. According to Brabeck: 'Business knows how it can be used most efficiently' (and with the highest return in profits). He also said that if he doesn't pursue this kind of monopolies, he would no longer serve the interests of his shareholders.

This claim of CEO Brabeck is one of the most deranged ideas of a person whose existence seems to be built on creating scarcity for others in order to exploit the misery of others to the maximum.

The proper way to create clean water and sanitation for everyone, is that we stop increasing our dependence on governments and Big Business and create a world of abundance, using all the tools that are provided in the book. When we distribute the creation of money properly and we share the technologies to create clean drinking water and sanitation, local communities will be quickly very able to provide their communities with clean drinking water and proper sanitation. There are no multinationals necessary to achieve this.



6.2.7 Reverse The Clean Energy Propaganda

Like food and water, also energy is a feature that is instrumental, when you want to control people. The Climate Change scare – that is used to completely destroy economies for effects that are not measurable – is again a method to create even more artificial scarcity and get even more control over people. According to one of the Dutch political parties:

There is no climate crisis. The climate is always changing. It was somewhat warmer on Earth during the time of the Egyptians and the Romans, there was another peak in the Middle Ages, then it was somewhat colder for a few centuries, and since 1850, it has been slightly warmer again: about one degree Celsius.

Despite this warming and the possible role of CO2 in it, it has not led to an increase in extreme weather events such as hurricanes, tornadoes, floods, or droughts. The number of victims of climate-related disasters has even decreased by more than 95%. Thanks to technological developments, in particular, we are increasingly able to protect ourselves against extreme weather and flooding.

Moreover, CO2 is an important nutrient for life on Earth, especially for plants and trees. CO2 emissions therefore lead to an Earth with more trees and plants and to higher agricultural yields.

Current climate goals are aimed at attempting to combat climate change. These goals are unattainable and have no noticeable effect on the climate. However, they do have a significant negative impact on our prosperity. It is estimated that the current climate plans in the Netherlands cost more than €1 trillion. This equates to €230,000 per four-person household. And that's for a global temperature drop of approximately 0.00007 degrees Celsius by 2100.

Therefore, we must immediately halt current climate policies and decouple energy policy from climate policy, and no longer regard CO2 reduction as a guiding principle for anything.

The intentional destruction of crucial energy infrastructure – like the destruction of the Nord Stream Pipeline, the discontinuation of many nuclear power plants in Germany, the closure of the Dutch gas fields – is another example on how authorities create artificial scarcity. Like the war on farmers and fishermen, the destabilization of power grids by the hastily adding of wind and solar to the electricity net and all kind of other incomprehensible measures – like the forced decommissioning of a perfectly functioning gas net and the forced installation of heat pumps in the Netherlands – causes massive issues and increasing energy prices in almost every country.

Another issue of the so called “green energy transition” is that the calculations that are used to base the political solutions on are also rigged. The costs of the waste materials of decommissioned wind parks, solar fields, electrical vehicles and abandoned existing infrastructure are not calculated properly. Next to that, our reality is that the amount of energy from coal-fired power station still outgrows the alternative renewable sources of energy.

What is clear, is that governments prefer people to use electricity over gasoline or wood for their houses and vehicles. This has little to do with the environment but has much to do with controlling people. Smart electricity meters can be easily connected to Central Bank Digital Currencies. Wood and Gasoline not so much.

In the Abundomy, all climate models need to be transparent and will be properly checked and revised. People can perfectly choose themselves what energy to use, based on complete information. And – as with any form of pollution – once resources are fairly distributed and people don't need to worry about their next meal, people will start to clean up their environments, for example with proper filters on their gasoline powered cars.



6.2.8 Reverse The Decent Work & Economic Growth Propaganda

The term "nonsense jobs," or "bullshit jobs" was popularized by anthropologist David Graeber. He claimed that up to 50% of all jobs are so pointless, unnecessary, or harmful that even the person doing the work cannot justify their own existence. These jobs are distinct from "shit jobs," which may be unpleasant and poorly compensated but are necessary for society to function, such as cleaning or garbage collection. The reason that so many nonsense jobs exist, is that – when you can print money out of thin air and you want to put people in debt – the best way to achieve this is to provide them a nonsense job, allow them a large mortgage or credit card debt. It's a guarantee to be locking another serf in the system.

According to Graeber, the proliferation of these jobs is a consequence of "managerial feudalism," in which the economy has shifted from producing goods to providing services. Rather than taking advantage of technology to work less, society has invented administrative and corporate roles to keep people occupied, often at the expense of those doing more essential labor. There are five types of nonsense jobs:

Flunkies

Roles that exist to make a superior look or feel important. Examples include receptionists at offices that get few calls, or doormen in buildings with electronic keycards.

Goons

Aggressive, manipulative, or deceptive roles that only exist because competitors have them. Examples include telemarketers, corporate lawyers hired for intimidation, and lobbyists.

Duct tapers

People who fix flaws in a system that should not exist in the first place. Their work covers up an organizational problem instead of addressing its root cause. Examples include programmers constantly fixing shoddy code or airline staff dealing with lost luggage due to system failures.

Box tickers

Roles that create the appearance of utility through bureaucratic processes, such as writing reports and creating surveys that no one reads. Their real purpose is to allow an organization to claim it is doing something that it isn't.

Taskmasters

Managers who create extra work for those who do not need supervision, or who invent new "bullshit" jobs for subordinates. Their existence is justified by their control over others.

In my opinion there are many more nonsense jobs. Almost anyone that is working in the financial system (banks, investors, financial trade platforms, accountants, financial planners, tax-collectors, tax-lawyers and many more) doesn't add value to our world. And also most jobs that are related to national governments can be removed. Local communities can take care of hospitals, schools, infrastructure very well. No national government is needed for that. In the world of abundance all those jobs will be replaced by people that clean the environment, that actually build and maintain our villages, cities and infrastructure. We will have schools with only teachers and hospitals with only doctors and nurses that are directly paid by the parents or patients.

When people fight over scraps, they do anything to get a paycheck. Especially in government and large multinationals, many useless jobs are created by higher staff, to create



extra budget and to make themselves feel more important. This is a direct result from the system of scarcity. People that otherwise run out of money will accept the most unbelievable nonsense for a paycheck. Attempts to forcefully try to include everyone in the workforce from a communist point of view leads to a similar amount of “nonsense jobs”. Having millions of people pretending to add something to society, but in reality just wasting their own and other people's time, is obviously a massive issue. An issue which wouldn't be seen in an Abundomy, as there is no reason to pretend you are doing something useful while just wasting time. When you are unconditionally participating in the money creation system, there is little risk to have to get a nonsense job. Instead you probably start your own venture. That so much nonsense jobs are allowed by the financiers of those companies, is also an indication that communism is near.

Another fairytale, is the necessity of “economic growth”. In our current economy, adding money to the money supply (which equals to the increase of debt), is intentionally mistakenly labeled as economic growth. In the pyramid scheme that is our current economy, economic growth is primarily measured by the annual increase in a country's production of goods and services. There is a distinction between Nominal and real growth where inflation is corrected. The problem with the inflation numbers is however that they are heavily manipulated downwards, to make people not too angry. Reality is that average families with 2 or 3 kids in the 1970's could have one income and own two cars and go on a holiday twice a year. Currently this is not possible as an average. The situation of the 1970's will never return in the current economy. Now try to explain that with economic growth. There is none and never has been. We live in a debt base economy that is set up to create scarcity, meaning that the owners of the system transfer all wealth to themselves at the expense of everyone else. The transfer of wealth is almost finalized and communism is waiting. In communism, you will receive food stamps and – because everything is owned by the owners of the communist party – the fairytale of economic growth (which in reality was the greatest wealth transfer in history) has ended forever. From that moment, the public will never be allowed to grow their possessions. The only thing that might grow is the amount of your food stamps and your access to things that we never had to ask permission for before. Like eating meat, walking in a forest or swimming in the sea.

In a world of abundance, wealth should be measured in happiness. Where people own their actual own things and have as much as possible free access to as much as possible they can think of. In a world of abundance only jobs will exist that people actually enjoy and that have actual meaning to the rest of the community.



6.2.9 Reverse The Industry, Innovation & Infrastructure Propaganda

To control a population, it is important that people stay in their cities as much as possible (see also §5.2.11). To properly arrange that, it is important that the goods – that are harvested on the state owned farm land or are mined in the state owned mines and are produced in the state owned factories – are transported efficiently to the cities. Cities where people are moved to as much as possible. People are however never asked if they are happy, being rounded up in cities. Cities where they are all forced to consume similar efficient produced products, live in efficient produced pods, work in similar predefined system jobs. This question is important, because the current development of industry, innovation and infrastructure, is specifically geared to control people in a communist society. A society where culture, religion, fashion, media, will be equalized in the cities and by our new God: The government.

One of the biggest issues of the propaganda around Industry, Innovation & Infrastructure is that people (especially the youth) is deeply indoctrinated to believe that trans-humanism is actual progress. The opposite is however true. Sure, it is fantastic when technology helps people out of their wheelchairs, help with reading or can reduce the agony of any other handicap. Those



things are however not the real issues of trans-humanism. Trans-humanism is about removing things that make us human. Things like killing animals and turning them into food, feeling actual pain, eating something you never done before but, doing something that is actually dangerous, being in an actual fight, being different and showing it, saying something that is not allowed. The challenge of Industry, Innovations & Infrastructure is not to find the new boundaries of what is possible. The challenge is to build things that make us more human. Things that enhance our differences. Things that remove us from conformity, that keep us far away from dullness and numbness. Things that free us from any control from others. Things that make our lives memorable, meaningful.

Sure, you can have a robot weave and sew your clothes or have a factory to mass produce a pizza. The question is however how to value the human connection you create when you buy the handwoven and hand-sewn clothes of a local tailor. Or how to value the human connection you create when you eat local street food. We are told that it is not efficient, but – if you think about it – we are not born to go through life in the most efficient way. The people that print money out of thin air will have actual human relations with the architects of their houses, yachts and spaceships. They actually talk to their chefs about the food they eat or wine they drink. They only want you to believe that you shouldn't have human connections. That it is inefficient. That you can replace human contact with smarter AI.

We need to rethink the propaganda about anything that has to do with Industry, Innovations & Infrastructure, as efficiency can never fill the emptiness that was created from the scarcity it was born in. In a world of abundance, efficiency will never be a goal, creating possibilities to be inefficient but creative should be the goal. Inefficiencies like actually talking to the person that created your food or designed your yacht.

6.2.10 Reverse The Reduce Inequality Propaganda

I think we can be very short about this. We are told that no real owners of the system exist and that the rest of us should be “equalized” and live in communism. Well, if no owners of the system exist, then we could actually do a debt jubilee and start with a financial system where everyone participates equally in the creation of money.

Let's see what happens if we propose to our current governments to remove the victors to get rid of the most important inequality in our system. The outcome will not be surprising: They will prefer actual communism, so the real owners can remain hidden.



6.2.11 Reverse The Sustainable Cities Propaganda

The sustainable city is another word for the 15-minute city. A 15 minute city is according to the Google propagandaed AI:

The "15-minute city" is an urban planning concept where city neighborhoods are designed so that residents can access all of their daily necessities – such as work, school, shopping, and healthcare – within a 15-minute walk or bike ride. The goal is to reduce car dependency, improve air quality, and create more livable, sustainable, and community-oriented urban environments.

The concept is not new but has gained global prominence in recent years, especially after being championed by Paris Mayor Anne Hidalgo during her 2020 re-election campaign.

Core principles:

Proximity and Density

Essential services and amenities are located within a short distance of residential areas. A denser population base helps support local businesses, public transit, and services.

Diversity

Encourages mixed-use development, combining residential, commercial, and recreational spaces within a single neighborhood. This includes providing diverse housing types to create multicultural and socioeconomically varied communities.

Human-Scale Design

Prioritizes pedestrians and cyclists over vehicle traffic by redesigning streets to be more walkable and bike-able.

Digitization

Uses smart city technology to optimize services, manage resources more efficiently, and provide residents with real-time information.

Flexibility

Promotes the flexible use of urban spaces, like converting school playgrounds into parks during off-hours, to maximize utility.

Benefits:

Environmental sustainability

Reduces greenhouse gas emissions and air pollution by decreasing reliance on cars.

Improved public health

Encourages walking and cycling, promoting physical activity and better health.

Enhanced social equity

Increases access to services for everyone, including those with lower incomes who may not own a car.

Stronger local economies

Supports small businesses and entrepreneurship by increasing local foot traffic and spending.

Better quality of life

Frees up time spent commuting for other activities, while creating a stronger sense of community.

Criticism and controversy:

Despite its support from many urban planners and sustainability advocates, the 15-minute city concept has received two main types of criticism.

Legitimate urban planning critiques:

Feasibility

Critics argue that creating truly self-sufficient neighborhoods in every area, especially in low-density, sprawling suburban areas, is unrealistic and economically nonviable.

Exacerbating inequality

Concerns exist that implementing the plan in desirable urban areas could accelerate gentrification, pricing out lower-income residents.

Neglecting longer journeys

The emphasis on local travel can overshadow the importance of efficient public transit for necessary longer commutes to specialized jobs or services, like hospitals and universities, which cannot be decentralized.

Varying needs

The model may not adequately account for the diverse needs of different social groups, such as the elderly, people with disabilities, or families with specific school requirements.

Misinformation and conspiracy theories:

Starting in 2023, the 15-minute city became a target for a widespread conspiracy theory, amplified by far-right influencers and amplified on social media.

The claims

The theory falsely claims that 15-minute cities are a "socialist concept" and a tool of a totalitarian "Great Reset" agenda by a global elite to remove private cars and restrict citizens to specific "districts" under intense surveillance.

The reality

In reality, the concept is about giving people more choices and improving their neighborhoods, not confining them. Local officials in cities like Oxford, UK, which have implemented traffic-calming measures, have had to issue statements to debunk these false claims. The backlash has led to harassment of city officials and protests, and has complicated urban planning efforts.

The text above is literally what is presented to you when you type "15 minute city" in Google's AI. I was literally astounded by the blatant propaganda that Google's AI presents. Without any

shame (AI knows no shame) the AI already knows which claims are true or false. Google's AI tells you that the concept is only improving society and is not confining people.

Well, people that actually live in parts of cities that have been declared 15-minute cities – like London – disagree. The battle these people are fighting for years now, can be seen in many places. This is an [article in the “Independent”](#) about how Ultra Low Emission Zones (ULEZ) are used to confine people and how [ULEZ Blade-runners](#) are fighting against their confinement.

The ULEZ cameras are in place to make sure you don't leave your 15-minute area without paying a fine. They tell you that paying a fine is not confining you. It is the same argument that losing your job is not a way to force you to take a vaccine. Now imagine that you have a Digital ID and a Central Bank Digital Currency. Every time you leave your 15-minute area you are fined automatically. If you have an electric car, and you leave your 15-minute area and you don't have the money in your

Central Bank Account, your car will be switched off. So if you are a billionaire, you won't care about ULEZ, but if your money is scarce, you will be confined in a 15-minute city.



So this is all about creating the infrastructure (see §5.2.9). Once the 15-minute infrastructure is set up, the only thing that needs to happen is to raise the barriers. Instead of \$20 for each time you pass the camera/fence they now raise it to \$100, or \$1000 or perhaps \$1,000,000 when the government claims there is a virus in town. Or it becomes \$1,000,000 when you told anything bad about the government. Every 15-minute city is nothing more than a potential open air prison. In combination with your Digital ID and a Central Bank Digital Currency, the GPS of your phone and numerous cameras with facial recognition, they (your communist government) can single you out, and lock you up in your own house, at any moment they wish.

The concept is sold to you as you can read in Google's AI above, and the reality and dangers of this technology are immediately being dismissed as conspiracy theories. Still it is a big “coincidence” that these systems are popping up everywhere, and that there are never problems to find funding and politicians to implement it. By now it should be clear that anything that is being dismissed as a “conspiracy theory” should wear that label as a badge of honor, because somewhere some owner of a multinational company like Google seems to be afraid of something. That person is apparently so afraid that – instead of providing actual arguments why we have nothing to fear from these technologies, he instructed AI to just resorts to sticks and stones.

In a world of abundance there won't be any talk of confinement of people or any other rules that restrict people to be human. People want freedom, and even if we have real climate issues that we could somehow slow down, locking up people is not a solution.

It is very clear that our leaders (and the victors that control them) have no interest at all in providing any freedom. Even the right of movement is now artificially being made scarce. It is unbelievable we put up with it. Those agenda's are demonic and we should never accept them.

6.2.12 Reverse The Responsible Consumption and Production Propaganda

Responsible Consumption and Production is also a term that hides various demonic plans. With the word “responsible” they indicate that most people are like irresponsible toddlers. That they don’t really know what is good for them and for others. And because almost every civilian is irresponsible, everything is scarce, everything is polluted and many people are sick, nature is about to collapse and the world is about to end. Thank God we have the government that knows what is best for us. We need to be more responsible when we eat or when we produce something. And the only way to achieve this, is when we allow the government to – not only tell us how and what to consume or produce – but actually take control of the entire consumption and production process. You – as a civilian – are allowed to work in these systems and get to choose what to consume, as long you choose the products from the state factories.



So regulation around production of any consumer goods will be ramped up to an extent that it will be impossible for the middle class to compete against state owned or multinational companies. Also consumers will be restricted. Your Digital ID and a Central Bank Digital Currency will monitor what you eat, drink, what you read and anything you want to buy. When the controllers of the Central Party Administration and their AI think you consumed enough, they will block your Central Bank credit card. Again, it is AI enhanced communism 2.0 where your entire life will be micromanaged by digital systems.

In our Abundomy, people are actually properly educated as they will have access to any information and will have plenty of free time to learn what is important and how to produce and consume in a responsible way. There will be no need for any government to force any responsibility on to us.

6.2.13 Reverse The Climate Action Propaganda

The destruction of society based on the artificial climate change panic, is obviously wanted by the victors. The WEF predicted in November 2016 that by 2030, 1 billion people would be replaced because of climate change.

*The World Economic Forum (WEF) video titled "8 Predictions For The World In 2030" was produced in November 2016. The video was published on the WEF's website and Facebook page as a way to share its content and was subsequently shared on other media platforms.
The video was based on a prediction made by the WEF's network of Global Future Councils.*

Now when we ask Google's AI "When did the WEF say that one billion people will be displaced in 2030 because of climate change?", this is the answer you get:

The World Economic Forum (WEF) did not state that one billion people would be displaced in 2030 due to climate change; rather, the World Bank projected that between 68 to 135 million people would be pushed into poverty by 2030, and up to 260 million by the same year, according to a separate 2021 report. Other sources, such as the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Institute for Economics & Peace (IEP), have projected a higher figure of 1.2 billion people displaced by 2050 due to climate change and extreme weather events, not 2030.

Well, there you have it. Blatant lies from Google's AI. This is also how the cult of "Climate Alarmism" works. Here a little overview of other climate and ending resources scares:

Many warnings of climate and resource crises have not come true as originally predicted, often due to a combination of oversimplified models, effective human intervention, or being based on a media-amplified narrative rather than scientific consensus.

Here is an overview of prominent environmental warnings and why their most catastrophic outcomes were not realized:

The ozone layer

The warning

In the 1980s, scientists discovered the "ozone hole," a severe thinning of the stratospheric ozone layer over Antarctica, caused by chlorofluorocarbons (CFC's) and other ozone-depleting substances (ODS). The depletion threatened to cause a significant increase in UV radiation, leading to a rise in skin cancer rates, harm to ecosystems, and potential blindness.

Why the direst predictions didn't come true

The global response was swift and effective. The 1987 Montreal Protocol, an international treaty to phase out ODS, was signed by every nation. This intervention led to a decline in atmospheric concentrations of harmful chemicals, and the ozone layer is now on a path to full recovery, expected around 2066 for Antarctica. This is a key example of a successful policy response preventing a forecast catastrophe.

Acid rain

The warning

In the 1970s and 1980s, scientists and environmentalists warned that industrial emissions of sulfur dioxide (SO_2) and nitrogen oxides (NO_x) would cause widespread and severe damage to forests, crops, and aquatic life in lakes and rivers through acid rain. Some media reports wrongly suggested all crops would be destroyed.

Why the direst predictions didn't come true

A series of regulatory measures, most notably the Clean Air Act amendments in the U.S. and the Geneva Convention on Long-Range Trans-boundary Air Pollution in Europe, drastically cut emissions of SO_2 and NO_x . These policies led to a significant reduction in acid rain and allowed affected ecosystems to begin recovering.

Global cooling / The coming ice age

The warning

In the 1970s, a narrative emerged in the popular media that the Earth was heading for a new ice age. This idea stemmed from a period of mild cooling between 1940 and 1970, and a few scientific papers exploring the cooling effects of industrial aerosols.

Why the direst predictions didn't come true

The notion of a coming ice age was a media sensation, not a scientific consensus. A review of the scientific literature from 1965 to 1979 found that most studies at the time were already focused on global warming from

greenhouse gases. The temporary cooling trend ended around 1970 as controls on air pollution reduced aerosol emissions, allowing the more dominant greenhouse gas warming to accelerate.

Peak oil

The warning

Based on the "peak oil" theory of geologist M. King Hubbert, proponents predicted that global oil production would peak and then enter a terminal decline. Predictions from the 1970s onward forecast a global collapse as early as 2004–2005 due to resource scarcity.

Why the direst predictions didn't come true

Peak oil theory underestimated the power of technological innovation. The advent of hydraulic fracturing ("fracking") and horizontal drilling in the 21st century unlocked vast new sources of unconventional oil and gas, particularly in the USA, which led to a second peak in production that Hubbert's model did not anticipate. While oil is a finite resource, technological advances and economic factors have continually pushed back the predicted date of peak supply.

Arctic ice caps

The statement

In his 2007 speech, Gore referenced studies suggesting the possibility of the Arctic becoming ice-free in summer within 7 to 22 years (by 2014 or 2029).

The outcome

The Arctic did not become ice-free in the summer by either of those years. Current projections under high emissions scenarios estimate a summer ice-free Arctic later in the mid-21st century.

The statement

Gore stated at the COP15 conference that some models indicated a "75 per cent chance" of an ice-free Arctic summer within five to seven years (by 2014–2016).

The outcome and criticism

The Arctic did not become ice-free by 2016. The scientist Gore cited disputed the characterization of his research, and some climate scientists criticized Gore for exaggeration. In reality the maximum amount of km² Arctic ice decreased slowly from about 15.6 million km² in 1980 to about 14.9 million km² in 2024. The maximum amount of km² Arctic ice fluctuates much more. It hit peaks in 1980 (7.0 million km²) and in 2013 (5.6 million km²) and lows in 2007 (4.15 million km²), 2012 (3.4 million km²) and 2020 (3.75 million km²).

Sea levels rising

The claims

News reports have sometimes focused on the most extreme, but very low-probability, worst-case scenarios for sea-level rise. For example, reports have sensationalized the potential for multi-meter sea-level rise by the end of the century, implying it was a likely or guaranteed outcome.

The reality

While scientists study extreme scenarios to understand potential risks, they communicate these with specific probabilities. A 2022 NOAA report concluded that high-emissions scenarios with rapid ice sheet collapse could lead to a global average of 2.2 meters of sea-level rise by 2100, but these are identified as "unlikely but plausible" paths, not the most probable ones. The media often omits this crucial context. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) projects that for low-emission scenarios, sea-level rise could be between 28 and 55 centimeters by 2100. For high-emission scenarios, the likely range is 65 to 101 centimeters.

Polar bears

During the period from the mid-1970s to 2025, the global polar bear population first increased significantly before either stabilizing or slightly declining, depending on the specific subpopulation. Following the hunting ban, polar bear populations rebounded and stabilized. By the 1990s, they were considered a conservation success story.

These are just some examples how coordinated fear-mongering works. Some scientist claim something, The media (that is owned by the same people) massively amplifies the obvious lies, then it doesn't happen and some lame excuses are given and fingers are pointed. It is also clear that AI is pure propaganda on these subjects. Many millions of people know exact what the WEF had predicted and talked about it in forums and websites. There is no way AI can miss that. AI is – just like any other search engine like Google and websites like Wikipedia – another tool for the victors to propagandize you. Always be aware of this and always question everything that is presented to you. What we can read is that even the propaganda needs to admit that reality is never worse than predicted. What a coincidence! Only the tax – that has been

collected on the basis of the created fear – was worse then predicted, and has never been returned.

What is interesting about the climate change narrative, is that the propaganda about it, is relentless. Almost every politician is now pushing this propaganda to the maximum. The result is that – after Covid-19 – it has now become the most important method to destroy the farmers, fishermen and middle class, only to be challenged by the increasing war rhetoric and budgets that are being created to ramp up the war industry production. There is always money for war and apparently (!) is this extreme important consumer of energy (the war industry) not an issue for the climate. It is almost funny if it wasn't so sad. The reality is that these narratives are used to push every small privately owned business to the brink of bankruptcy. Only multinationals are able to survive as they are funded by the people that can print money out of thin air. The real reason for climate action is off course to install communism.

Don't fall for all these empty scare-mongering propaganda to create even more artificial scarcity. Nothing was true so-far. The parasites that want their communism, don't hesitate to put fear in your children to achieve what they want.



6.2.14 Reverse The Life Below Water Propaganda

In §1.2.4 you can read that the amount of surface water is about 100 million m³ per person, largely situated in the oceans. That is the average! Only a tiny fraction of all the people is actual close to the sea or works at sea. Now think about the waste a person produces, take a small percentage of that and imagine it ends up in the oceans. Once you see things in perspective you will understand that people are not polluting the oceans in a meaningful way. Now of course there are some spots in the oceans that are terribly polluted and should directly be cleaned. The polluters should actually be prosecuted. But we always need to remember that besides just cleaning our own mess, the oceans don't need to be protected from the humans. As long as we don't overfish certain spots and we don't build windmills in the oceans, the oceans are very fine.

The reason that they want us to believe that the oceans need protection, is that the governments want to restrict people from traveling on or living from the oceans. To create a perfect world of scarcity, living on the oceans must be banned. When everyone is forced to live under communist tyranny, the victors obviously can't allow dissidents to live a free life on the oceans.

In a world of abundance, there will obviously be no limits to traveling over the oceans or living from it. Fishermen know like nobody else how not to overfish their fishing grounds. It would jeopardize their culture and lifestyle if they did. We don't need any government to enforce common sense. What is needed is transparency. When both fishermen and scientist are transparent in their data, any issues that might occur that damages the life under water, can be sorted out without any government interfering.



6.2.15 Reverse The Life On Land Propaganda

For the life on land, the same applies as for life below water (see §6.2.14). We don't want the government and the victors to increase any restrictions. Hunters should be able to hunt, farmers should be able to farm and transparent science and common sense will be sufficient to protect the life on land adequately. We will not be propagandized into any additional scarcity program of the governments and the victors, that will make communism unavoidable.



6.2.16 Reverse The Peace and Strong Institutions Propaganda

Did strong institutions ever created peace? The answer is very simple. No they didn't. And the reason is, that the victors control almost all nations and that war has always been the fastest way for the victors to get hold on the collateral and the future tax-payments of the civilians. To put hope for peace in the institutions and the people that control them is like asking the association of burglars to protect your house. The same applies for the justice department of our countries. Lawfare is being used in for example the UK (Assenge), Germany (Fullmich) and the Netherlands (Van Kessel) to disarm any apostate, which is just a tip of the iceberg. Look at the Epstein files and at the endless list of pedophiles that are sent off with a slap on the hand. Lawfare is also used to destroy the middle class and protect the multinationals. Making these institutions stronger will only be another step closer to communism, where multinationals, government and law unite as the Central Party Committee and where the rest of us need to hope that we are allowed to survive.

Reverse Peace?

What is called peace is not real peace. People are robbed blind, several wars are fought without any repercussions of the international community and economies are prepped for war. Billions extra are spent on the military, The police acts like the enemy of free thinking people. Even the army is now deployed in the United States against their own people to restore order.

The only way to restore peace is to remove the parasites that create war and to give people actual perspective, and to make people feel treated fairly. It should be clear that only the combination of a debt jubilee and the installation of ethical money will provide these two conditions that make people to calm down. It will reverse whatever it is that they want to label

peace in our current society. What is sure, is that installing communism, restricting almost all freedoms and installing armies of soldiers and policemen to monitor your every move, is not the way get to a peaceful society. The only people that will find peace in such a society are the victors. Only a full blown global military force can ensure that the victors are allowed to keep their wealth once the masses understand they have been tricked.



6.2.17 Reverse The Partnerships For The Goals Propaganda

The last propaganda part that needs to be dismantled is the Partnerships For The Goals propaganda. Any of the goals of the United Nations is – as you now have see – created to get humanity to finally accept world communism. And to break the illusion: All the partnerships are already decades and centuries ago forged. The only partners that can be allowed in are new politicians or new management members of multinationals that are willing to do the dirty work for the victors.

In the world of abundance your partners are the real people from your local communities and neighboring communities, your friends, family members and people around the world you interact with. People that look out for each other instead of people that are told to have common enemies. By creating a fair and ethical Abundomy, proper friendships will be forged. Friendships based on collaboration and respecting each others differences. Based on actual doing things together and for each other. Based on shared joy of the abundance that the world has to offer for everyone. Unrestricted and out of anyone's control. Partners that you want to meet because of who they are and not because you need to score another business deal to survive.

6.3 What More Can Be Done In The Abundomy?

6.3.1 Finding And Resolving Local Needs

When skills, supplies and tools align with local shortages, it is easy to work on getting rid of those shortages, helping the people in our local community that experience those shortages. If there are however skills, supplies or tools missing in the local community, these shortages need to be communicated to other communities. Once broadcast, other communities (starting with the closest ones) can see how to transport their abundance of skills, tools and/or supplies to the community that lacks those.

This approach alone will already make sure no person will ever be jobless. There will always be demand somewhere for maintenance, food, clothes, entertainment and any other of our basic needs. While at the same time, there will always be a surplus of skills, tools and supplies somewhere else. And in a financial system where everyone participates in the money creation process, scarcity of money to obtain these products and services, will never be a problem.

Just looking out for useful things to do for your community is such a simple step, such a no nonsense idea, that it is quite obscene it doesn't already happens everywhere. So this simple mechanism should be the basis of our job creation. And to make sure people can copy it, many real life examples should be recorded and shared with other communities.



6.3.2 Reorganization Of The Local Leadership

A nice aspect of having ethical money and having a full debt jubilee is that it also opens the opportunity to reorganize the leadership. Now everyone has some money to spend – also because mortgages and rental payments have disappeared – local communities can slowly be financed through voluntary payments of the community. In a similar way, also a local leadership can be installed and financed. With transparency built in the ethical money system, it is finally possible for the community to see how the money is spent exactly. First thing to install is some services, like a sheriff, some police, a fire brigade, a primary school, local internet, and a medical center, just to get the local community going.

Obvious, a template on how this new leadership should be properly organized is another story and will also be different from culture to culture. In many cases parts of these structures are still remaining. In other cases – people might remember how it was done in the past. Each situation could be described in separate books and that is also what I would propose: Let every local community create their own leadership book, where is described how the local leadership is organized.



6.3.3 Revitalization Of The Media

One of the biggest problems of the last centuries has been the media. No tyranny and no war can be started with the full complicity of the media. When the Abundomy is started, anyone that worked for mainstream media should be banned from any news outlet and be judged for their complicity in their betrayal of the common people, because exactly that has happened and brought us very close to full blown communism.

Luckily there are many alternative media outlets that know how to do great jobs. It is only natural that those people will take over the media landscape.

6.3.4 Revitalization Of The Scientific Institutes

Like the media, also the entire scientific community had been captured by bribes and have been complicit to several of the most terrible deceptions that costed countless human lives. Like the involved “journalists”, also the involved “scientist” need to be banned from the science community and also need to be judged by a peoples court.

The revitalization needs to happen trough the abolishment of IP-Rights and copyrights and by providing free access to all data for everyone. There will be enough scientists left that will start a totally new era of science.



6.3.5 The Consequences Debt Jubilee

A full debt jubilee will have some very good consequences for people that just got a large mortgage and a bit less positive for people that saved all their life to be able to live without debt in a small house or apartment. All these little or a bit bigger injustices should be ironed out by the new leadership. Obviously many things that needs to be done, will be discussed well in the local communities before the debt jubilee actually happens.

It is important to remember that – because everyone will suddenly have access to money, spending of money will be voluntary and the focus will be helping people that lack basic necessities – everyone should start on improving their local communities straight away. If you however not help your community, you risk not being helped yourself in the future. Because you will not be able to hide your transactions, people will be able to see who has been helping others, and who didn't.

What will be difficult to comprehend, is that the financial situation of almost everyone will increase extremely fast. Even differences of quality of infrastructure and especially housing, will even out between continents probably already within 10 to 15 years. It is however extremely important that everyone stays calm. Because everyone will be able to see everyone's transaction, being greedy or stingy will be noticed quickly by others. In a world of abundance saving money doesn't help you much, because money deteriorates in value. So – instead of hoarding and

losing your money – it is better to spend it and helping other people to create houses and roads. Because houses deteriorate much slower as the new type of money, the best way to grow your community, is spending money on helping yourself and others, to get proper houses and proper roads as soon as possible. Once your living situation is sorted out, then it will be time to travel and see the abundance that the rest of the world has to offer.



6.3.6 The Consequences Of The Destruction Of IP-Rights

Together with the adoption of ethical money and the debt jubilee, also all IP-Rights will be declared null and void. This includes all patents, trademarks, copyrights and any other claim that a person owns any type of data. People can own objects that carry data, but can not claim that they own the data that is on the data-carrier. This means that data can never be stolen. Copying and spreading data is never a crime. This includes pictures of people. Sharing images will never again be a crime. Doxing will also no longer be a crime as it also wasn't a crime to produce phone-books with addresses not too long ago. Inciting violence will obviously remain a crime.

This also meant that access to information can never be restricted. No government or company information can ever be deemed "classified" again. No information – outside private information – should be encrypted or being made inaccessible by using passwords. The only time passwords should be used is for private information and for the 'write-protection' of personal data and to prevent digital work to be messed up by others. It is important that every person should find ways to prove that he/she is the original creator of certain information, so the original creator is able to collect voluntary gifts from people that appreciate the creation of that data and want to encourage the creator to produce more of that data.

With the removal of most restrictions to copy or spread information, many rules need to be revised. Rules that restrict invading other peoples privacy, rules that allow parents to restrict their children access to certain information, and other situations like that.

Outside these typical restrictions, any other information is freely accessible. This alone will generate endless opportunities for jobs: from research, product development and teaching to the organization of dancing events, game events and much, much more.

One of the most interesting challenges will be the reorganization and transformation of science and the media, including social media. All the old companies will disappear and being replaced by (almost) unrestricted, fully open source alternatives.



Medicine

There will be many new jobs, for example in medicine. All medicines that have no proper safety data will be abandoned. Especially vaccines and medicines that have unproven claims to fight viruses (viruses are still a theory that has never been properly proven) and of which no proper safety data is made available, and that are detected with tests that are not able to detect diseases, will be stopped immediately in the Abundomy. It will however not be stopped by legislation.




It will be stopped by providing people all information uncensored and giving everyone the opportunity to judge for themselves, because – without the IP protection and secrecy around the used “science” – that becomes possible. I believe that when people see all information uncensored, no vaccine will ever be used again. I believe that, because if any vaccine would be “safe and effective”, the patent holders would have provided their proof so widely, that no claim – that viruses don’t exist – would ever be taken seriously.

The same problem exist for basically any patented medicine. This means that any chemical drug who’s efficiency is not properly proven will be substituted by medicine that do have that proof. Many of those medicines could be simple herbs or products like ginger and honey. In the world of abundance, people can now spend actual time on gathering such data with proper science. With blind control groups and many products of which we already know that they won’t make us sick to begin with: the products we consume for thousands of years from nature. We can simply start to collect those data properly and publicly, and draw proper scientific conclusions from it. As it should have been done in the first place.

Oil

Another deep rooted lie about scarcity has to do with oil. The term “fossil fuel” was created to keep the price of oil in an artificial way extremely high. Oil will not run out soon. That should be clear by now. None of the predictions became true and many of the questions (depth of oil reserves, why we find oil under the oceans) are never properly answered. Now as we already can see that the energy transition is failing, that the market for Electric Vehicles (EV) is collapsing, we can also see that the age of petrol powered machines will be with us for many centuries to come. This means that in the Abundomy, oil and coal will be the main drivers of transportation, heating and industry, just as they were in the last 200 years. This also means that there will be many jobs in that sector. You can think about proper science to make engines more efficient and cleaner like creating even better filters than already exist.



Nature

Obviously we need to protect nature better. Our consumption society that pushed for “economic growth” and as a result produced mountains of garbage, is obviously destroying nature on a large scale. To stop this, transparent scientific data is a necessity. In our world of abundance, many of people can be involved in monitoring our nature and protecting it from any destruction. There are many people that live or want to live in harmony with nature, so when you are no longer depending on making money with nonsense jobs, being a wild-life scout suddenly becomes a viable option.

**Scan The IP-Rights**

By just scanning all the copyrights, patents and trademarks it will be quite easy to find plenty of job opportunities. Because everyone participates in the money creation system – your personal risk of losing your livelihood while starting a new business – is no longer there. This means that financial risk should hold nobody back to start a new venture based on the new opportunities that arise once the IP-Rights has disappeared. So we would encourage you to just scan the existing IP-Rights, to get ideas to start new businesses in the Abundomy.

6.4 End The "Utopias Are Impossible" Propaganda

When you need monopolies and scarcity to control other people, it is very important to indoctrinate people that an Utopian paradise is impossible. How many Hollywood movies do you know about people living in a paradise? How many films envision how such a perfect world would function? We are told that - even if we would find such a world - the combination of human greed and our earthly scarcities - will always end in humans destroying those utopias. The movie Avatar is such an example. It tells us that human nature is predominantly bad and

basically destroys any Utopia we would encounter. Humans desperately need to be discouraged to think we can build anything good for ourselves.

The propaganda desperately tries to make us believe that almost all humans are bad and only if the hero has an incredible amount of skills and luck something good might happen. So until we find such an incredible hero with such incredible amount of luck, we need to put both feet on the ground and rely on our incompetent leaders. That is what we are told.

We are told to believe that the victors, their selected leaders and their dystopian agendas with digital Id's, programmable conditional Central Bank Digital Currencies, Geo fenced 15 minute cities and permanent mass surveillance, would be the best humanity can create. A world of abundance for the trillionaires and billionaires and an open air prison with tiny pods and rationed bug food for the obedient remaining part of humanity.

We are also told to believe that people are lazy. That – if we don't use money as a carrot and stick – everyone would just stop working, that nothing would be produced anymore and that we would all die withing a matter of days. Obviously, that is pure nonsense. Look at people in poor countries, where many have almost no money. Those people still work on the land, produce food for themselves and their families and can and will survive without money very easy.

It is very important that we understand the massive amount of propaganda that we are told all our lives are false and even demonic. We desperately need to start thinking for ourselves again and start believing that we can escape the terrible system we are in, and replace it over time with a much better one.



7 Strategy

7.1 What Many People Feel

A few things are happening at the same time. The main thing is that awareness about several topics is growing fast. These are the most important ones:

- Central banks are privately owned,
- Governments are pushing for Digital ID's and Central Bank Digital Currencies,
- The Sustainable Development Goals are destroying our economy,
- Governments never have money for their own people,
- Governments always have money for wars, climate, immigrants and themselves,
- Covid-19 was not the problem they claimed it was, most of it were lies,
- Everyone is getting poorer around the world,
- The biggest wealth transfer to the super-rich has happened in the last few years,
- Wars are not stopped (as Trump promised),
- Surveillance of everyone is growing very fast,
- Climate Change doesn't seem real, all the predictions were lies,
- Governments are growing and taking more money than ever before,
- Life is getting unaffordable, working harder doesn't seem to help at all,
- Starting a family is almost impossible,
- People are getting sicker,
- Almost all food is processed and unnatural,
- Renewable energy is failing,
- Electric cars are getting less popular by the day,
- Cryptocurrencies are not helping us out of this situation,
- The people that are awake don't have real solutions,
- Farmers and Fishermen are being destroyed,
- The middle class is being destroyed,
- The large multinationals are always protected and benefiting,
- Religious people are increasingly framed as terrorists,
- The police and armies are starting to fight with their own people,
- Politics never make anything better,
- Nobody asked for Mass-migration and still politicians are pushing for it,
- Politics are pushing for a new world order, a one world coin and one world religion, while nobody asked for it,
- The mass media is complicit in spreading most of the lies,
- Voting is rigged and useless,

Most people know all these things, but they don't connect the dots. The dots are not connected because most people simply don't have the time to do massive amounts of research to be able to start seeing the difference between the truth and propaganda. The second most important reason that dot's are not connected, is that people are very afraid to be called a crazy conspiracy theorist. The fear to be different when questioning everything, is for most people greater than the fear for what our slave masters are planning for us. Sheep always want the herd to save them.



In this case we are however fighting for all our lives. Including the lives of our children and their children. We are fighting for a life of freedom, health and prosperity. We are fighting to escape our final enslavement and culling. We are really fighting a fight of good versus evil, of God against the devil.

The only viable way to win this fight is to create a new system that makes the old system obsolete. In §1 to §5 we explained how such a system looks like. It is a system that is the opposite of our current "Economy" that lives in a world of scarcity. It is the "Abundomy" that is in the center of a world of abundance. The next thing we need to do is find a way to get there. To actual enter a world of abundance.

7.2 What Doesn't Work

Currently, there are quite a lot of people that understand what is wrong. Once you really understand that you have been deceived your whole life, that your parents, your family, your brothers and sisters and all their and your friends were misled and are indoctrinated, this is a bitter pill. Especially when you also see that you were indoctrinated and helped your own children to become indoctrinated or even damaged by this evil system, it is an extreme heavy burden and process you will go through. To me it felt worse than losing a parent. It felt like time traveling and returning 100 years later where you have lost everyone. The people are still there but it feels they are just like pictures in a century old picture book.

Because you lose almost everybody, it is very likely you go through the 5 stages of grief:

The five stages of grief, according to the Kübler-Ross model, are denial, anger, bargaining, depression, and acceptance. These stages were originally based on work with terminally ill patients and are not meant to be experienced in a linear order or by everyone who grieves. Grief is a complex and individual process, so people may move back and forth between stages, skip some stages entirely, or experience them in a different order.

1. **Denial**
A feeling of shock or disbelief, where one finds it hard to accept the reality of the loss.
2. **Anger**
Frustration, hostility, or blaming others, often with questions like, "Why me?" or "This isn't fair".
3. **Bargaining**
A stage of guilt and regret, where one might think, "If only I had done more" or make deals to change the circumstances.
4. **Depression**
Feelings of sadness, hopelessness, fatigue, and a sense of isolation, making even everyday tasks feel like a struggle.
5. **Acceptance**
Recognizing the loss and the new circumstances, not necessarily liking them but finding a way to move forward.

Important Considerations

Individuality

Grief is a unique experience, and how it is processed varies significantly from person to person.

Non-Linearity

It's common to move back and forth between stages, rather than progressing through them in a strict sequence.

Flexibility

Not everyone will experience all five stages, and some people may resolve their grief without going through these specific stages at all.

Context


These stages were developed from observations of people facing their own mortality and should not be seen as a rigid checklist for all types of grief.

Many that wake up from the deception, don't take the time to get to terms with their new reality, and most of the people – that we consider as “still sleeping” are simply in denial.

There is a famous quote of Mark Twain:

"It's easier to fool people than to convince them that they have been fooled"

Denial is a very strong and natural defense mechanism to escape reality. But for everyone there will be a moment that it becomes impossible to escape the truth. For everyone there will be a moment, that they realize that their list of things they saw with their own eyes, that they reasoned away with their own brains (because they just couldn't accept the consequences) becomes too long. And once you have accepted that truth, you will find yourself in an extreme confusing avalanche of information, thoughts, changing relations. These are mainly step 2 to 4 of the 5 stages of grief. But you will also touch stage 1 again a few times. But each time you are in stage 1, you see your list of lies that can't be denied, is growing faster and faster. This will continue until you totally accept the unacceptable:



"I was fooled and I was ignorant and especially stupid to take so much time to accept it."

Once you accept it, you might still feel a lot of anger and sadness. But you need to move on. You know there's simply no other choice. What happens often, is that woken up people want to act immediately to channel their anger and frustration. All of us want to move to a better world as soon as possible.

To transfer to a better society, it is important that we quickly understand that it is not possible to change the system from within. We can not use the methods of the system to change it. If we would fight the system with its own weapons, we lose. This is why:

- Violence won't work. They have the weapons, the police, the army, the judges and the prisons. We don't, so in a fight a lot of us will get hurt or worse and we would lose.
- Voting won't work because they own the media, the supervisors, the international courts, the money to bribe all candidates, to rig the counting and to cover it up.
- Protesting doesn't work. The people in power really don't mind when you protest. It won't be covered in their media. If it is spoken about, you will be framed as violent conspiracy theorists. Nothing will change except that you connect to some like minded people and that you might wake a few more people up.
- Creating alternative money (like cryptocurrencies, precious metals or local coins) and just start using them won't work. The people that can print money out of thin air control the financial markets, they can buy their way into any of the existing new coins and destroy it with bull and bust cycles, or just ban it.



Corona Protest Berlin August 1st 2020

What also doesn't work – and this is the biggest mistake that all of the woken up people make in the beginning – is that you cannot force other people to wake up. Because most people have literally invested everything they have and all the time they lived into this corrupted system, accepting that it is fake and a massive deception, robs them from all their dreams, from all their achievements, from their pride and joy, from their essence to exist. So even if they know damn well they have been deceived, most of the people will keep denying reality as long as humanly possible. Only when they see - lets say – 20% of their family and friends flip to reality, they will start to find the courage to also share their doubts. And there will be a percentage, that will never turn around. There will be a vast amount of people that – even when 99% has turned to reality – would literally rather die then admit that they were also wrong. Pride is a very powerful emotion.

7.3 The Mistakes They Made

The problem with the mess we are in, is that we have allowed this to fester for hundreds of years and – because of that – we need to deal with the massive indoctrination of the people first. And – because we can't force people to wake up – this will be a very time consuming process. There are however several positives. The most important one is that there were many attempts to try to change things and that we could already see why they were failing. With that knowledge we can create - not only a system that solves the shortcomings of all the other attempts - but also find a strategy that might work, as it is based on avoiding the mistakes others already made.

Another positive is that the people that can print money out of thin air, are in a hurry to realize their agenda, because they already see that too many people see through all the nonsense they try to sell us. In their hurry they also made two massive mistakes:

The first big mistake was the creation of the internet. The people in charge of our evil society, were very enthusiastic to have found a way – with the smartphone – to spy on everyone and to listen to what is going on in every bedroom. They thought that spying on people would be more important than giving the people the opportunity to share information. They underestimated the amount of people that were able to connect the dots. They were not able – and are still not able – to prevent this.



1CoinH Logo

The second even bigger mistake they made is that they underestimated the power of God. They thought that with deceptive money, they could lure enough people into a hedonistic egocentric lifestyle. They were wrong because God lives in most of us. From birth most of us are predominantly good. When the veil is lifted, fear will ultimately be replaced by the impulse to do good. It won't turn everyone into heroes, but the impulse will be enough to understand that we can simply walk away from our temptations, our own indoctrination, our scattered dreams and from our distorted past. We simply walk away, start following our intuition to do good and never look back. We will follow the path that God will reveal. The path that was already announced in the scriptures.

7.4 The Strategy That Has Not Been Tried Before

Many people woke up at the end of 2020, and many of them tried many things to change society as listed in the previous paragraph. We now know why all these attempts are not working and why it is so difficult to wake people up.

What is however happening (and working) is that the truth is slowly coming out. More people than ever before, start to understand the deception off the central banks that are privately owned and print money out of thin air to benefit only their owners at the expense of everyone else.

Now these facts are becoming common knowledge, and we now know that other approaches are not working, we created a strategy that is not tried before: Instead of trying to change the system now, we will go directly to local communities and teach them how they can shield themselves against the existing banking system and against the new system (with digital ID's and Central Bank Digital Currencies) that the bankers are preparing for us.

While we teach the local communities how they can protect themselves, we will also explain how our current banking system is deceptive, what real ethical money is and how our current "economy" could transfer to a "Abundomy". We will teach people how their communities finally could start benefiting and become equals to any other community around the world.

While we are teaching about shielding local communities from wealth extraction from banks, we will also introduce agricultural programs that are funded by charities that create money inflow to the local communities. These programs may take a decade or so, but will slowly but surely grow awareness about how the world works. Next to that awareness – the people that we meet in person – will also learn about the actual solution of "Ethical money" and will also learn what a "Debt Jubilee" means and how that will help to take back power over our communities and resources.

In this gradual approach many additional systems, like barter, community building projects, community markets and new forms of local leadership will be set up and tested, so when the day of transformation arrives, everyone is prepared.

The beauty of this strategy is that – when communities are self reliant and financially resistant – the sheer numbers will make it impossible for the communists to succeed. Obviously transparency of this "success-story" and sharing it as much as possible around the world, will be crucial to get to the "critical mass" (a quite big percentage of people – like more than 20%) so that the transformation date becomes unavoidable.

As far as I know, no other initiative has proposed this type of transition. I think that this is the only strategy towards "ethical money", simply because it is not possible to mix their deceptive money with our fair money. Because of that reason – a swift transition – that lasts only some hours or some days, seems to be the only way to go. The prospect of a full debt jubilee combined with a full transition to a fair system where everyone benefits, makes that this strategy should be followed.

It also means that other initiatives, like protests, alternative coins and alternative news media can still keep trying, while we grow our community. There is nothing that stops us from starting and just keep growing and improving our approach.



8 Wealth Extraction Shielding

8.1 Projects

Our innovations are inspired by many ancient knowledge systems of indigenous cultures. Teaching these strategies comes natural as many of our solutions were already in use ages ago.

To create the “Abundomy”, we start with focusing on five programs that local communities can use to financially protect themselves against wealth extraction. This is necessary as the now available currency systems are designed to only benefit the real owners of these systems. These are the programs:

8.1.1 Buy Local, Sell Global

Try to avoid buying anything from multinational companies. Because international companies are owned by the same people that create money, they hold an advantage over everybody else. The only way to break their advantage is to only buy from local stores, even when the life of local shops is made difficult by global regulations and laws and their prices seem higher, always support your local shops, as the same people will one day also support you.




8.1.2 Borrow From Friends, Not From Banks

Try to eradicate any debt to financial institutes, as debt is the mechanism that ultimately extracts all wealth from your community. The people that own the backs only need to print money to achieve this, while you struggle every day to make a decent living and pay back the loan. The only purpose of a bank-loan that is granted to you, is to take away part of your wealth. Instead of allowing people that don't work, to extract your wealth, grant friends from your community this privilege. Before you however take a loan, first think about possible other ways. Partnering with a friend in a business for example, might be better than taking a loan from him.



8.1.3 Barter Before Money

The best way to avoid financial wealth extraction by banks, is by not using money at all. If someone helps you, for example to fix the plumbing in your house, provide him a meal, a chicken you own or some of the crops from your land. You can write an IOU message that you will return the favor one day. There are also online barter systems that help you find people to barter with.



8.1.4 Eradicate Mortgages

The most devastating and wealth extracting loans are mortgages. If people from your community have taken mortgages, come together and create plans to get your entire community rid of these financial strangle-hold situations. The same applies for other large bank loans, like a car or motorcycle lease. If anyone in your community wants to build a house, let the community help this person with manual labor and materials. That person will return the favor easier when he doesn't need to use all his time to pay back a mortgage.



8.1.5 Learn How To Make Money Neutral Again

To transition to clean, ethical money, we first need to educate our communities what is wrong with our current money and what would be a fair system to replace it with. The measures above are temporary. Money could be a proper instrument if it would have been designed correctly. This is however a massive reform that only can succeed when people understand and can recognize how money can be created, distributed and monitored in a fair way.



8.2 Conclusion About Wealth Extraction Shielding

With these five programs, local communities can immediately start working on becoming financially stronger, independent and informed. Many religious scriptures already warn us for financial deceptions and told us we live in a world of abundance. These projects will help educating local communities on how to convert these warnings into practical steps to protect local communities and make the world a better and fairer place for everyone.

9 Restoring Democracy

I made a separate chapter about restoring democracy, because most of it will only be realized after the formal transition to the "Abundomy". To implement these changes now is simply not possible because all governments are controlled by the people that print money out of thin air. And they will not like the changes that are proposed here.

9.1 Redefining Universal Declaration Of Human Rights

An important step – to restore proper democracy – is to refresh the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR). The UDHR can largely be copied, but needs to be edited slightly, because the position of "Statism" is obviously too prevalent. We also need to make clear what voluntarism is, what "the right to participate in money creation" means and how we can secure those. We plan to translate this book in 68 languages so everyone can read what we propose. We also will create a proper version management system for it, so it is easy to see if there have been changes during time. Large parts of the UDHR should however not be changed. We also need to create a proper voting system for future changes in the UDHR.



Self-Sovereign Identity

A voting system and the creation of an Ethical Money system, implies that there must be a Digital ID-system. In §8.2 I will explain how a proper Self-Sovereign Identity System works. Important is that your ID is 100% Self-Sovereign. Only then, every person can register themselves, without anybody or any institution being able to block this. We can use that ID in our voting system also, to decide when to transition to the "Abundomy". And we also need to be able to vote for example on possible amendments of certain Human Rights.

To be clear: All identities will be public, just like the cast votes will be public. Identity fraud is not to be expected, as it will cause you issues – because you need your identity also to be able to do financial transactions with others. Because fraud is easy to detect, other people probably might decide not to transact with people that committed fraud.

Crucial for the Abundomy is Ethical Money. To be able to create Ethical Money it is necessary for people to use an identity and make that public, so it can be properly checked. Without Digital Identities it is simply not possible to have a fair money creation system.

Now identities should ultimately be fully Self-Sovereign which means you (and you alone) can decide to create it, change it and even delete it. Your ID will be visible to all the people you transact with. There is no way around that.

With that in mind, it makes (a lot of) sense to use the same Digital Identities for voting. Local communities can also use these digital ID's for all kind of other - regional – votes. Every community is free to organize voting events themselves in every way they like, as long as the UDHR is not breached.

More Global Organizations

It is possible that a local community goes berserk, commits atrocities against its own people, or even wants to go to war. In those cases the global community should be able to intervene, which means that the global community will need to install a special intervention / peace keeping force. Crucial again is that any global organization can only be allowed when it is completely transparent and monitored 24/7. Secret agents will be a thing of the past. Intervention procedures need to be triggered by voting, so it is important to organize this very well.

It is very likely that this global intervention / peace keeping force and the Global Advisory Board (§4.4.2) are the only global organization that needs to be permanently operational. The global intervention / peace keeping force will also likely be called to assemble when needed from the many different local communities around the world. There don't seem to be any other issues that need a special permanent global organization.

In the beginning of the Abundomy era, there need to be several global funds, where a lot of valuable assets (like gold, precious stones and other natural resources and valuables) will be collected, stored and managed. These global funds need to make the distribution of these assets fair. Again: also those funds should be perfectly transparent and will have – as a clear goal – to be dismantled as soon as possible.

Edit the UDHR

When we take a look at the UDHR, it is clear that – to create a proper basis for the Abundomy - some initial changes must be entered straight away. Obviously, any remarks are welcome and can be submitted using the www.abundomy.com link.

Article 1

Original

- 1 All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights. They are endowed with reason and conscience and should act towards one another in a spirit of brotherhood.

Edits

- I. The term “born” can be removed as it is confusing. Also before and after a human is born it is free and equal in dignity and rights;
- II. The term “human being” can be replaced by the word “human”, as the intention is it to have exactly the same meaning as “human being”. Similarly the term “human beings” will be replaced by the term “humans”;
- III. The word “should” can be replaced by “are expected to” as that gives more importance than the word “should”. Using “are expected” shows better that each human is required by society to consider the entire society as it’s own brotherhood.

Revised

- 1 All humans are free and equal in dignity and rights. They are endowed with reason and conscience and are expected to act towards one another in a spirit of brotherhood.

Article 2

Original

- 2 Everyone is entitled to all the rights and freedoms set forth in this Declaration, without distinction of any kind, such as race, color, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status. Furthermore, no distinction shall be made on the basis of the political, jurisdictional or international status of the country or territory to which a person belongs, whether it be independent, trust, non-self-governing or under any other limitation of sovereignty.

Edits

- I. Article 2 can be removed all together as article 1 already implies that “All humans are equal in dignity and rights. There is no reason to repeat that everyone is entitled to these rights as the word “entitled” doesn’t provide more rights than the word “is” that is used in Article 1. Every added specification or clarification only unnecessarily implies that those mentioned distinction could be made which doesn’t help to make this right clearer.

Revised

REMOVED

Article 3

Original

- 3 Everyone has the right to life, liberty and security of person.

Edits

- I. Article 3 will be renumbered to Article 2;
- II. The word “Everyone” is confusing as we are talking about “All human beings”. So “Everyone” will be replaced by “All humans”;

- III. The term “of person” implies a difference between “a Human” and “a Person” where it should be exactly the same. This means the term “person” in this article and all other articles will be replaced by “human”;
- IV. The part of the sentence that now says “Every human has the right of security of human.” makes no sense. The term “security of human” will be replaced by simply the word “security”.

Revised (Article 3 becomes Article 2)

- 2 All humans have the right to life, liberty and security.

Article 4

Original

- 4 No one shall be held in slavery or servitude; slavery and the slave trade shall be prohibited in all their forms.

Edits

- I. Article 4 will be renumbered to Article 3;
- II. “No one” will be replaced by “No human”;
- III. The interesting reality of this article is that at the time of this edit, over 95% of the world population is held in slavery and/or servitude. A combination of 2 monopolies of the owners of the financial system (the sole privilege to create money out of thin air and the sole privilege to monitor everyone’s transactions) make this possible. A clever smokescreen to hide their wealth combined with an unprecedented, extreme evil, violent, criminal and genocidal control system (where almost all governments, NGO’s and United Nations related organizations play a crucial role) enable these owners to conceal this slavery system for the general public. The fact that Art. 4 is part of the UDHR is noting less than a cruel, cynical joke. The fact that the world allows a tiny group of people the monopoly on money creation, is already a unequivocal violation of Art. 1 of the UDHR, but combined with Art. 4 of the UDHR it is clear that the current financial system is equal to a slavery system as mentioned in Article 4. This system is the only reason for the wealth- and income inequality in the entire world and needs to be banned as clearly as any other slave and servitude system. Because the owners of our current financial-slave system do anything to obfuscate their type of slave system, we are forced to clarify Art. 4 by adding. “Slavery includes operating any financial system were not every human participates equally in the money creation and not every human has equal insight in all financial transaction of every other human.”.

Revised (Article 4 becomes Article 3)

- 3 No human shall be held in slavery or servitude; slavery and the slave trade shall be prohibited in all their forms. Slavery includes operating any financial system were not every human participates equally in the money creation and not every human has equal insight in all financial transaction of every other human.

Article 5

Original

- 5 No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.

Edits

- I. Article 5 will be renumbered to Article 4;
- II. "No one" will be replaced by "No human".

Revised (Article 5 becomes Article 4)

- 4 No human shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.

Article 6

Original

- 6 Everyone has the right to recognition everywhere as a person before the law.

Edits

- I. This article only could make sense if there is an actual difference between whatever is exactly meant with "Everyone" and "a Person". If "Everyone" and "a Person" is the same and means "a human", then you read: "Every human has the right to recognition everywhere as a human before the law." And since Art. 1 states that "All humans are free and equal in dignity and rights." Art. 6 is meaningless and can be deleted.

Revised

REMOVED

Article 7

Original

- 7 All are equal before the law and are entitled without any discrimination to equal protection of the law. All are entitled to equal protection against any discrimination in violation of this Declaration and against any incitement to such discrimination.

Edits

- I. Art. 1 already states that "All humans are free and equal in dignity and rights.". This obviously also applies to the law. The law exists of this UDHR document plus regional law that is created by individual societies and all related jurisprudence derived from it. This means that the law will be different from region to region. This however doesn't change the fact that Art. 7 is redundant and will be deleted.

Revised

REMOVED

Article 8

Original

- 8 Everyone has the right to an effective remedy by the competent national tribunals for acts violating the fundamental rights granted him by the constitution or by law.

Edits

- I. Article 8 will be renumbered to Article 5;
- II. "Everyone" will be replaced by "Every human".
- III. "the competent national" will be replaced by "officially installed regional". Since transparency can be secured better by small communities, tribunals should be

installed by the regions instead of any super-regional institute (that all should be abolished anyway).

- IV. “for acts” will be replaced by “to defend himself against acts that are”. This just to clarify the Article;
- V. “the constitution or by law” will be replaced by “this UDHR document and the regional laws”, because there is no reference to any constitution. Any National law should be replaced by regional law because of transparency and community connection reasons.

Revised (Article 8 becomes Article 5)

- 5 Every human has the right to an effective remedy by the officially installed regional tribunals to defend himself against acts that are violating the fundamental rights granted him by this UDHR document and the regional laws.

Article 9

Original

- 9 No one shall be subjected to arbitrary arrest, detention or exile.

Edits

- I. Article 9 will be renumbered to Article 6;
- II. “No one” will be replaced by “No human”.
- III. To be able to assess is an arrest, detention or exile is justified, the following needs to be added to this Article: “Every human will immediately be informed about the exact reason(s) for the arrest, detention or exile, and should immediately be allowed to inform several different people about the situation he is in.”

Revised (Article 9 becomes Article 6)

- 6 No human shall be subjected to arbitrary arrest, detention or exile. Every human will immediately be informed about the exact reason(s) for any arrest, detention or exile, and should immediately be allowed to inform several different people about the situation he is in.

Article 10

Original

- 10 Everyone is entitled in full equality to a fair and public hearing by an independent and impartial tribunal, in the determination of his rights and obligations and of any criminal charge against him.

Edits

- I. Article 10 will be renumbered to Article 7;
- II. “Everyone” will be replaced by “Every human”.
- III. “of his rights” is removed. The rights are described in the UDHR. There are no more or less rights than described there. Regions can only define additional obligations. Neither rights or obligations need to be determined in a public hearing of an independent and impartial tribunal. They all must already be determined before any

tribunal. The only thing that needs to be determined is if the criminal charge against him can be justified. “in the determination of his rights and obligations and of any criminal charge against him.” will be replaced by “in the determination if a criminal charge against him is justified.”

Revised (Article 10 becomes Article 7)

- 7 Every human is entitled in full equality to a fair and public hearing by an independent and impartial tribunal, in the determination if a criminal charge against him is justified.

Article 11

Original

- 11.1 Everyone charged with a penal offense has the right to be presumed innocent until proven guilty according to law in a public trial at which he has had all the guarantees necessary for his defense.
- 11.2 No one shall be held guilty of any penal offense on account of any act or omission which did not constitute a penal offense, under national or international law, at the time when it was committed. Nor shall a heavier penalty be imposed than the one that was applicable at the time the penal offense was committed.

Edits

- I. Article 11 will be renumbered to Article 8;
- II. “Everyone” will be replaced by “Every human”.
- III. “No one” will be replaced by “No human”.
- IV. “he has had all the guarantees” is replaced by “he has all the means”. “guarantees” is too vague.
- V. “under national or international lay” will be replaced by “as described in this UDHR document and in regional law” as the “applicable law” already is described

Revised (Article 11 becomes Article 8)

- 8.1 Every human charged with a penal offense has the right to be presumed innocent until proved guilty according to law in a public trial at which he has all the means necessary for his defense.
- 8.2 No human shall be held guilty of any penal offense on account of any act or omission which did not constitute a penal offense, as described in this UDHR document and in regional law, at the time when it was committed. Nor shall a heavier penalty be imposed than the one that was applicable at the time the penal offense was committed.

Article 12

Original

- 12 No one shall be subjected to arbitrary interference with his privacy, family, home or correspondence, nor to attacks upon his honor and reputation. Everyone has the right to the protection of the law against such interference or attacks.

Edits

- I. Article 12 will be renumbered to Article 9;

- II. "No one" will be replaced by "No human".
- III. "Everyone" will be replaced by "Every human".
- IV. "the law" is replaced by "the regional or global law enforcement".

Revised (Article 12 becomes Article 9)

- 9 No human shall be subjected to arbitrary interference with his privacy, family, home or correspondence, nor to attacks upon his honor and reputation. Every human has the right to the protection of the the regional or global law enforcement against such interference or attacks.

Article 13

Original

- 13.1 Everyone has the right to freedom of movement and residence within the borders of each state.
- 13.2 Everyone has the right to leave any country, including his own, and to return to his country.

Edits

- I. Article 13 will be renumbered to Article 10;
- II. "Everyone" will be replaced by "Every human".
- III. 13.1: "within the borders of each state" will be replaced by "anywhere in this world as long as this is according to the regional urban and rural planning regulations.". Since economic inequality is removed by removing the current financial slave system and systems of scarcity, there are no obvious financial reasons to move to places of other cultures. It is very likely that people will return to there origins since the financial incentive has disappeared.
- IV. 13.2: Can be deleted as there is no point to keep borders closed for humans. Because of this, 10.1 becomes just 10.

Revised (Article 13 becomes Article 10)

- 10 Every human has the right to freedom of movement and residence anywhere in this world as long as this is according to the regional urban and rural planning regulations.

Article 14

Original

- 14.1 Everyone has the right to seek and to enjoy in other countries asylum from persecution.
- 14.2 This right may not be invoked in the case of prosecutions genuinely arising from non-political crimes or from acts contrary to the purposes and principles of the United Nations.

Edits

- I. As people are free to move and reside all around the world and the United Nations and all its subsidiaries will be dismantled, Article 14 can be removed.

Revised: Article —

REMOVED

Article 15

Original

15.1 Everyone has the right to a nationality.

15.2 No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his nationality nor denied the right to change his nationality.

Edits

- I. As people are free to move and reside all around the world and country names only bear a symbolic, historic and cultural meaning, no rights will be derived from country names. Article 15 can be removed.

Revised: Article —

REMOVED

Article 16

Original

16.1 Men and women of full age, without any limitation due to race, nationality or religion, have the right to marry and to found a family. They are entitled to equal rights as to marriage, during marriage and at its dissolution.

16.2 Marriage shall be entered into only with the free and full consent of the intending spouses.

16.3 The family is the natural and fundamental group unit of society and is entitled to protection by society and the State.

Edits

- I. Article 16 will be renumbered to Article 11;
- II. “without any limitation due to race, nationality or religion” can be removed as that is already arranged in Art. 1.;
- III. “of full age” should be specified with a minimum age, like 16 years, but that regions could change that in a bracket of 16 to 21 years. The description will be: “of a minimum age that is regionally determined between 16 to 21 years“.;
- IV. “They are entitled to equal rights as to marriage, during marriage and at its dissolution.” is also rewritten because equal rights are already arranged in Art. 1. The only thing that needs to be arranged is that the regional law can not arrange and enforce different rights between husband and wife. The description will be “regional law can not infringe on the equal rights of husband and wife regarding the decision to get married, their rights during marriage and the right to dissolve the marriage.“;
- V. “by society and the State” is changed in “by the region“.

Revised (Article 16 becomes Article 11)

11.1 Men and women of a minimum age that is regionally determined between 16 to 21 years, have the right to marry and to found a family. Regional law can not infringe on the equal rights of husband and wife regarding the decision to get married, their rights during marriage and the right to dissolve the marriage.

11.2 Marriage shall be entered into only with the free and full consent of the intending spouses.

11.3 The family is the natural and fundamental group unit of society and is entitled to protection by the region.

Article 17

Original

17.1 Everyone has the right to own property alone as well as in association with others.

17.2 No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his property.

Edits

- I. Article 17 will be renumbered to Article 12;
- II. "Everyone" will be replaced by "Every human";
- III. "No one" will be replaced by "No human".
- IV. Added to prevent any land or natural resources monopolies: "No human can own natural resources. This includes the land and everything that is in it by nature. It also includes the rivers, lakes and oceans, the atmosphere, space and any celestial bodies. Only objects or materials that are created or extracted by humans can be owned by humans. Domesticated, bred or caught animals and self grown vegetation can also be owned by humans. Regional legislation will arrange the specifics of how humans can use natural resources."

Revised (Article 17 becomes Article 12)

12.1 Every human has the right to own property alone as well as in association with others.

12.2 No human shall be arbitrarily deprived of his property.

12.3 No human can own natural resources. This includes the land and everything that is in it by nature. It also includes the rivers, lakes and oceans, the atmosphere, space and any celestial bodies. Only objects or materials that are created or extracted by humans can be owned by humans. Domesticated, bred or caught animals and self grown vegetation can also be owned by humans. Regional legislation will arrange the specifics of how humans can use natural resources.

Article 18

Original

18 Everyone has the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion; this right includes freedom to change his religion or belief, and freedom, either alone or in community with others and in public or private, to manifest his religion or belief in teaching, practice, worship and observance.

Edits

- I. Article 18 will be renumbered to Article 13;
- II. "Everyone" will be replaced by "Every human".

Revised (Article 18 becomes Article 13)

- 13 Every human has the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion; this right includes freedom to change his religion or belief, and freedom, either alone or in community with others and in public or private, to manifest his religion or belief in teaching, practice, worship and observance.

Article 19

Original

- 19 Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers.

Edits

- I. Article 19 will be renumbered to Article 14;
- II. "Everyone" will be replaced by "Every human".

Revised (Article 19 becomes Article 14)

- 14 Every human has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers.

Article 20

Original

- 20.1 Everyone has the right to freedom of peaceful assembly and association.
- 20.2 No one may be compelled to belong to an association.

Edits

- I. Article 20 will be renumbered to Article 15;
- II. "Everyone" will be replaced by "Every human";
- III. "No one" will be replaced by "No human";
- IV. "Peaceful" is too vague. "Non violent and non destructive" is better, so that will be changed.

Revised (Article 20 becomes Article 15)

- 15.1 Every human has the right to freedom of non violent and non destructive assembly and association.
- 15.2 No human may be compelled to belong to an association.

Article 21

Original

- 21.1 Everyone has the right to take part in the government of his country, directly or through freely chosen representatives.
- 21.2 Everyone has the right of equal access to public service in his country.

- 21.3 The will of the people shall be the basis of the authority of government; this will shall be expressed in periodic and genuine elections which shall be by universal and equal suffrage and shall be held by secret vote or by equivalent free voting procedures.

Edits

- I. Article 21 will be renumbered to Article 16;
- II. "Everyone" will be replaced by "Every human";
- III. 21.1: "take part in the government of his country" will be changed in "take part in the administration of any region";
- IV. 21.2: "in his country" will be changed in "in the region where he resides";
- V. "shall be the basis of the authority of government" will be changed in "is the only basis of the authority of the administration of the region";
- VI. "which shall be by universal and equal suffrage and shall be held by secret vote or by equivalent free voting procedures" will be changed in "which shall be held by fully transparent and free voting procedures".

Revised (Article 21 becomes Article 16)

- 16.1 Every human has the right to take part in the administration of any region, directly or through freely chosen representatives.
- 16.2 Every human has the right of equal access to public service in the region where he resides.
- 16.3 The will of the people is the only basis of the authority of the administration of the region; this will shall be expressed in periodic and genuine elections which shall be held by fully transparent and free voting procedures.

Article 22

Original

- 22 Everyone, as a member of society, has the right to social security and is entitled to realization, through national effort and international co-operation and in accordance with the organization and resources of each State, of the economic, social and cultural rights indispensable for his dignity and the free development of his personality.

Edits

- I. Article 22 will be renumbered to Article 17;
- II. "Everyone" will be replaced by "Every human";
- III. "as a member of society," is removed as it only can add confusion;
- IV. "through national effort and international co-operation and in accordance with the organization and resources of each State, of the economic, social and cultural rights indispensable for his dignity and the free development of his personality" is changed by "through regional effort, indispensable for his dignity and the free development of his personality."

Revised (Article 22 becomes Article 17)

- 17 Every human has the right to social security and is entitled to realization, through regional effort, indispensable for his dignity and the free development of his personality.

Article 23

Original

- 23.1 Everyone has the right to work, to free choice of employment, to just and favorable conditions of work and to protection against unemployment.
- 23.2 Everyone, without any discrimination, has the right to equal pay for equal work.
- 23.3 Everyone who works has the right to just and favorable remuneration ensuring for himself and his family an existence worthy of human dignity, and supplemented, if necessary, by other means of social protection.
- 23.4 Everyone has the right to form and to join trade unions for the protection of his interests.

Edits

- I. Article 23 will be renumbered to Article 18;
- II. "Everyone" will be replaced by "Every human";
- III. 18.2 "Everyone, without any discrimination, has the right to equal pay for equal work." will be removed as it interferes with the voluntarism principles of free and voluntary exchanges;
- IV. 18.3 "Everyone who works has the right to just and favorable remuneration ensuring for himself and his family an existence worthy of human dignity, and supplemented, if necessary, by other means of social protection." will be removed as it interferes with the voluntarism principles of free and voluntary exchanges;

Revised (Article 23 becomes Article 18)

- 18.1 Every human has the right to work, to free choice of employment, to just and favorable conditions of work and to protection against unemployment.
- 18.2 Every human has the right to form and to join trade unions for the protection of his interests.

Article 24

Original

- 24 Everyone has the right to rest and leisure, including reasonable limitation of working hours and periodic holidays with pay.

Edits

- I. As social security is baked in the Ethical Money system, article 24 is not relevant and will be removed.

*Revised: Article —
REMOVED*

Article 25

Original

- 25.1 Everyone has the right to a standard of living adequate for the health and well-being of himself and of his family, including food, clothing, housing and medical care and necessary social services, and the right to security in the event of unemployment, sickness, disability, widowhood, old age or other lack of livelihood in circumstances beyond his control.
- 25.2 Motherhood and childhood are entitled to special care and assistance. All children, whether born in or out of wedlock, shall enjoy the same social protection.

Edits

- I. Article 25 will be renumbered to Article 19;
- II. "Everyone" will be replaced by "Every human";
- III. "social protection" will be extended with "of the administration of the region"

Revised (Article 25 becomes Article 19)

- 19.1 Every human has the right to a standard of living adequate for the health and well-being of himself and of his family, including food, clothing, housing and medical care and necessary social services, and the right to security in the event of unemployment, sickness, disability, widowhood, old age or other lack of livelihood in circumstances beyond his control.
- 19.2 Motherhood and childhood are entitled to special care and assistance. All children, whether born in or out of wedlock, shall enjoy the same social protection, of the administration of the region.

Article 26

Original

- 26.1 Everyone has the right to education. Education shall be free, at least in the elementary and fundamental stages. Elementary education shall be compulsory. Technical and professional education shall be made generally available and higher education shall be equally accessible to all on the basis of merit.
- 26.2 Education shall be directed to the full development of the human personality and to the strengthening of respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms. It shall promote understanding, tolerance and friendship among all nations, racial or religious groups, and shall further the activities of the United Nations for the maintenance of peace.
- 26.3 Parents have a prior right to choose the kind of education that shall be given to their children.

Edits

- I. Article 26 will be renumbered to Article 20;
- II. "Everyone" will be replaced by "Every human";
- III. "Elementary education shall be compulsory." is superfluous as it is already a Human Right. We replace it by: "The parents or guardians are obligated to ensure kids receive elementary education."

- IV. 26.2 Can be removed as Education is not a system for indoctrination, it is solely intended to educate. It is the job of the parents to develop the human personality of their kids.
- V. “a prior right” will be changed in “the exclusive right”.

Revised (Article 26 becomes Article 20)

- 20.1 Every human has the right to education. Education shall be free, at least in the elementary and fundamental stages. The parents or guardians are obligated to ensure their kids receive elementary education. Technical and professional education shall be made generally available and higher education shall be equally accessible to all on the basis of merit.
- 20.2 Parents have the exclusive right to choose the kind of education that shall be given to their children.

Article 27

Original

- 27.1 Everyone has the right freely to participate in the cultural life of the community, to enjoy the arts and to share in scientific advancement and its benefits.
- 27.2 Everyone has the right to the protection of the moral and material interests resulting from any scientific, literary or artistic production of which he is the author.

Edits

- I. Article 27 will be renumbered to Article 21;
- II. “Everyone” will be replaced by “Every human”;
- III. Patents and copyrights are the basis of many things that are wrong in society. Like hoarding of money and land, the hoarding of intellectual properties must be banned to ensure a free and transparent flow of information, which prevents a lot of criminal and devastating plots. This means that the text “and material” will be removed from 27.2. This way an author can still claim the moral ownership, and can collect voluntary payments, but he can’t protect his production from copying and exposure.

Revised (Article 27 becomes Article 21)

- 21.1 Every human has the right freely to participate in the cultural life of the community, to enjoy the arts and to share in scientific advancement and its benefits.
- 21.2 Every human has the right to the protection of the moral interests resulting from any scientific, literary or artistic production of which he is the author.

Article 28

Original

- 28.1 Everyone is entitled to a social and international order in which the rights and freedoms set forth in this Declaration can be fully realized.

Edits

- I. There is no need for any other social and international order than the regional administrations and a lean global intervention / peace keeping organization. It is

already clear that every human has the rights as described above, so there is no need for Article 28. It will be removed.

Revised: Article —
REMOVED

Article 29

Original

- 29.1 Everyone has duties to the community in which alone the free and full development of his personality is possible.
- 29.2 In the exercise of his rights and freedoms, everyone shall be subject only to such limitations as are determined by law solely for the purpose of securing due recognition and respect for the rights and freedoms of others and of meeting the just requirements of morality, public order and the general welfare in a democratic society.
- 29.3 These rights and freedoms may in no case be exercised contrary to the purposes and principles of the United Nations.

Edits

- I. There is no need for Article 29 as it only tries to legitimate the United Nations and it's actions. It will be removed.

Revised
REMOVED

Article 30

Original

- 30.1 Nothing in this Declaration may be interpreted as implying for any State, group or person any right to engage in any activity or to perform any act aimed at the destruction of any of the rights and freedoms set forth herein.

Edits

- I. Article 30 will be renumbered to Article 22;
- II. "State" will be replaced by "region";

Revised (Article 30 becomes Article 22)

- 22 Nothing in this Declaration may be interpreted as implying for any region, group or person any right to engage in any activity or to perform any act aimed at the destruction of any of the rights and freedoms set forth herein.

9.1.1 Conclusion About The UDHR

The UDHR is a great template to base an improved version of the UDHR on, a new UDHR that will be the foundation of every new legal system that will be used in all regions in the world of abundance. Obviously many rights were initially written with large governments and the United Nations in mind. That is the reason the new version is much shorter, with less vague text. Much of the vague text in the original, was used to prop up and protect the United Nations and governments and institutes that protect the owners of the central banks. The mutations of the UDHR in this document, show how important it is to leave the deception behind, and only use the remaining parts that were there to convince the people to accept the United Nations version.


9.2 Self-Sovereign Identity

Let's see [how Wikipedia defines a Self-Sovereign Identity](#) first:

Self-sovereign identity (SSI) is an approach to digital identity that gives individuals control over the information they use to prove who they are to websites, services, and applications across the web. Without SSI, individuals with persistent accounts (identities) across the internet must rely on a number of large identity providers, such as Facebook (Facebook Connect) and Google (Google Sign-In), that have control of the information associated with their identity. If a user chooses not to use a large identity provider, then they have to create new accounts with each service provider, which fragments their web experiences. Self-sovereign identity offers a way to avoid these two undesirable alternatives. In a self-sovereign identity system, the user accesses services in a streamlined and secure manner, while maintaining control over the information associated with their identity.

Well, this is quite a long definition with a lot of explaining going on. Why is this?

Now let's look at how a company like [Dock.io](#) who builds [Verifiable Credentials](#) and [Self-Sovereign Identity](#) technology, applies the definition of Wikipedia. Dock.io connects Verifiable Credentials to a SSI in a way that is shown in this image:



Verifiable Credentials, blockchain, and decentralized identifiers are 3 pillars of Self-Sovereign Identity. In this model “Verifiable Credentials” can be issued by Passport Services or a University, enabling an individual to use these credentials, making the individual so called “Self-Sovereign”.

The Problem With This Definition

Now what if the Passport Services or University revoke or discontinue the “Verifiable Credentials”? If this happens, the individual needs to find new ways to prove his identity. And what happens if the individual wants to leave his identity behind and wants to create a complete identity? At that moment it becomes clear that the individual has no real control over his identity. The identity of a person is controlled by the government and banks that issue the verifiable credentials. Without a passport or identity card it is impossible to get financial services which makes it about impossible to survive.

The goal of a Self-Sovereign Identity is NOT (as Wiki wants you to believe) to “have control of the information associated with your identity”. A Self-Sovereign Identity means that you – and

nobody else – is in control of all aspects of your identity. When you are Self-Sovereign it means that there are no “trusted” institutes that take part in verification system when someone asks you to “prove” your Self-Sovereign Identity.

A Proper Definition

The definition used on Wiki is simply wrong. It is not about the data that is connected to your verifiable Identity. It is about the identity itself AND all information that is attached to it. I would define a Self-Sovereign Identity like this:

A Self-Sovereign Identity is an Identity that I alone create for myself and that I can completely change all by myself, at any given time and without any permission from anybody. A real Self-Sovereign Identity is an identity where I just tell who I am, and where it is up to the others to see if they trust me to be who I say I am. And how do they know me? Simply because they saw me, they met me or know others that met me and told them about me.

The SSI Definition Is Important

We all know the movie clips where police officers in fascist Germany or communist China ask civilians for papers on every corner of the street. The excuse is always to keep these civilians safe from boogeymen, where the real reason is that they want to show who is the boss here, and to know everyone's whereabouts, so it is easier to protect the government from their own civilians.

The police should however work for the people that are in the street, by leaving them alone or helping them. Only when people are clearly misbehaving, the police should act, and even then, identity shouldn't matter.

The same applies for online identities. We lived for 30 years very fine with the internet. If people misbehaved, there were plenty opportunities to counter it. With freedom comes responsibilities. The saying is “when you give up freedom for security, you will lose both.” or as Benjamin Franklin put it: “Those who would give up essential liberty to purchase a little temporary safety, deserve neither liberty nor safety.”.

“Being sovereign” means that you need to take back full control over your identity first. Don't allow anyone to be in charge of the verification of your identity. As a sovereign human, you are the only one to decide what your identity is and what you do for others to trust you. That is where freedom starts and we shouldn't take that lightly.

Financial Freedom

We also need to take back our financial freedom, because financial freedom is under an enormous attack by bankers and governments at the moment. A financial system is nothing more than a bookkeeping system that keeps track and lubricates the barter anyone does with their goods and services. The bookkeeping is however attached to identities of humans. Currently the identities the banks and governments used are not at all self sovereign, which allows the banks and governments, to attack our freedom.

That is why we need to check if it is possible to have a new financial system that uses Self-Sovereign Identities. We need to check how a financial system works when it is entirely based on SSI's.

If you imagine such a system it will look like this. In a system where everyone participates equally in the money creation, somebody decides to change his identity. This human could spend all his coins, start a new identity and with it receive an new starting amount of coins.

That situation doesn't look fair at a first glance. You however might be wrong. When you have a system where everyone can check anyone's history, one can also see that a human has created a very recent identity. When you see that, it means you need time to build trust for that person. It will take time before other people will know the person better and start accepting his coins.

Now when this system also applies demurrage (automatic devaluation), changing your identity will become even less attractive. The demurrage namely is actively bringing the value of the coins down. This means that the coins of a human with a new identity reduce in value over the time he needs to build new trust.

Starting a new identity can be very easy, but building trust in a financial system where your short history will be checked, might take quite a bit more time.

Conclusion About Self-Sovereign Identities

A proper Self-Sovereign Identity will teach people to rely on their human instincts rather than to rely on government or "Big-Tech" systems. In combination with a financial system, a proper SSI will provide humans real freedom because no large institute can control the financial business. In a world – that is already heavy 'financialized' – financial freedom is almost as important as general freedom. A proper SSI will help humans to learn how to trust each other, which should be the core of any financial system. This is why we think that to chose a proper SSI is very important, simply because real trust among humans is essential to create a free and safe society.

9.3 Natural Law

All around the world the veil is lifted from the blatant government corruption, colluding with banks and big business to enrich and protect a tiny group at the expense of the regular guy in the street. There was no time in history, when this criminal behavior was exposed with such speed and clarity. This genie will not go back in the bottle. The big question is however: What do we do next?



9.3.1 Audi Alteram Partem

First let's set the stage for administrative transparency. Audi Alteram Partem in Administrative Law embodies the fundamental notion that both sides of a dispute must be heard before a decision is made. It ensures fairness, transparency and the right to a fair hearing in legal proceedings and administrative actions. By granting each party the opportunity to present their case, Audi Alteram Partem upholds the principles of justice, guards against arbitrariness and promotes the rule of law.

The principle of Audi Alteram Partem is a fundamental aspect of natural justice and fair legal proceedings. Its essential elements include:

Notice

Notice is a fundamental element of natural justice, ensuring that parties are informed of any action proposed against them. It provides individuals with the opportunity to respond and defend themselves. Without proper notice, any subsequent order or decision is considered void ab initio or void from the beginning.

The right to notice is crucial as it allows individuals to understand the facts and charges against them before a hearing. Notice must include essential details such as the date, time and place of the hearing, as well as the jurisdiction under which the case is filed. Additionally, it should clearly state the charges and proposed actions against the individual. Failure to include any of these details renders the notice invalid.

Hearing

Fair hearing is another crucial aspect of the principle of Audi Alteram Partem, ensuring that parties have the opportunity to present their case and be heard before any decision is made. If an authority passes an order without giving the affected party a fair hearing, the order is considered invalid.

Evidence

Evidence is a critical component of any legal proceeding and it must be presented when both parties are present. The judicial or quasi-judicial authority will base its decision on the evidence presented before it. Any information, such as previous convictions, on which the court may rely without giving the affected party a chance to deny it, should be made known to the party.

Cross-Examination

Cross-examination is a vital aspect of the legal process, allowing parties to challenge evidence presented against them.

Legal Representation

Legal representation, while not always deemed essential for a fair hearing in administrative proceedings, can significantly impact a party's ability to understand and effectively navigate the legal process. In certain circumstances, the denial of the right to legal representation may constitute a violation of natural justice.

Exceptions To Audi Alteram Partem

The rule of Audi Alteram Partem in Administrative Law is not universally applicable and may be excluded in certain circumstances where it would not serve a meaningful purpose. This exclusion is not a deviation from the principle of natural justice but rather a recognition that in some situations, the requirement for a formal hearing may be unnecessary or impractical.

Statutory Exclusion

Statutory exclusion occurs when the governing statute does not explicitly provide for a hearing or does not mention the application of natural justice principles. In such cases, the courts may uphold the exclusion, as long as it does not lead to unfairness or arbitrariness.

However, if the exclusion is arbitrary, unreasonable or unfair, it may be challenged.

Legislative Function

Legislative functions, which involve the enactment of general rules or laws, may not require individual hearings as they are aimed at the general public good rather than specific individuals. Similarly, administrative actions that are authoritative in nature and do not affect individual rights may not necessitate a formal hearing.

However, if the exclusion of natural justice principles results in arbitrary or unfair treatment, the courts may intervene to ensure fairness and justice.

Impracticability

Impracticability is a valid reason for excluding the application of the Audi Alteram Partem in Administrative Law. This means that while the principle of natural justice requires giving the opportunity to be heard, there are situations where it may not be feasible to do so. In such cases, the rule may be excluded.

Conclusion About Audi Alteram Partem

Audi Alteram Partem, as a principle of natural justice, embodies the essence of fairness and due process in legal proceedings. Its concept revolves around the fundamental idea that all parties should have the opportunity to present their case and respond to allegations before a decision is made.

The essentials of Audi Alteram Partem in Administrative Law include the right to notice, a fair hearing, the presentation of evidence, cross-examination and legal representation. While there are exceptions to its application, such as in cases of impracticability or legislative functions, Audi Alteram Partem remains crucial in upholding justice, preventing arbitrary decisions and ensuring transparency and fairness in legal systems worldwide.



9.3.2 Withholding Information

Tampering with evidence is related to Audi Alteram Partem. When an individual or institution alters, conceals, falsifies, or destroys evidence with the intent to interfere with an investigation, it is a criminal offense in many jurisdictions. If withholding information is a "criminal offense", then it is now clear – without a doubt – that almost any government (and especially the governments of the US, England and the EU) are intentionally withholding vital information for the public and act without the consent of the voters.

Think about the USAID revelations, the dictatorial decisions of the EU to ramp up the war machine to create World War 3 (WWIII) even without properly consulting their US NATO partners, and the involvement of secret agencies in the assassination of many politicians or in the overthrowing of many governments, including Ukraine.

Now it is clear that these governments don't serve their people – but only serve the interests of the owners of the central banks and related big business (like Big Tech, Big Pharma, the military industrial complex, Big Agriculture to name a few) – it is time to demand justice. We need to know how it is possible that all these aforementioned parties allowed and probably colluded in this massive corruption, and how the responsible people should be punished for it.

This should be done in a trial that is comparable to the Corona-Ausschuss of Reiner Fuellmich, but is obviously more fundamental as it demands not only clarity about the pandemic corruption, but addresses centuries of general corruption of all these governments and related businesses and organizations.

9.3.3 Secrecy Is A Corruption Virus

Before the trials even start, we can already draw some conclusions: Government, Bank and Big Business secrecy is the cloak that allowed the corruption to fester. We should have never allowed governments to hide any evidence.

But also the secrecy of patents is a basis for many possible criminal situations that were only possible because the perpetrators were allowed to "hide evidence".

In the proposed new world, these secrecy regulations should no longer be tolerated. This means - among other things - that all money flows must be transparent to everyone (like as we promote in our 1CoinH project). Next to that, there should no longer be any protection based on patents or other systems that allow any secret technology. Similarly, no foundations with secret beneficiaries should be able to exist. To create transparency also means that every form of censorship must be completely abolished. The only real reason for every form of censorship is always the concealment of (potential) crimes of the people in power. Censorship is the clearest indicator of totalitarianism. People must be protected against censorship and not against nonsensical speech. Proper education is the only protection people need against nonsensical speech and nothing else.

9.3.4 Taxation Can Only Be Voluntary

The current tax system is also inherently criminal. Tax is fine as long as it is a transaction with mutual consent. In a parallel world tax cannot be enforced by force. There is no doubt that people will be glad to pay for initiatives that benefit their community, given the people can provide in their basic needs first.

For tax you can also apply the "withholding evidence or transparency" philosophy. As long as I cannot see exactly what tax is spent on, it is not possible for me to pay for it. In fact: I want to know exactly where the tax I pay is spent on. So if I do not want new asphalt or an extra Leopard tank, then I want to be sure that my tax money is not spent on that. It is up to my transaction partner (the government in the case of tax) to show me this exactly. If they cannot do that, then there is no payment. Tax should never be collected under threat of (police) violence.

Governments can only convince with complete openness. With all the blacked-out "government openness request documents" it is clear that the governments are completely unable to meet their obligation to be transparent. Not to mention the hideous spending on their secret services. Ergo, there are sufficient reasons to stop paying taxes, because our transaction partner hides, changes and destroys evidence how my money is spent, to conceal their massive fraudulent schemes. It is now totally clear that we - the people - never consented to those crimes of our governments.

Even if the majority of my country is of the opinion that it is okay for their government to steal my possessions by force, I am within my rights not to allow that. Habeas Corpus also plays a role there, which is also part of the "natural laws". Most important is however that any transaction in life should be with mutual consent. In our current tax system mutual consent is completely absent, especially now we know our governments are completely corrupted and are extremely well financed and trained to hide, change and destroy any evidence of their crimes. Having a majority of your population deceived doesn't make it legal to force anyone to pay anything.



9.3.5 Game Over

If "citizens" say: "I have nothing to hide for my government or Big-Tech anyway", then the best way to counter that, is to reply: "That statement is only valid if you have a government that also cannot hide anything."

Actually "real transparency" is the only way out of all this mess. We can now see that – if you allow everyone (including governments) to hide their transactions – you will indeed end up in the swamp in which we now live: A society where you have to give up all your privacy to governments and banks, while the people that ask this from you, (the real owners and the governments they control), will hide everything for you, including real news, real science, real economics and real security.

That has to change. We also have no choice to start changing this system, because the governments now want us to believe that only WWII can save us from the boogeyman. Many people don't buy these lies anymore as it is now clear, that the owners of the system are the only ones that need WWII to be able to hide their crimes a bit longer. They need some extra time to force us to build our own digital prison. But it is too late. Too many people see through this agenda. That genie won't go back in the bottle. Too many people will prepare to leave the system of deceit and distortion behind.

Justice will be served.
The game is over.



9.4 Conclusion About Rules

In the past, plenty of good work has been done on the subjects of Human Rights, Sovereignty and Natural Law. It is up to local communities how to translate those to the richness of their cultures. It is important that we now also know how governments are corrupted and misused, and how victors remain out of sight. We also know how they make us hate and fight each other. We now know how they have indoctrinated us, infiltrated society and created artificial scarcity. The only thing that never was artificial was the suffering of the common people.

Luckily we now have the understanding and the tools to never allow this deception to happen again. For me personally it is enough to show everyone the path to step away from the looming dystopian future the victors are preparing for us. It is however to all the other people to get properly educated about this, and to organize their local communities themselves, based on their culture and heritage. Only when people take back control of their own destinies and reorganize their democratic and legal systems, we will be able to preserve the richness and abundance of our world and the people that are in it.

10 Become A Part Of Us

To prepare many people to transform to an Abundomy – where we all can enjoy the richness our earth has to offer – we will need a lot of help. The easiest way to help us is to spread this book digitally, or print it on paper and share it in your local community.

Partner with us to help create programs that make often disadvantaged people from local communities financially independent and to help us teach communities how to create wealth in a world where the financial system is not equitable.

10.1 Partnerships

To be able to do this, we need to partner with the local communities on one side and to benefactors on the other side to enable us to execute our educational programs. That is why you will see us reaching out to both sides. The stronger these partnerships are, the better we can do our job.

10.2 Highlight

It is however not only with us that partnerships should be created. We encourage local communities also to create direct partnerships with each other, to share knowledge, educational materials and facilities and success stories. It is very important to us, to see the concept – of living in a world of abundance – growing autonomic. On the community page of our website we will highlight our progress in creating new partnerships in the future.

10.3 Contact

If you want to become a beneficial partner or you want to find other communities to partner with, you can contact us at: info@worldofabundance.org or go to www.worldofabundance.org or to www.abundomy.com.

10.4 Donate

Obviously you can also help me to keep doing this work and keep making it freely available for everyone. The easiest way to do this is to simply “[Buy Me A Coffee](#)”. Your donation will be highly appreciated!

11 Conclusion

To arrive at the stage, where I could write a complete book about a viable path for creating a better future for my children, was not a small task. It took me about 8 years to – not only find the most logical way to create a truly fair financial system – but it also took me several years to find a proper strategy to make it reality. Finally - when I woke up on August 23rd, 2025 – the word Abundomy just came to me, like many ideas just seem to pop out of nowhere. I immediately knew that that word would become the title of this book.

I tried to work as fast as I could, so I could release the book on September 11th, 24 years after the tragedy at the Twin-Towers in New York. It was this day that my journey towards finding the truth about “how the world really works” began. After reading this book, you might understand that also this disaster was instrumental for the victors to get us closer to communism. Looking back, it took me 16 years of investigating all kinds of angles of the various events – that followed 9/11 – to understand that the puzzle started to fit for me. At that moment in 2017, it finally became clear to me, that it was necessary for me, not only to increase investigating the subject, but also to start working relentlessly on the actual replacement of the terrible system we are currently living in. Now I am very glad to have taken that somewhat impulsive decision. It has been a long, challenging journey.

I started writing a book about disruptions in society and about Africa at the end of 2017, which I finished in 2018. It was my first attempt to create a fair financial system and to make sense of what is happening in society. Writing a book forces you to leave no stone unturned while you do your research, and to do a serious attempt to design a better, fairer financial system. I felt that only by writing this first book I would be able to crack this nut.

When I published it, I already was seeing that my own indoctrination has been in the way of some chapters, and that the nut wasn't cracked properly. So I decided not to push the book commercially. There was still a lot to learn and improve about my ideas. I was however not discouraged. On the contrary, it gave me extra energy to dig even deeper because I noticed that not only the truth became clearer, but that also the solution started to reveal itself. I noticed that the solution became simpler than I initially thought, which told me that I must be on the right track, heading in the right direction.

Between 2018 and 2024 I made 3 different iterations of what later evolved into 1CoinH. I spend a lot of time explaining the rationale behind the financial system on the websites and did several tests with my community. In December 2024 I also started to see that my approach about the strategy to roll-out the system had been wrong. It became clear that mixing ethical money with any of our current money, won't be possible, and that only a full debt jubilee can undo the wrongs that have been done to almost every human on this planet.

In December 2024 I also started to write articles on Substack. Small portions of the text I wrote on Substack, are also used in this book. In the beginning of July 2025 – I felt that the most important parts of my story were captured on Substack, but I also felt that the 30+ articles didn't provide a comprehensive overall view of the subject. And the only way to achieve that, was to write a full book, where all problems and solutions that are related to creating a fair society using a fair type of money, were bundled and combined. So here it is!

Free

As I am also a big proponent of voluntarism and even propose the removal of any copyrights in our new society in the book, there is no other choice for me then to release the book for free. Because of that, I have no other option than to ask you respectfully: “Please Buy Me A Coffee”, if you feel that the book has had value to you and you want to support me. It would certainly help me to translate the book in many languages, see to it that it is distributed properly and that updated versions will also be properly processed.



JOIN OUR WHATSAPP GROUP



JOIN OUR TELEGRAM GROUP



Buy me a coffee

To make the book free, I also had two other reasons:

1. I want to ask everyone to send copies of the PDF-version of this book, or even hard-copies, to everyone you might know that is also interested to know why everyone is struggling and what we can do about it. The more people that understand the world we live in and what we should do about it, the better.
2. A second reason to make the book free, is that I want to make a living document out of this book, meaning that I expect that it will have various updates. As I don't want to make people feel bad that got an earlier version, any new version must also be free.

Updates

When I encounter problems in large projects I do in my regular work, I always try to go directly to the most obvious solution. Once I find one solution that solves the problem, I can now look out for improvements without having stress. And – as far as I know – when I deal with large complex issues, solutions can always be improved. That is exactly how I see the process with this book and my ideas that are presented here.

Now you and me know that at least one viable solution exists, we can now get to work and try to find improvements.

This book will be a “living document”. In each new (and also free) version, you will find reports of the progress we have made. Next to that, you will also find new ideas to make local communities more resilient against the wealth extracting tentacles of the people that own our current economic system. So stay tuned and follow “www.abundomy.com” for the latest updates.

If you want to receive updates of this book, or you have suggestions for improvements of the system that is suggested in the book, just send an email to: info@worldofabundance.org

Your contribution to our better future will be much appreciated.

Thank you for taking the time to read my book and I hope we meet each other soon in real life!

Teun van Sambeek





[JOIN OUR WHATSAPP GROUP](#)



[JOIN OUR TELEGRAM GROUP](#)

ETHICAL MONEY

We were told that money is neutral. That – if you work hard enough – you will be able to take care of your family. That when your country works hard enough, your country will see economic growth. That economics is what we all do together.

We were deceived...

Teun van Sambeek (creator of 1CoinH) gives us his take on the greatest deception of the last 2000 years. Lies that are now surfacing and shaking the global status quo. We are in a spiritual war. A war that will soon decide if we will be slaves in the artificial world of scarcity, or that we take action – soon enough – to free ourselves from the parasite that keeps us from accessing the actual world of abundance we deserve.

Read this intriguing no-nonsense analysis of our current economy and discover that not all is lost – if we play it smart.

STOP COMPLAINING

Read about our way out and what we actually should do!

Version 1.3 | October 6th 2025